

Determining the Quark Mass with the Gradient Flow

Hiromasa Takaura,^{a,*} Robert Harlander^b and Fabian Lange^{c,d}

- ^aCenter for Gravitational Physics and Quantum Information, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University,
- Kyoto 606-8502, Japan
- ^b Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany
- ^c Physik-Institut, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland
- ^dPSI Center for Neutron and Muon Sciences, Forschungsstrasse 111, 5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

E-mail: hiromasa.takaura@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

We propose a new method to determine the quark mass by using bilinear operators of the flowed quark field defined within the gradient-flow formalism. This method enables the quark mass determination through a comparison of perturbative calculations with lattice data. The gauge-invariant nature of the observable should allow clear control over perturbative errors. At the same time, the gradient flow suppresses the noise in the lattice measurements of the observable, which simply consists of one-point functions. Concerning the perturbative input in this framework, we study the mass dependence of the flowed quark condensate $\langle \bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x) \rangle$ at the two-loop level. For this purpose, we develop a novel approach for expanding massive gradient-flow integrals in the limit of small and large (m^2t) . We also present a fully numerical result which includes the full mass dependence.

The 41st International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (LATTICE2024) 28 July - 3 August 2024 Liverpool, UK

*Speaker

[©] Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

Quark masses are fundamental parameters in QCD, and their accurate knowledge is essential for precision physics. For instance, uncertainties in the heavy-quark $\overline{\text{MS}}$ masses contribute to theoretical errors in the corresponding Yukawa couplings to the Higgs field. Their determination is crucial for testing the mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking. The precision of heavy-quark masses also plays an important role in flavor physics.

Heavy-quark (b, c) masses have already been determined by many lattice investigations and we refer to Ref. [1] for an overview of the available results. The main tools to relate the lattice to the customary modified minimal subtraction ($\overline{\text{MS}}$) results used in these studies are the regularization-independent momentum subtraction (RI-MOM) scheme [2], its variant regularization-independent symmetric momentum subtraction (RI-SMOM) scheme [3], the quark current (or current-like) correlator method [4], or the minimal renormalon-subtracted (MRS) scheme [5].

In these proceedings, we propose an alternative way to determine the heavy-quark mass on the lattice based on the gradient-flow formalism [6–8]. Its potential to extract $\alpha_s(M_Z^2)$ from lattice data has recently been explored in Refs. [9–11]. For the purpose of the heavy-quark masses, we consider the following ratio of flowed quark condensates:

$$\mathring{S}(t,\overline{m}_1,\overline{m}_2) \equiv \frac{\langle \bar{\chi}_1(t,x)\chi_1(t,x)\rangle}{\langle \bar{\chi}_2(t,x) \not D \chi_2(t,x)\rangle},\tag{1}$$

where $\chi_i(t, x)$ and $\bar{\chi}_i(t, x)$ denote the flowed fermion fields defined by the flow equations [6–8]

$$\partial_{t}\chi_{i}(t,x) = (D_{\mu}D_{\mu} - \alpha_{0}\partial_{\mu}B_{\mu}(t,x))\chi_{i}(t,x),$$

$$\partial_{t}\bar{\chi}_{i}(t,x) = \bar{\chi}_{i}(t,x)(\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu}\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu} + \alpha_{0}\partial_{\mu}B_{\mu}(t,x)),$$

$$\partial_{t}B_{\mu}(t,x) = D_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}(t,x) + \alpha_{0}D_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}B_{\nu}(t,x),$$
(2)

and the boundary conditions $\chi_i(t = 0, x) = \psi_i(x)$, $\bar{\chi}_i(t = 0, x) = \bar{\psi}_i(x)$, $B_\mu(t = 0, x) = A_\mu(x)$. α_0 is a gauge fixing parameter which cancels in physical quantities; throughout our calculation, we choose $\alpha_0 = 1$. The renormalized mass of the quark field ψ_i in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme is denoted by \overline{m}_i . Even though both numerator and denominator in Eq. (1) are separately ultraviolet divergent, the ratio is finite [12] because the divergences only affect the wave function renormalization factor [8]. Thus, the continuum limit in a lattice determination of $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)$ exists. On the other hand, one can calculate $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)$ in perturbation theory, where the result is expressed as a function of t, α_s , \overline{m}_1 , and \overline{m}_2 . By matching the perturbative and the lattice results, one should thus be able to determine the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ mass(es).

The minimal uncertainty achievable within perturbation theory for an observable is determined by nonperturbative effects. Since $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)$ of Eq. (1) is a gauge invariant quantity, the leading non-perturbative contributions are given by the dimension-four condensates such as the gluon condensate $\langle F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a \rangle$, because gauge and Lorentz invariance prohibit (parametrically larger) dimension-two condensates. This argument does not apply to gauge-dependent methods such as the RI-(S)MOM scheme. Other advantages of our proposal are related to the fact that $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}_1, \overline{m}_2)$ is given by one-point functions, and the fact that the noise in its lattice determination is suppressed by the gradient flow. The perturbative result for $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}, \overline{m})$ is known at next-to-next-to-leading order QCD in the limit of a small quark mass \overline{m} : Concerning the numerator in Eq. (1), it vanishes in the strictly massless limit. The linear mass term, on the other hand, was calculated at $O(\alpha_s)$ in Ref. [12]¹ and at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ in Ref. [13]. The massless limit of the denominator of $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}, \overline{m})$ was calculated at $O(\alpha_s)$ in Ref. [12]¹ and at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ in Ref. [13]. The massless limit of the denominator of $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}, \overline{m})$ was calculated at $O(\alpha_s)$ in Ref. [12] and at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ in Refs. [13, 14].

However, the size of a dimensionless combination $\overline{m}^2 t$ can span a wide range in the heavyquark cases. Let us impose $a^2 \ll 8t \ll L^2$ and $8t \ll \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^{-2}$ for the range of the flow time t, where $a^{-1} = 4$ GeV is assumed as a reference and $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim 0.3$ GeV. The first condition is necessary for reasonable lattice simulations of Eq. (1), and the second one is imposed from perturbativity. Then, $0.1 \ll 8\overline{m}_c^2 t \ll 20$ in the charm-quark case and $1.0 \ll 8\overline{m}_b^2 t \ll 200$ in the bottom-quark case. Therefore, the known results, which are valid for $\overline{m}^2 t \ll 1$, are not always sufficient.

In this paper, we investigate the mass dependence of the numerator of Eq. (1), i.e., the quark condensate $\langle \bar{\chi}_f \chi_f \rangle$ with $\overline{m}_f = \overline{m}$, at $O(\alpha_s)$ in perturbation theory, as a first step toward the mass determination in this framework. We present the first two terms of the small- $\overline{m}^2 t$ expansion, and the first three terms of the large- $\overline{m}^2 t$ expansion. Additionally, we present the full mass dependence, which is valid for a wide range of $\overline{m}^2 t$, based on a numerical computation.

2. Perturbative computation

For the foundations of the perturbative approach to the QCD gradient flow we refer to Refs. [13, 15]. In the computation of $\langle \bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x) \rangle$ at $O(\alpha_s)$, we find eight scalar integrals. Examples include

$$\int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{0}^{s} ds' \int_{p,k} \frac{mp^{2}}{k^{2}(p^{2}+m^{2})} e^{-(2t-s+s')p^{2}-(s+s')k^{2}-(s-s')(k-p)^{2}},$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{p,k} \frac{m}{k^{2}(p^{2}+m^{2})} e^{-(2t-s)p^{2}-sk^{2}-s(k-p)^{2}},$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} ds \int_{0}^{t} ds' \int_{p,k} \frac{m(p-k)^{2}}{k^{2}((p-k)^{2}+m^{2})} e^{-(2t-s-s')p^{2}-(s+s')k^{2}-(s+s')(k-p)^{2}},$$
(3)

where $\int_{p,k} \equiv \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d}$ with $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$ and *m* is the bare mass. Flow-time integrals appear in addition to the loop-momentum integrals.

We evaluate these integrals in an expansion in either m^2t or $1/(m^2t)$. The standard technique to expand loop integrals under a certain hierarchy is known as "expansion by regions" [16]. Its application to the gradient flow formalism has been described in Ref. [17]. It was pointed out though that, in the large- m^2t limit, this method is not straightforwardly applicable, because the flow-time integration extends over hard and soft regions simultaneously. In the present study, we pursue a different strategy which does not suffer from this problem. Given an integral $I(m^2, t)$, we perform the Laplace transform

$$\tilde{I}(v,t) \equiv \int_0^\infty d(m^2) (m^2)^{-\nu - 1} I(m^2, t).$$
(4)

¹To be more precise, it was presented in the arXiv version v2 of Ref. [12].

In the present case, $\tilde{I}(v, t) \propto t^{v-d+5/2}$ as seen from dimensional analysis. The inverse transform is given by

$$I(m^{2},t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dv \tilde{I}(v,t) (m^{2})^{v}.$$
 (5)

For $m^2 t \ll 1$, we close the integration contour of the inverse transform in the right half of the *v*-plane, considering $(m^2 t)^v = e^{v \log (m^2 t)} \to 0$ as $v \to +\infty$. We then obtain the small- $(m^2 t)$ expansion by

$$I(m^{2},t;d) = -\sum_{\nu_{0}>0} \operatorname{Res}[\tilde{I}(\nu,t;d)(m^{2})^{\nu}]|_{\nu=\nu_{0}},$$
(6)

where v_0 denotes positive singularities of $\tilde{I}(v, t)$. For $m^2 t \gg 1$, in turn, we obtain the large- $(m^2 t)$ expansion by

$$I(m^{2}, t; d) = \sum_{\nu_{0} < 0} \operatorname{Res}[\tilde{I}(\nu, t; d)(m^{2})^{\nu}]|_{\nu = \nu_{0}},$$
(7)

where v_0 denotes negative singularities of $\tilde{I}(v, t)$. To obtain these expansions, we need to calculate the singularities of the integrand in Eq. (5). This approach is analogous to the ideas found in Refs. [18, 19]. Further details will be explained in a future publication.

We obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x) \end{bmatrix}_{R} \right\}$$

$$= -\frac{N_{c}}{8\pi^{2}} \frac{\overline{m}}{t}$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 + 0.759581C_{F}\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2}) + \frac{1}{m^{2}t} \begin{bmatrix} 2\log 2 + 2\gamma_{E} + 2\log(\overline{m}^{2}t) + C_{F}\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2})(2.3334 - 2.16804\log(\overline{m}^{2}/\mu^{2}) + \log(\overline{m}^{2}t)(2.22817 - 0.95493\log(\overline{m}^{2}/\mu^{2})) \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$+ O((\overline{m}^{2}t)^{2}) \right\},$$

$$(8)$$

and

$$\langle [\bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x)]_R \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{N_c}{16\pi^2 t^2 \overline{m}}$$

$$\times \left\{ 1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu^2)}{4\pi} (-2 - 3\gamma_E - 9\log 2 + 9\log 3 - 3\log(\overline{m}^2 t) + 6\log(\overline{m}^2/\mu^2)) \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\overline{m}^2 t} \left[-1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu^2)}{16\pi} (22 + 21\gamma_E + 63\log 2 - 51\log 3 + 21\log(\overline{m}^2 t) - 48\log(\overline{m}^2/\mu^2)) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(\overline{m}^2 t)^2} \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu^2)}{64\pi} (-3(68 + 57\gamma_E) + 513\log 2 + 381\log 3 - 171\log(\overline{m}^2 t) + 432\log(\overline{m}^2/\mu^2)) \right]$$

$$+ O(\frac{1}{(\overline{m}^2 t)^3}) \right\}.$$

$$(9)$$



Figure 1: $\mathring{S}(t, \overline{m}, 0)$ as a function of the flow time *t* for the bottom-quark case ($\overline{m} = \overline{m}_b$) (left) and the charm-quark case ($\overline{m} = \overline{m}_c$) (right). The gray line shows the $O(\alpha_s^0)$ -result with full mass dependence, and the black line shows the $O(\alpha_s)$ -result with full mass dependence obtained by our numerical study. The small-($\overline{m}^2 t$) expansion and the large-($\overline{m}^2 t$) expansion to $O(\alpha_s)$ are also shown. (The large-($\overline{m}^2 t$) expansion is not relevant to the charm quark case.)

Here we give the finite result by renormalizing the flowed field as $[\bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x)]_R \equiv Z_{\chi}\bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x)$, in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme, where $Z_{\chi} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{4\pi} \frac{6C_F}{2\epsilon} + O(\alpha_s^2)$ [8]. We also renormalize the bare mass as $m = Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}}\overline{m}$ with $Z_m^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \frac{6C_F}{2\epsilon} + O(\alpha_s^2)$. In the above results, $\overline{m} = \overline{m}(\mu^2)$. All the $O(\alpha_s^0)$ terms were calculated in Ref. [17].² The next-to-leading term in $\overline{m}^2 t$ at $O(\alpha_s)$ of Eq. (8) is new, whereas in Eq. (9), all the $O(\alpha_s)$ terms are new.

Besides these expansions, we numerically evaluate the scalar integrals using ftint [20]. In this calculation, we do not need to assume large or small $\overline{m}^2 t$ and can obtain the $\overline{m}^2 t$ -dependence of $\langle [\bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x)]_R \rangle$ in a wide range.

Using these results, we show the perturbative result of $\mathring{S}(t,\overline{m},0)$ in Fig. 1 as a function of the flow time *t*. For the denominator of $\mathring{S}(t,\overline{m},0)$, we use the known massless result to $O(\alpha_s^1)$. In these figures, we express our result in terms of $\overline{m}(\overline{m})$ and $\alpha_s(\mu_t)$, where $\mu_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2te^{\gamma_E}}}$ [14]. The running coupling is evaluated for four (five) active quark flavors in the case of the charm (bottom) quark. As input parameters, we adopt $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1179$, $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b) = 4.18$ GeV and $\overline{m}_c(\overline{m}_c) = 1.27$ GeV. The figures indicate the validity of the small- and large- m^2t expansions and provide a convincing verification of our expansion method as well, by the agreement of these approximations with the numerical results.

Assuming that the corresponding lattice data are available, one can perform a mass determination at the level of the precision of $O(\alpha_s)$ with this perturbative result.

3. Summary and outlook

The gradient-flow formalism has proven useful already in many different contexts. In this contribution, we proposed a new method to determine heavy-quark masses by utilizing quark bilinear operators defined in the gradient-flow formalism. Eq. (1) is manifestly gauge invariant and simply a combination of one-point functions defined in the gradient flow. These features have the potential to contribute to a precise determination of the quark masses.

To perform the mass determination in this framework, one needs a perturbative result to match with lattice data. We calculated the quark condensate $\langle \bar{\chi}(t,x)\chi(t,x) \rangle$ at $O(\alpha_s)$, keeping its quark

²Typos in the published version of Ref. [17] are corrected in the latest arXiv version.

mass dependence in an expansion in either $\overline{m}^2 t$ or $1/(\overline{m}^2 t)$, and by a numerical computation valid for a wide range of $\overline{m}^2 t$.

While the first few terms of the small- and large- $\overline{m}^2 t$ expansions were given here, we will extend these expansions further and present them in a future paper. We will also study the mass dependence of $\langle \overline{\chi}(t,x) \not D \chi(t,x) \rangle$. Furthermore, one may study other observables such as $1 - \langle \overline{\chi}_1(t,x) \not D \chi_1(t,x) \rangle / \langle \overline{\chi}_2(t,x) \not D \chi_2(t,x) \rangle$, where χ_1 is a heavy and χ_2 is a massless quark. This observable exhibits the heavy-quark mass dependence of $O(\overline{m}^2)$ for small $\overline{m}^2 t$ and is therefore expected to be highly sensitive to the quark mass, while, for instance, $\mathring{S}(t,\overline{m},0)$ and $\mathring{S}(t,\overline{m},\overline{m})$ exhibit the $O(\overline{m}^1)$ dependence.

Although the determination of heavy-quark masses motivated us to study the mass dependence for a wide range of $\overline{m}^2 t$, this framework should be applicable to the determination of light-quark masses as well.

For a precise determination, it is crucial to calculate higher orders in α_s . A perturbative calculation at $O(\alpha_s^2)$ with mass dependence might be feasible. It is also theoretically important to address the structure of the small-flow-time expansion for these observables, as understanding this structure can help reduce or properly account for theoretical uncertainties. Lastly, the precision that can be achieved on the lattice in practice is crucial and remains to be investigated.

Acknowledgments

HT thanks Masakiyo Kitazawa for fruitful discussion. HT is Yukawa Research Fellow supported by the Yukawa Memorial Foundation. The work of HT was also supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research with Numbers JP19K14711 and JP23K13110. The work of RH was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under grant 460791904. The work of FL was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under contract TMSGI2_211209.

References

- [1] FLAVOUR LATTICE AVERAGING GROUP (FLAG) collaboration, *FLAG Review 2024*, 2411.04268.
- [2] G. Martinelli, C. Pittori, C.T. Sachrajda, M. Testa and A. Vladikas, A General method for non-perturbative renormalization of lattice operators, Nucl. Phys. B 445 (1995) 81 [hep-lat/9411010].
- [3] C. Sturm, Y. Aoki, N.H. Christ, T. Izubuchi, C.T.C. Sachrajda and A. Soni, *Renormalization of quark bilinear operators in a momentum-subtraction scheme with a nonexceptional subtraction point*, *Phys. Rev. D* 80 (2009) 014501 [0901.2599].
- [4] HPQCD collaboration, *High-precision charm-quark mass and QCD coupling from current-current correlators in lattice and continuum QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008) 054513 [0805.2999].

- [5] TUMQCD collaboration, *Relations between heavy-light meson and quark masses*, *Phys. Rev.* D 97 (2018) 034503 [1712.04983].
- [6] R. Narayanan and H. Neuberger, Infinite N phase transitions in continuum Wilson loop operators, JHEP 03 (2006) 064 [hep-th/0601210].
- [7] M. Lüscher, Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD, JHEP 08 (2010) 071 [1006.4518].
- [8] M. Lüscher, Chiral symmetry and the Yang–Mills gradient flow, JHEP 04 (2013) 123 [1302.5246].
- [9] A. Hasenfratz, C.T. Peterson, J. van Sickle and O. Witzel, Λ parameter of the SU(3) Yang-Mills theory from the continuous β function, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 014502 [2303.00704].
- [10] C.H. Wong, S. Borsanyi, Z. Fodor, K. Holland and J. Kuti, *Toward a novel determination of the strong QCD coupling at the Z-pole*, *PoS* LATTICE2022 (2023) 043 [2301.06611].
- [11] G. Schierholz, QCD Lambda Parameter from Gradient Flow, 2410.17677.
- [12] H. Makino and H. Suzuki, Lattice energy-momentum tensor from the Yang-Mills gradient flow—inclusion of fermion fields, PTEP 2014 (2014) 063B02 [1403.4772].
- [13] J. Artz, R.V. Harlander, F. Lange, T. Neumann and M. Prausa, *Results and techniques for higher order calculations within the gradient-flow formalism*, *JHEP* 06 (2019) 121 [1905.00882].
- [14] R.V. Harlander, Y. Kluth and F. Lange, *The two-loop energy-momentum tensor within the gradient-flow formalism*, *Eur. Phys. J.* C78 (2018) 944 [1808.09837].
- [15] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Perturbative analysis of the gradient flow in non-abelian gauge theories, JHEP 02 (2011) 051 [1101.0963].
- [16] M. Beneke and V.A. Smirnov, Asymptotic expansion of Feynman integrals near threshold, Nucl. Phys. B 522 (1998) 321 [hep-ph/9711391].
- [17] R. Harlander, *The gradient flow at higher orders in perturbation theory*, *PoS* LATTICE2021 (2022) 489 [2111.14376].
- [18] M. Neubert, Scale setting in QCD and the momentum flow in Feynman diagrams, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 5924 [hep-ph/9412265].
- [19] R. Kitano and H. Takaura, *Quantum electrodynamics on the lattice and numerical perturbative computation of g 2, PTEP* 2023 (2023) 103B02 [2210.05569].
- [20] R.V. Harlander, T. Nellopoulos, A. Olsson and M. Wesle, *ftint: Calculating gradient-flow integrals with pySecDec, Comput. Phys. Commun.* **306** (2025) 109384 [2407.16529].