

AutoEFT: Constructing and exploring on-shell bases of effective field theories

Magnus C. Schaaf*

Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology, RWTH Aachen University, 52056 Aachen, Germany E-mail: magnus.schaaf@rwth-aachen.de

Effective Field Theories (EFTs) provide a framework for capturing the effects of yet unseen heavy degrees of freedom in a model-independent manner. However, constructing a complete and minimal set of operators, especially at higher mass dimensions, is challenging. We present AutoEFT, an implementation of an algorithm that systematically handles redundancies among operators due to equations of motion, integration-by-parts identities, Fierz identities, and repeated fields. This algorithm enables the construction of on-shell bases for a broad range of EFTs. Additionally, it facilitates the exploration of various aspects within this field, such as investigating higher mass dimensions or the relationship between different operator bases. AutoEFT can be applied to phenomenologically relevant theories like the Standard Model and its extensions, including new light particles or additional symmetry groups.

ArXiv ePrint: 2310.19606



The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP2023) 21-25 August 2023 Hamburg, Germany

*Speaker

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

1. Introduction

Since current and potential future colliders have a limited energy reach, the on-shell discovery of new heavy particles beyond the Standard Model (SM) may be challenging. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in searching for new physics in virtual effects. To correctly associate any deviation to new physics, these effects need to be parameterized systematically. For this approach, Effective Field Theories (EFTs) have become invaluable.

In this article, we feature AutoEFT [1], an independent open-source implementation of a systematic algorithm [2, 3] developed for the on-shell operator basis construction of EFTs. AutoEFT is published under the MIT license [4] and is implemented in Python [5], combined with the open-source mathematics software system SageMath [6]. It is available for download on the *Python Package Index* [7] and the *conda-forge* [8] community channel. For installation details and the usage of AutoEFT, we refer the reader to Ref. [1].

2. Effective field theories

In the *bottom-up* formulation of an EFT, the renormalizable Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)}$, describing a low-energy theory, is extended by effective interactions mediated by some unknown high-energy physics. These interactions are encoded in so-called *operators* $O_i^{(d)}$, composed out of the field content of $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)}$, and *d* denoting the combined mass dimension of the participating fields. For consistency with the low-energy theory, the operators must be invariant under the symmetries imposed on $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)}$. Depending on the scenario, one may even impose additional symmetries on the operators, for example, some of the accidental or approximate symmetries of $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)}$.

Since any operator with a mass dimension equal to or less than four is already part of $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)}$, the effective operators are necessarily to higher mass dimension. To cancel the surplus mass dimension of the operators $O_i^{(d)}$ in the Lagrangian, they must be multiplied by an additional mass scale Λ^{4-d} , where Λ is related to heavy new physics. The effects of these operators contribute with a factor $(E/\Lambda)^{d-4}$ to the physical amplitudes, where *E* denotes the typical energy of the low-energy process. Assuming the mass scales obey the hierarchy $E \ll \Lambda$, the effects up to order $(E/\Lambda)^N$ can be incorporated by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} = \mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)} + \sum_{d=5}^{N+4} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{d-4}} \sum_{i} C_{i}^{(d)} O_{i}^{(d)}, \qquad (1)$$

where the so-called *Wilson coefficients* $C_i^{(d)}$ parameterize the effective couplings. They can be fitted to experimental measurements or matched to high-energy theories.

3. Operator bases and redundancies

Determining an independent set of operators at a given mass dimension is not unique. There are nontrivial relations between different operators, rendering a subset of them redundant. The redundant operators are, however, indistinguishable in physical processes. In practical applications, this leads to an over-determined set of Wilson coefficients so that no unique solution can be identified. Consequently, it is necessary to avoid or eliminate these superficial operators and construct an independent *operator basis* $\{O_i^{(d)}\}$ for each mass dimension *d*.

Some *redundancies* arise from the presence of (covariant) derivatives acting on the fields inside the operators. Others are purely algebraic, related to the tensor structure of an operator. Finally, we consider redundancies induced by the symmetry structure of the tensors linked to the occurrence of multiple fields transforming in equal representations under all symmetry groups inside an operator. In the following, we display the crucial redundancies to consider in the operator basis construction.

Equations of Motion (EoMs)

Operators proportional to the EoM of some field Φ can be eliminated from the Lagrangian by an appropriate field redefinition in the path integral of the generating functional. Consequently, operators O and O', related by

$$O \sim O' + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Phi} O'' \,, \tag{2}$$

where S denotes the action, result in the same physical S-matrix elements. It is, therefore, sufficient to only consider one of O and O' in the on-shell basis.

Integration by Parts (IbP)

Operators proportional to total derivatives do not contribute to the perturbative action and can thus be eliminated from the Lagrangian. Hence, operators O and O', related by

$$O \sim O' + \partial O'', \tag{3}$$

yield the same perturbative S-matrix elements, and only one of the operators should be considered as part of the EFT basis.

Fierz identities

Fierz identities relate products of spinor bilinears to linear combinations of products of different spinor bilinears. It is, hence, possible to identify operators with apriori different spinor structures with each other. Consider, for example, the identity

$$g_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\sigma^{\nu}_{\beta\dot{\beta}} = 2\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\,,\tag{4}$$

where α , β and $\dot{\alpha}$, $\dot{\beta}$ denote spinor indices and μ , ν denote Lorentz four-vector indices. Eq. (4) relates the direct contraction of the spinor indices on the RHS to the contractions containing σ -matrices in between on the LHS.

Algebraic identities

Algebraic identities relate the SU(N) tensor structures of different operators. It is, therefore, possible to identify operators with different contractions of the internal symmetry indices. Consider, for example, the identity

$$\epsilon^{ij}\epsilon^{kl} + \epsilon^{ik}\epsilon^{lj} + \epsilon^{il}\epsilon^{jk} = 0, \qquad (5)$$

where i, j, k, l denote fundamental indices of SU(2). Since the same relation also holds for spinor indices instead, also called Schouten identity, it gives rise to additional Fierz identities as well.

Magnus C. Schaaf

Repeated Field Redundancies (RFRs)

Operators that contain the same field more than once can suffer from RFRs. On the one hand, operators O and O' that only differ by a permutation π_{Φ} of the fields Φ inside the operator, i.e.,

$$O \sim \pi_{\Phi} \circ O' \,, \tag{6}$$

lead to the same physical processes. This statement also remains valid if the field Φ appears in multiple generations, as the permutation of the generation indices can be absorbed into the Wilson coefficient tensor. On the other hand, the explicit contraction of the fields can induce a symmetry on the generation indices, rendering some components of the Wilson coefficient tensors dependent.

4. AutoEFT – An introduction

Provided the field content and symmetries of a low-energy theory, AutoEFT can be used to construct on-shell operator bases for, in principle, arbitrary mass dimensions automatically. The resulting operator bases are free of redundancies by construction. In the following, we briefly showcase the algorithm, the model files, and the output. An exhaustive description of AutoEFT can be found in Ref. [1].

The algorithm

A systematic algorithm, taking care of all the redundancies while simultaneously constructing explicit operator contractions, was proposed in Refs. [2, 3]. It is based on on-shell methods and various techniques from the representation theory of Lie groups and the symmetric group. Contrary to existing efforts on the operator basis construction, the procedure does not generate a superset of operators, which is subsequently reduced by eliminating dependent operators using the redundancy relations introduced in Section 3. Instead, the redundancies are avoided during the construction, so no superficial operator needs to be considered. Notable exceptions to this are the RFRs, which still need to be eliminated after obtaining a super basis of operators. Due to the generality of the algorithm, it can be applied to various low-energy scenarios. A schematic overview of the algorithm and its implementation in AutoEFT is displayed in Fig. 1.

The model file

The model file encodes all information about the symmetries and field content of the lowenergy theory and serves as input to AutoEFT. The model can contain arbitrary products of local and global SU(N) and U(1) symmetry groups. The fields of the low-energy theory must be provided in irreducible representations under all symmetries. It is, therefore, required to decompose any fields not transforming in irreducible representations beforehand. For example, instead of providing a single bispinor, the user must define its irreducible components as two Weyl spinors. The reasonably simple input format enables the user to compose custom model files and to construct the respective operator bases with minimal effort. A variety of examples can be found in Ref. [1].



Figure 1: Schematic depiction of the algorithm implemented in AutoEFT. Green nodes denote the input required by AutoEFT. In this case, d is the mass dimension. Blue nodes label intermediate objects also available in AutoEFT's output. See Ref. [1] for a detailed description of their meaning. The orange nodes denote the final bases of operators generated by AutoEFT. The first one, labeled "invariants", is the super basis obtained by assuming that every field in the operator is distinct. The second one is the (minimal) physical basis $\{O_i^{(d)}\}$ without any RFRs. Solid arrows indicate that no elimination of superficial structures is required, as only independent sets of structures are generated. The dashed arrow denotes a reduction of the basis by eliminating superficial operators.

The output

Due to the nature of the algorithm, the operators generated by AutoEFT contain fields with spinor indices only. For similar reasons, the fields carry only fundamental indices of the non-abelian SU(N) groups. Nevertheless, the operators can be translated to a more familiar notation by performing the substitutions provided in the appendix of Ref. [1]. The resulting bases are provided in an electronic, human- and computer-readable output format. In addition, it is possible to load operator bases with AutoEFT, for example, to convert the operators to LATEX expressions, or for further manipulation of the explicitly contracted operators.

5. The standard model as effective field theory

One of the most prominent EFTs in high-energy physics is the so-called Standard Model Effective Field Theory (SMEFT). It can be used to parameterize the effects of heavy new physics at current energies in a model-independent manner. It is obtained by identifying $\mathcal{L}^{(\leq 4)} = \mathcal{L}_{SM}$ in Eq. (1), where \mathcal{L}_{SM} denotes the SM Lagrangian. The operators are thus composed of all SM fields and must be invariant under the SM symmetries SO⁺(1, 3) × SU(3) × SU(2) × U(1). The repeated attempts needed to arrive at minimal SMEFT bases, even at lower mass dimensions, demonstrate the complexity of constructing a complete and non-redundant set of operators. For example, the first version of Ref. [9] provided the operators of type LQ^3 divided into two terms. Under the exchange of the first two quark fields, the two terms are symmetric and antisymmetric, respectively. However, both of them contain the same mixed symmetry contribution so that the two terms are not independent [10, 11].

In the following, we use AutoEFT to qualitatively examine this dependence. Generating the operators for the mass dimension-six SMEFT operator type LQ^3 with AutoEFT, one finds three

terms with definite permutation symmetry of the quark fields: $O_{[3]}, O_{[2,1]}, O_{[1,1,1]}$. Expressing the two terms $Q_{qqq}^{(1)}$ and $Q_{qqq}^{(3)}$ of Ref. [9] in terms of the AutoEFT basis yields

$$Q_{qqq}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{3}O_{[3]} + \frac{1}{3}O_{[2,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{qqq}^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{3}O_{[2,1]} - O_{[1,1,1]} - \frac{2}{3}O_{[2,1]}^{(23)}, \quad (7)$$

where $O_{[2,1]}^{(23)}$ is obtained from $O_{[2,1]}$ by interchanging the second and third quark fields. At this point, the dependence is already evident since both terms contain the mixed symmetry contribution from $O_{[2,1]}$. In addition, one finds that neither $Q_{qqq}^{(1)}$ nor $Q_{qqq}^{(3)}$ contain all of $O_{[3]}, O_{[2,1]}, O_{[1,1,1]}$ and thus do not span the entire space. However, by changing basis to

$$Q_{qqq} = -\frac{1}{2}(Q_{qqq}^{(1)} + Q_{qqq}^{(3)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}_{qqq} = -\frac{1}{2}(Q_{qqq}^{(1)} - Q_{qqq}^{(3)}), \quad (8)$$

one finds that Q_{qqq} contains each term exactly once. In addition, one can identify $Q_{qqq}^{(12)} = \tilde{Q}_{qqq}$, rendering \tilde{Q}_{qqq} redundant. It is thus sufficient to consider only the term Q_{qqq} in the operator basis, as was done in later versions of Ref. [9].

6. EFT bases

We have used AutoEFT to derive for the first time the on-shell SMEFT operator bases for mass dimensions 10, 11, and 12 [12]. In addition, by exploiting the generality of the algorithm, we constructed operators including gravitational interactions up to mass dimension 12. We provide the bases generated by AutoEFT in a public repository [13]. It can be used to examine different operator bases, either by hand or by loading them into AutoEFT. Currently, we provide the operator bases for SMEFT, its extension by gravitational interactions, and its restriction to minimal flavor-violating scenarios. We intend to extend the set of bases to other models in the future. In addition, users of AutoEFT have the opportunity to submit operator bases to the repository.

7. Summary

EFTs have become an indispensable tool in the search for heavy new physics. We have introduced the application AutoEFT, developed for the construction of on-shell operator bases with minimal effort and for various low-energy scenarios. Besides the operator construction, AutoEFT can be used to examine the relations between different operator bases. It provides a foundation for future EFT frameworks, and we intend to extend its capabilities in various respects.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Robert Harlander, Tim Kempkens, Jakob Linder, and Maximilian Rzehak for their inspiring collaboration. This research was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under grant 400140256 — *GRK 2497: The physics of the heaviest particles at the LHC*, and grant 396021762 -- *TRR 257: P3H -- Particle Physics Phenomenology after the Higgs Discovery*.

Magnus C. Schaaf

References

- [1] R.V. Harlander and M.C. Schaaf, *AutoEFT: Automated operator construction for effective field theories*, 2309.15783.
- [2] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, J. Shu, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, Complete set of dimension-eight operators in the standard model effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 015026 [2005.00008].
- [3] H.-L. Li, Z. Ren, M.-L. Xiao, J.-H. Yu and Y.-H. Zheng, Complete set of dimension-nine operators in the standard model effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 015025 [2007.07899].
- [4] MIT license, 2018, https://spdx.org/licenses/MIT.html.
- [5] Python Software Foundation, *Python language reference (Version 3.11)*, 2022, http://www.python.org.
- [6] The Sage Developers, *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 10.1)*, 2023, https://www.sagemath.org.
- [7] Python Software Foundation, Python Package Index PyPI, 2023, https://pypi.org/.
- [8] conda-forge community, *The conda-forge project: Community-based software distribution built on the conda package format and ecosystem*, July, 2015, 10.5281/zenodo.4774216.
- [9] B. Grzadkowski, M. Iskrzyński, M. Misiak and J. Rosiek, *Dimension-six terms in the standard model lagrangian*, *JHEP* **10** (2010) 085 [1008.4884].
- [10] L.F. Abbott and M.B. Wise, Effective hamiltonian for nucleon decay, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2208.
- [11] R. Alonso, H.-M. Chang, E.E. Jenkins, A.V. Manohar and B. Shotwell, *Renormalization group evolution of dimension-six baryon number violating operators*, *Phys. Lett. B* 734 (2014) 302 [1405.0486].
- [12] R.V. Harlander, T. Kempkens and M.C. Schaaf, Standard model effective field theory up to mass dimension 12, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 055020 [2305.06832].
- [13] EFT bases repository, 2023, https://gitlab.com/auto_eft/eft-bases.