



# Exploring Constraints on the Doublet Left-Right Symmetric Model Using Higgs Data

Akhila Kumar Pradhan,<sup>*a*,\*</sup> Siddhartha Karmakar,<sup>*a*,*b*</sup> Jai More<sup>*a*</sup> and S. Uma Sankar<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Theoretical Physics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Colaba, Mumbai-400005, India E-mail: akhilpradhan@iitb.ac.in, siddhartha.karmakar\_315@tifr.res.in, more.physics@gmail.com, uma@phy.iitb.ac.in

In this work, we examine the constraints imposed on the doublet left-right symmetric model (DLRSM) by analyzing Higgs data from LHC. Specifically, we investigate the key parameters of the model, denoted as the ratios  $r = \kappa_2/\kappa_1$  and  $w = v_L/\kappa_1$ . While previous research assumed these ratios to be very small, we find that there is no lower bound on either *r* or *w* and the upper bound is set by the perturbative requirement of Yukawa couplings. Notably, the Yukawa coupling of the bottom quark to the lightest CP-even scalar not only discourages the selection of small *r* values but also implies a preference for *w* values approximately around O(1). These values are also favored by the indirect constraint on the mass of heavy CP-even scalars.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics (EPS-HEP2023), 21-25 August 2023 Hamburg, Germany

#### \*Speaker

<sup>©</sup> Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

# 1. Introduction

Left-right symmetric models, based on the symmetry group  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L} [1, 2]$ , are promising for physics beyond the standard model (SM). This model's scalar sector necessitates at least three distinct Higgs multiplets. Fermion mass generation relies on a scalar bidoublet  $\Phi$ , which is a doublet under both  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$ . The other two scalars can be either SU(2) triplets or doublets. In doublet left-right symmetric models (DLRSM), these two scalars are referred to as  $\chi_L$ (doublet under  $SU(2)_L$  and singlet under  $SU(2)_R$ ) and  $\chi_R$  (singlet under  $SU(2)_L$  and doublet under  $SU(2)_R$ ). The vev (vacuum expectation value)  $v_R$  of  $\chi_R$  breaks the symmetry to  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and the vevs  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  of  $\Phi$  and  $v_L$  of  $\chi_L$  lead to electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). The EWSB vevs obey the constraint  $\sqrt{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + v_L^2} = v_{EW} = 246$  GeV. For later convenience, we define the two ratios  $r = \kappa_2/\kappa_1$  and  $w = v_L/\kappa_1$ .

Most models based on left-right symmetry assume that EWSB occurs primarily through  $\kappa_1$ , implying  $r, w \ll 1$ . In this Proceeding, we investigate the constraints imposed on r and w by the precision Higgs data from LHC.

# 2. Scalar potential

All the particle content and other notations of the model can be found in ref. [3]. The most general, renormalizable Higgs potential involving  $\Phi$ ,  $\chi_L$  and  $\chi_R$  fields is given by

$$V = V_2 + V_3 + V_4,$$

$$V_2 = -\mu_1^2 \text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) - \mu_2^2 \left[\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}\Phi^{\dagger}) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\Phi)\right] - \mu_3^2 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\chi_L + \chi_R^{\dagger}\chi_R\right],$$

$$V_3 = \mu_4 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\Phi\chi_R + \chi_R^{\dagger}\Phi^{\dagger}\chi_L\right] + \mu_5 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\tilde{\Phi}\chi_R + \chi_R^{\dagger}\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\chi_L\right],$$

$$V_4 = \lambda_1 \text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^2 + \lambda_2 \left[\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}\Phi^{\dagger})^2 + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\Phi)^2\right] + \lambda_3 \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}\Phi^{\dagger}) \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\Phi) + \lambda_4 \text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \left[\text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}\Phi^{\dagger}) + \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\Phi)\right] + \rho_1 \left[(\chi_L^{\dagger}\chi_L)^2 + (\chi_R^{\dagger}\chi_R)^2\right] + \rho_2 \chi_L^{\dagger}\chi_L\chi_R^{\dagger}\chi_R + \alpha_1 \text{Tr}(\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\chi_L + \chi_R^{\dagger}\chi_R\right] + \left\{\alpha_2 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\chi_L \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}\Phi^{\dagger}) + \chi_R^{\dagger}\chi_R \text{Tr}(\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\Phi)\right] + \text{h.c.}\right\} + \alpha_3 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\Phi\Phi^{\dagger}\chi_L + \chi_R^{\dagger}\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi\chi_R\right] + \alpha_4 \left[\chi_L^{\dagger}\tilde{\Phi}\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\chi_L + \chi_R^{\dagger}\tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger}\tilde{\Phi}\chi_R\right].$$

Here the potential is made CP-conserving by ensuring that all the couplings are real through suitable field redefinitions.

The conditions which minimize the potential are

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \kappa_1} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \kappa_2} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial v_L} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial v_R} = 0 .$$
(1)

We employ these conditions to replace the four mass parameters  $\mu_1^2$ ,  $\mu_2^2$ ,  $\mu_3^2$ , and  $\mu_5$  in terms of the vacuum expectation values (*vev*) and quartic couplings. Thus the parameters characterizing the scalar sector of the DLRSM are as follows: { $\lambda_{1,2,3,4}, \alpha_{1,2,3,4}, \rho_{1,2,}, \mu_4, r, w, v_R$ }.

## 3. CP-even neutral scalars

The gauge and physical bases for the CP-even neutral scalars are  $X = (\phi_{1r}^0, \phi_{2r}^0, \chi_{Lr}^0, \chi_{Rr}^0)$  and  $X_{\text{ph}} = (h, H_1, H_2, H_3)$  respectively and they are related by

$$X_{\rm ph} = U^T X, \qquad U^T M^2 U = M^{2 \, \rm diag} \ . \tag{2}$$

Here *h* is the lightest CP-even scalar, with mass of the order of  $v_{\text{EW}}$ , whose properties will be very similar to those of SM Higgs boson and  $H_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3) are heavier scalars with masses of the order of  $v_R$ . We construct the mass matrix  $M^2$  for scalars using the above potential in the gauge basis. Utilizing non-degenerate perturbation theory, we determine the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix  $M^2$ , denoted as the Higgs boson mass  $m_h^2$ , as follows:

$$m_{h}^{2} = \frac{\kappa_{1}^{2}}{2(1+r^{2}+w^{2})} \times \left(4\left(\lambda_{1}(r^{2}+1)^{2}+4r(\lambda_{4}(r^{2}+1)+r\lambda_{23})+w^{2}(\alpha_{124}+r^{2}(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3})+\alpha_{2}r)+\rho_{1}w^{4}\right) -\frac{1}{\rho_{1}}(\alpha_{124}+r^{2}(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3})+\alpha_{2}r+2\rho_{1}w^{2})^{2}\right),$$
(3)

where  $\alpha_{124} = \alpha_1 + r\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$ . The positivity of the non-zero eigenvalues of mass matrix leads to the following constraints on the quartic couplings

$$2\rho_{12} = \rho_2 - 2\rho_1 > 0$$
 and  $\alpha_{34} = \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 > 0$ .

We set  $v_R = 20$  TeV in our numerical work in order to satisfy the experimental lower bound on heavy gauge bosons mass ( $m_{Z_2}$  and  $m_{W_2}$ ), which also ensures that  $\kappa_1^2, \kappa_2^2, v_L^2 \ll v_R^2$ . We now calculate the coupling of lightest scalar *h* to gauge bosons and to third generation quarks of this model and express it in terms of coupling multipliers.

$$\begin{split} \kappa_W &= \frac{c_{hW_1W_1}}{c_{hWW}^{\text{SM}}} = \frac{1}{k} \Big( (1 - 2c_{\xi}s_{\xi}r)U_{11} + (r - 2c_{\xi}s_{\xi})U_{21} + wc_{\xi}^2U_{31} + \frac{v_R}{\kappa_1}s_{\xi}^2U_{41} \Big), \\ \kappa_Z &= \frac{c_{hZ_1Z_1}}{c_{hZZ}^{\text{SM}}} = \frac{g^2(g^2 + 2g_{BL}^2)}{(g^2 + g'^2)(g^2 + g_{BL}^2)} \frac{1}{k} \left(U_{11} + rU_{21} + wU_{31}\right) \,. \\ \kappa_{t(b)} &= \frac{c_{htt(hbb)}}{c_{htt(hbb)}^{\text{SM}}} = \frac{k}{m_{t(b)}(1 - r^2)} \left( (U_{11} - rU_{21})m_{t(b)} + (U_{21} - rU_{11})(V_L^{\text{CKM}} \hat{M}_{d(u)} V_R^{\text{CKM}\dagger})_{33} \right). \end{split}$$

Here  $k = \sqrt{1 + r^2 + w^2}$  and  $\xi$  is the mixing angle between  $W_{L,R}$ . g' and  $g_{BL}$  are the gauge coupling of  $U(1)_Y$  and  $U(1)_{B-L}$  respectively. In this work we assume manifest LR symmetry in the gauge sector which means the gauge couplings associated with  $SU(2)_{L(R)}$  are equal i.e.  $g_L = g_R = g$ . In this model, it is also possible to compute the triple Higgs  $(h^3)$  vertex and represent it using the coupling parameter  $\kappa_h$ .

#### 4. Theoretical constraints

All the theoretical constraints on DLRSM including requirements for perturbativity in quartic and Yukawa couplings, maintenance of perturbative unitarity in gauge boson scattering, and criteria for boundedness of the scalar potential from below can be found here[3, 4]. The requirement of perturbativity of the Yukawa couplings of quarks to Higgs bidoublet leads to strong upper bounds  $r \leq 0.8$ , and  $w \leq 3.5$ . The ratio  $x = \lambda_2/\lambda_4$  is constrained by the boundedness of the scalar potential, leading to the inequality: 8x(1 - x) > 0. This inequality implies that for positive values of x, the range is restricted to  $0.25 \leq x \leq 0.85$ .

#### 5. Constraints from Higgs data

We want to examine how the Higgs data restrict the possible values of the parameters r and w. We have ten quartic couplings that can vary independently, as long as they satisfy the above mentioned inequalities. However, to better understand how the data influences the values of r and w, we fix the ten quartic couplings using the following relations

$$\{\lambda_1 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \lambda_0, \ x = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_4}, \ \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_0, \ p = \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_4} - 1, \ q = \frac{\rho_2}{2\rho_1} - 1\}.$$

We refer to this set of parameters  $\{\lambda_0, \alpha_0, \rho_1, x, p, q, r, w, v_R\}$  as the 'simple' basis as opposed to the 'generic' basis where all the  $\lambda$ s and all the  $\alpha$ s are taken to be independent. In our calculations, we set  $v_R$  to a constant value of 20 TeV. For specific values of  $\alpha_0, \rho_1, x, p$ , and q, we solve equation (3) to determine a solution for  $\lambda_0$ , denoted as  $\Lambda_0$ . To maximize the number of solutions that satisfy the  $m_h$  constraint, we randomly select values for  $\lambda_0$  within the restricted range:  $\lambda_0 = (1 + y)\Lambda_0$ where y ranges from -0.1 to 0.1. Random values for x and y are selected on a linear scale within their respective ranges, while random values for the other parameters are chosen on a logarithmic scale, in the ranges specified below:

$$\alpha_{1,2,4} \equiv \alpha_0 \in [10^{-3}, 4\pi], \ \rho_1 \in [0.1, 8\pi/3], \ \mu_4 \in [10^{-2}, 1] \times v_R.$$
(4)

We fix the ratio q at q = 1 and examine four distinct values of p, ranging from 0.02 to 5. At each random point, we compute the numerical values of the lightest Higgs mass  $m_h$  and the coupling parameters  $\kappa_W$ ,  $\kappa_Z$ ,  $\kappa_h$ ,  $\kappa_t$ , and  $\kappa_b$ . These values are required to align with the corresponding experimentally measured values listed in reference [4]. The results of our calculation are displayed in fig. 1. As the value of p increases, there is a preference for larger values of w as long as  $p \le 0.1$ , though a few points with low r and low w are allowed. Only for  $p \ge 1$ , the distribution of allowed points becomes uniform over the entire range of r and w. Consequently, to maintain the standard assumption that  $v_L$  and  $\kappa_2$  are significantly smaller than  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\alpha_3$  must be at least twice as large as the other  $\alpha$  values.

Flavor-changing neutral interactions impose a significant lower limit of 15 TeV on the mass of heavy CP-even scalars  $(m_{H_1})[5]$ . Dark blue dots in fig. 1 represent points adhering to this requirement. This bound strongly prefers that *vev* of  $\chi_L$  has a significant influence on the breaking of  $SU(2)_L$ . For significantly large values of p (with  $p \ge 5$ ), a substantial number of blue dots appear in the region characterized by low r and low w. These points correspond to larger values of  $\rho_1$ (typically  $\rho_1 \ge 1$ ), which, in turn, drive  $\rho_2$  to exceed 4, rendering it relatively large. Therefore, the conventional assumption that  $v_L$  and  $\kappa_2$  are much smaller than  $\kappa_1$  remains valid only when quartic couplings  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  take moderately large values. Additionally, it is worth noting that very small values of q do not satisfy the  $m_{H_1} > 15$  TeV constraint, while larger values of q lead to violations of unitarity bounds. These two constraints together constrain the range of q to be between 0.01 and 4. We apply the same approach in generic basis and discover that the patterns of permissible points as a function of w, as shown in fig. 1, reappear in this basis as well.

We systematically vary model parameters, calculate  $\kappa_b$  and  $\kappa_t$  for each combination. When we require the heavy Higgs mass  $m_{H_1}$  to be above 15 TeV, we find that only a very small number of the test points satisfy all the experimental constraints. These points tend to concentrate around the

 $^{\Gamma}\kappa^{1}/n = \infty$ 

 $10^{-4}$ 

p = 0.02

 $\mathbf{y}_{33} = (4\pi)^{1/2}$ 

coordinates  $\kappa_b = 1$  and  $\kappa_t = 1$ , resembling the 'alignment by decoupling' scenario observed in the two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM).

 $\mathbf{w} = v_L/\kappa_1$ 

10

p = 0.1

 $y_{33} = (4\pi)^{1/2}$ 

10<sup>-6</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10 10-2 **10**<sup>-1</sup> 10 10 10 1  $\mathbf{r} = \kappa_2 / \kappa_1$  $\mathbf{r} = \kappa_2 / \kappa_1$ (a) (b) p = 1 **p** = 5  $\mathbf{y}_{33} = (4\pi)^{1/2}$  $\mathbf{y}_{33} = (4\pi)^{1/2}$  $\frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{10^{-2}}$  $^{I}x/^{T}a = x$ 10-10-4 10<sup>-6</sup> 10<sup>-6</sup> 10<sup>-3</sup> 10-2 10-1  $10^{-2}$ 10<sup>-1</sup> 1  $\mathbf{r} = \kappa_2 / \kappa_1$  $\mathbf{r} = \kappa_2 / \kappa_1$ (d) (c)

**Figure 1:** This figure displays allowed points on the *r*-*w* plane, with light blue points satisfying constraints related to  $m_h$ ,  $_h$ ,  $\kappa_W$ ,  $\kappa_Z$ , and  $\kappa_t$ , along with theoretical bounds. Red points additionally fulfill the  $\kappa_b$  constraint, and dark blue points meet the extra requirement of  $m_{H_1} > 15$  TeV.

## 6. Conclusions

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that the typical assumption of  $v_L$ ,  $\kappa_2 \ll \kappa_1$  only holds true for only a fine-tuned set of parameters, especially when quartic couplings  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  have somewhat large values, even when the value of q deviates from 1. We performed calculations with the full, *generic* set of quartic couplings and found that the scarcity of allowed points for small values of r and w remains, and it is even more pronounced. Therefore, our main finding is as follows: While most DLRSM studies assume that  $\kappa_1 \approx v_{EW}$  with other  $SU(2)_L$  breaking vevs being negligible, we have demonstrated that significant values of r and w are consistent with Higgs data and are favored by the indirect constraint on  $m_{H_1}$ . Additionally, all points satisfying the constraint  $m_{H_1} > 15$  TeV also exhibit  $\kappa_{t,,b} \sim 1$  with high precision. This provides confirmation that the lightest scalar in the DLRSM achieves alignment with the SM-like Higgs through the process of decoupling from the heavier scalar states.

# 7. Acknowledgements

S.K. and S.U.S. thank Ministry of Education, Government of India, for financial support through Institute of Eminence funding. J.M. acknowledges the support of the Department of Science and Technology (DST) of the Government of India through grant no. SR/WOS-A/PM-6/2019(G).

## References

- [1] G. Senjanovic and R. N. Mohapatra, "Exact Left-Right Symmetry and Spontaneous Violation of Parity," Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975), 1502.
- [2] G. Senjanovic, "Spontaneous Breakdown of Parity in a Class of Gauge Theories," Nucl. Phys. B 153 (1979), 334-364.
- [3] V. Bernard, S. Descotes-Genon and L. Vale Silva, "Constraining the gauge and scalar sectors of the doublet left-right symmetric model," JHEP **09** (2020), 088 [arXiv:2001.00886 [hep-ph]].
- [4] S. Karmakar, J. More, A. K. Pradhan and S. U. Sankar, "Constraints on the doublet left-right symmetric model from Higgs data," JHEP 03 (2023), 168 [arXiv:2211.08445 [hep-ph]].
- [5] Y. Zhang, H. An, X. Ji and R. N. Mohapatra, "General CP Violation in Minimal Left-Right Symmetric Model and Constraints on the Right-Handed Scale," Nucl. Phys. B 802 (2008), 247-279 [arXiv:0712.4218 [hep-ph]].