

The broadband 3-year monitoring of the blazar 1ES 1959+650: a SED analysis of the low state

Cristina Nanci,^{a,b,*} Cosimo Nigro,^c Cornelia Arcaro,^d Elisa Prandini,^{d,e} Daniela Dorner,^f Roberta Zanin,^g Axel Arbet-Engels,^h Mireia Nievas Rosillo,ⁱ Filippo D'Ammando,^b Ettore Bronzini^{a,b} and Shunsuke Sakurai^j for the MAGIC, OVRO and TELAMON Collaboration

^a*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Gobetti 93/2, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

^b*Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

^c*Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain*

^d*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), via Francesco Marzolo, 8, 35131 Padova, Italy*

^e*Università di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy*

^f*Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany*

^g*Cherenkov Telescope Array Observatory gGmbH, Via Gobetti, 93/3, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

^h*Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany*

ⁱ*Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias and Dpto. de Astrofísica, E-38200, Tenerife, Spain*

^j*Japanese MAGIC Group: ICRR, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, 277-8582 Chiba, Japan*

E-mail: contact.magic@mpp.mpg.de

Blazars' Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) consists of two components. In a leptonic interpretation, the low-energy bump is due to synchrotron radiation from accelerated electrons while the high-energy one is produced via inverse Compton scattering of the electrons by lower-energy photons. These come from the synchrotron radiation produced by the same population of electrons emitting at low energy (Synchrotron Self-Compton, SSC, scenario) or from an external photon field. According to hadronic models, the high-energy emission is due to processes involving the protons in the source. Multiwavelength (MWL) long-term monitoring of blazars is key since the SED modelling over time allows the study of the radiative processes during different source states. The blazar 1ES 1959+650 represents an ideal laboratory for that, being bright at all wavelengths and located at low redshift ($z=0.047$) allowing its detection up to TeV energies. Also, it underwent some flaring episodes in the past. Long-term monitoring of 1ES 1959+650 is ongoing under the coordination of the MAGIC Collaboration. During the last years, the source is experiencing its lowest state ever reached, mainly at very high energies (VHE; $E > 100$ GeV). This contribution presents the MAGIC+MWL observations of the last 3 years, and the preliminary study focusing on an SSC interpretation of the data.

38th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2023)
26 July - 3 August, 2023
Nagoya, Japan



*Speaker

1. Introduction

Blazars are Active Galactic Nuclei (AGN) with a relativistic jet raised from the central black hole that points in the observer's direction. The blazar's Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) is dominated by relativistic jet emission which extends from radio to the TeV gamma-rays. The SED of blazars is characterised by two bumps, the low-energy one peaking in the sub-millimetre to the X-ray regime and the high-energy one at around MeV energies.

Well-established theoretical models predict that the low-energy part of the broadband SED, from radio to X-rays, is produced by relativistic electrons accelerated in the magnetic field of the jet, emitting synchrotron radiation. Depending on the frequency of the synchrotron peak (ν_{peak}) blazars are divided in low-, intermediate-, and high-synchrotron-peaked (LSP, $\nu_{\text{peak}} < 10^{14}$ Hz, ISP, $10^{14} < \nu_{\text{peak}} < 10^{15}$ Hz, and HSP, $\nu_{\text{peak}} > 10^{15}$ Hz, respectively) [1]. The origin of the emission at the high-energy part of the SED is still discussed. According to leptonic models, this can be due to inverse Compton (IC) interactions between the low-energy synchrotron photons with the relativistic electrons responsible for the synchrotron peak (synchrotron self Compton, SSC). In some sources, external regions provide the photon fields for IC interactions with the relativistic particles. According to hadronic models instead, the hadronic component of the jet plays a not negligible role in the radiation output of blazars at high energy. Both proton-photon interactions and proton synchrotron mechanism are assumed as possible radiative processes producing the second bump of the SED [11].

1ES 1959+650 is an HSP blazar classified as a BL Lac, namely blazars showing a featureless optical spectrum with nearly no emission lines. The source is located at redshift 0.047 [9]. In agreement with typical blazar's behaviour, the emission of 1ES 1959+650 continuously varies across all the bands of the electromagnetic spectrum with different timescales. Given its vicinity to us and its brightness at all bands, the source has been intensively monitored from several facilities and the monitoring data have allowed the interpretation of the SED at different stages of the source lifespan.

The first outstanding event from 1ES 1959+650 was reported by the Whipple and High Energy Gamma Ray Astronomy (HEGRA) experiments which detected two strong TeV flares separated by one month from each other (e.g. [2]). The second of the two had no X-ray high-state counterpart, wherefore it is called an orphan flare. The absence of X-ray emission simultaneous to that observed at TeV challenges the predictions of the SSC model, according to which the very-high-energy (VHE, $E > 100$ GeV) and X-ray emission are both produced by the same population of electrons. Even more interestingly, the AMANDA neutrino observatory detection of two neutrinos simultaneously with the orphan flare [4] could favour the hadronic scenario instead of the leptonic one, since high-energy neutrinos are among the byproducts of proton-photon collisions. However, the statistical significance of the detection is low and this makes the source an unconfirmed neutrino emitter candidate.

Since 2015 1ES 1959+650 is monitored at VHE by the Major Atmospheric Gamma Imaging Cherenkov (MAGIC) Collaboration. During the MAGIC monitoring, in 2016, a new extreme flaring episode at VHE, with a photon flux exceeding 3 Crab units (CU), occurred [6]. This was followed by flaring activity between 2017 and 2018 at lower flux levels. After that, the source entered its lowest state at VHE observed so far in 2019, with a photon flux lower than 0.6 CU [7]. The interchange of

VHE low and high states underlies modifications in the main players of the emission mechanisms, such as the magnetic field and the electron energy distribution in an SSC scenario. The rich amount of multi-year MWL data of 1ES 1959+650 has allowed the interpretation of the SED with different emission mechanisms, with the SSC models being the most effective ones, but the overall scenario is still unclear.

In this work, we focus on the MWL observations of 1ES 1959+650 from 2020 to 2022 and we describe the analysis approach based on a SSC scenario.

2. MWL data

In this section, the MWL data are presented.

MAGIC The MAGIC Collaboration operates a two-telescope system which exploits the Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Technique (IACT) to detect gamma rays. The MAGIC telescopes are located about 2200 meters above sea level, at the Roque de los Muchachos observatory of La Palma (Canary Islands). 1ES 1959+650 is observed over a zenith range from 35° to 66° at maximum. In this work we analyse three years of MAGIC observation, from June 2020 to August 2022, consisting of a total of about 100 hours of good-quality data. About half of the observations have been performed during the dark time, while the other half were carried out in the presence of the moon, requiring a delicate analysis following [3]. The data reduction was performed with the MAGIC analysis software MARS [13].

Fermi-LAT The Large Area Telescope (LAT) instrument, on board the *Fermi* Gamma-ray Space Telescope, observes gamma rays with energies between 20 MeV and 300 GeV. Thanks to the LAT, operating sky scans every three hours, continuous monitoring of gamma-ray sources is guaranteed. In this work, we included *Fermi*-LAT data overlapping the MAGIC data periods. The energy range adopted is 0.3-300 GeV and the temporal binning is 7 days.

Swift-XRT and UVOT The Neil Gehrels *Swift* Observatory operates the X-Ray Telescope (*Swift*-XRT), which observes in the energy range of 0.2-10 keV, and the Ultraviolet Optical Telescope (*Swift*-UVOT) which is equipped with ultraviolet and optical band filters (UVW1, UVM2, UVW2, and U, B, V), observing at wavelengths from 170 to 650 nm. 1ES 1959+650 is regularly monitored by the *Swift* satellite and the data are public. Both *Swift*-XRT and -UVOT data from June 2020 to August 2022 are analysed in this work.

Tuorla and KAIT 1ES 1959+650 has been observed in the optical R-band using the 1.03 m telescope at Tuorla Observatory, in Finland, as part of the Tuorla Observatory blazar monitoring. Simultaneous monitoring in the unfiltered optical band, which correspond roughly to the R band, is provided by the Katzman Automatic Imaging Telescope (KAIT).

OVRO At 15 GHz, 1ES 1959+650 is monitored by the single-dish radio telescope operated by the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) in California, US. OVRO observations and data reduction are reported in [10].

TELAMON The 100-m Effelsberg single-dish radio telescope monitors 1ES 1959+650 at multiple frequencies, from 14 GHz to 42 GHz, as part of the TeV Effelsberg Long-term Agn MONitoring (TELAMON). The monitoring program is described in [5].

VLBI The 43 GHz public very-long-baseline interferometry (VLBI) data of 1ES 1959+650 are provided by the Boston University Blazar Group which leads the Large VLBA Project BEAM-ME. The very-long-baseline array (VLBA) is an array of ten antennas located in America working as an interferometer.

3. Variability and SED study

As typical for blazars, 1ES 1959+650 varies at all wavelengths with different timescales, ranging from the timescale of hours, at VHE, to months, at the radio band. We characterise temporal variations and structures present in the MWL light curve by exploiting the Bayesian Blocks algorithm [8]. This algorithm is based on constructing optimal time intervals, so-called blocks, within which the emitted light from the source can be assumed as constant. The subdivision in blocks is based on a Bayesian approach. The algorithm starts with a first split in blocks of minimum duration and then proceeds with the iterative merging of adjacent blocks. The process continues until the introduction of a new block would not improve the model's likelihood. After multiple trials, the priors which enter the power law describing the number of time intervals (see function (3) in [8]) are adjusted to represent the variability in each light curve. To do that, we searched for a compromise between too few time bins that clearly combine different states and a too large number of time bins that closely follow the trend of the observed light curves, thus not providing any actual division of the data sample.

The large MWL dataset gives us the opportunity to investigate the temporal changes in the SED of 1ES 1959+650. We apply the Bayesian Blocks analysis to divide the data into different time bins in which to perform the SED modelling and interpretation. At high energy, we usually need to integrate data due to low statistics. In this context, the Bayesian Blocks approach provides us with a tool to identify which data can be merged avoiding the averaging of different source states.

The results at low energies, from the X-ray down to the optical band, show that the instruments can detect finer structures with respect to the HE and VHE bands. This is due to the higher statistics for the X-ray, UV and optical bands. The MWL light curve shows that the source is still in an overall low state as in 2019. This is also confirmed by the Bayesian Blocks algorithm results. It appears indeed that, despite the source dramatically varying at all wavelengths, no major outbursts as the 2016 one occurred over the period from 2020 to 2022. All the peaks are indeed almost consistent with each other.

Multiple minor flares can be identified in the optical light curves in a time range of about 100 days, from MJD 59400 to MJD 59600. However, the flux intensity in this observing period is still lower than the previous one (see the fifth panel of Fig 2 in [7]). A spectral variability at the X-ray band has also been reported by [12], in agreement with our result. The XRT data analysed in [12] partially overlap the time range of this work. The *Fermi*-LAT light curve has extremely low statistics due to the 7-day binning. We performed the SED modelling in each of the time bins identified in the MAGIC light curve using averaged spectra. At HE, we used the corresponding averaged spectrum

while for the lower energy bands, we selected a one-day spectrum for each corresponding VHE block. This is done to avoid the merging of different source states since the variability at lower energies is detected on shorter timescales with respect to the VHE band.

We adopted a one-zone SSC model approach to describe the data. According to one-zone models, broadband emission comes from a single spherical compact blob. In the radio band, the extended jet emission is not resolved, it then represents a not negligible contribution to the total emission. For this reason, radio data are not included in the SED modelling.

The preliminary results of the SED modelling analysis in most of the time bins indicate that the low state of 1ES 1959+650 can satisfactorily be described by an SSC model, with model parameters in agreement with previous studies.

4. Summary

We analysed the MAGIC+MWL data of the HSP BL Lac 1ES 1959+650, from 2020 to 2022. In this period the source was experiencing its lowest state ever reached. Modelling the observational points in the blazar SED plays a crucial role in distinguishing between leptonic and hadronic models. Moreover, SED modelling enables us to deduce the physical parameters, such as those ones describing the electron population's energy distribution shape, under various interpretation frameworks. Performing the SED analysis in a peculiar phase of the source, when it is in an overall low state allows us to understand the emission processes in action and to compare the case with the flaring states for which a few studies are available. In this work, we used a Bayesian Block approach in order to split the VHE data into time bins in which to study the SED. Most of the time-binned SEDs can be well described assuming an SSC scenario. In an upcoming publication, the details on the SED results and on the alternative models assumed when an SSC model is not adequate will be presented.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias for the excellent working conditions at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos in La Palma. The financial support of the German BMBF, MPG and HGF; the Italian INFN and INAF; the Swiss National Fund SNF; the ERDF under the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN) (PID2019-104114RB-C31, PID2019-104114RB-C32, PID2019-104114RB-C33, PID2019-05510GB C31, PID2019-107847RB-C41, PID2019-107847RB-C42, PID2019-107847RB-C44, PID2019-107988GB-C22); the Indian Department of Atomic Energy; the Japanese ICRR, the University of Tokyo, JSPS, and MEXT; the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science, National RI Roadmap Project DO1-400/18.12.2020 and the Academy of Finland grant nr. 320045 is gratefully acknowledged. This study makes use of VLBA data from the VLBA-BU Blazar Monitoring Program (BEAM-ME and VLBA-BU-BLAZAR; <http://www.bu.edu/blazars/BEAM-ME.html>), funded by NASA through the Fermi Guest Investigator Program. The VLBA is an instrument of the National Radio Astronomy Observatory. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated by Associated Universities, Inc. This work is partly based on observations with the 100-m telescope of the MPIfR (Max-PlanckInstitut für Radioastronomie) at Effelsberg. FE, JH, MK and FR acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, grants 447572188, 434448349, 465409577). S.K. acknowledges support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No. 771282. This research has made use of data from the OVRO 40-m monitoring program (Richards, J. L. et al. 2011, ApJS, 194, 29), supported by private funding from the California Institute of Technology and the Max Planck Institute

for Radio Astronomy, and by NASA grants NNX08AW31G, NNX11A043G, and NNX14AQ89G and NSF grants AST-0808050 and AST- 1109911.

References

- [1] Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Agudo, I., et al. 2010, ApJ, 716, 30
- [2] Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A., Beilicke, M., et al. 2003, A&A, 406, L9
- [3] Ahnen, M. L., Ansoldi, S., Antonelli, L. A., et al. 2017, Astroparticle Physics, 94, 29
- [4] Halzen, F. & Hooper, D. 2005, Astroparticle Physics, 23, 537
- [5] Kadler, M., Bach, U., Berge, D., et al. 2021, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2108.00383
- [6] MAGIC Collaboration, Acciari, V. A., Ansoldi, S., et al. 2020, A&A, 638, A14
- [7] MAGIC Collaboration, The Fact Collaboration, Acciari, V. A., et al. 2022, in 37th International Cosmic Ray Conference, 858
- [8] Scargle, J. D., Norris, J. P., Jackson, B., & Chiang, J. 2013, ApJ, 764, 167
- [9] Perlman, E. S., Stocke, J. T., Schachter, J. F., et al. 1996, ApJS, 104, 251
- [10] Richards, J. L., Max-Moerbeck, W., Pavlidou, V., et al. 2011, ApJS, 194, 29
- [11] Sol, H. & Zech, A. 2022, Galaxies, 10, 105
- [12] Wani, K. A., Gaur, H., & Patil, M. K. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2305.03246
- [13] Zanin, R., Carmona, E., Sitarek, J., et al. 2013, in International Cosmic Ray Conference, Vol. 33, International Cosmic Ray Conference, 2937

Full Authors List: MAGIC Collaboration

H. Abe¹, S. Abe¹, J. Abhir², V. A. Acciari³, I. Agudo⁴, T. Aniello⁵, S. Ansoldi^{6,46}, L. A. Antonelli⁵, A. Arbet Engels⁷, C. Arcaro⁸, M. Artero⁹, K. Asano¹, D. Baack¹⁰, A. Babic¹¹, A. Baquero¹², U. Barres de Almeida¹³, J. A. Barrio¹², I. Batkovic⁸, J. Baxter¹⁶, J. Becerra González³, W. Bednarek¹⁴, E. Bernardini⁸, M. Bernardos⁴, J. Bernete¹⁵, A. Berti⁷, J. Besenrieder⁷, C. Bigongiari⁵, A. Biland², O. Blanch⁹, G. Bonnoli⁵, Ž. Bošnjak¹¹, E. Bronzini⁵, I. Burelli⁶, G. Busetto⁸, A. Campoy-Ordaz¹⁶, A. Carosi⁵, R. Carosi¹⁷, M. Carretero-Castrillo¹⁸, A. J. Castro-Tirado⁴, G. Ceribella⁷, Y. Chai⁷, A. Chilingarian¹⁹, A. Cifuentes¹⁵, S. Cikota¹¹, E. Colombo³, J. L. Contreras¹², J. Cortina¹⁵, S. Covino⁵, G. D'Amico²⁰, F. D'Ammando⁵, V. D'Elia⁵, P. Da Vela^{17,47}, F. Dazzi⁵, A. De Angelis⁸, B. De Lotto⁶, A. Del Popolo²¹, M. Delfino^{9,48}, J. Delgado^{9,48}, C. Delgado Mendez¹⁵, D. Depaoli²², F. Di Pierro²², L. Di Venere²³, D. Dominis Prester²⁴, A. Donini⁵, D. Dorner²⁵, M. Doro⁸, D. Elsaesser¹⁰, G. Emery²⁶, J. Escudero⁴, L. Fariña⁹, A. Fattorini¹⁰, L. Foffano⁵, L. Font¹⁶, S. Fröse¹⁰, S. Fukami², Y. Fukazawa²⁷, R. J. García López³, M. Garczarczyk²⁸, S. Gasparyan²⁹, M. Gaug¹⁶, J. G. Giesbrecht Paiva¹³, N. Giglietto²³, F. Giordano²³, P. Gliwny¹⁴, N. Godinović³⁰, R. Grau⁹, D. Green⁷, J. G. Green⁷, D. Hadasch¹, A. Hahn⁷, T. Hassan¹⁵, L. Heckmann^{7,49}, J. Herrera³, D. Hrupec³¹, M. Hütten¹, R. Imazawa²⁷, T. Inada¹, R. Iotov²⁵, K. Ishio¹⁴, I. Jiménez Martínez¹⁵, J. Jormanainen³², D. Kerszberg⁹, G. W. Kluge^{20,50}, Y. Kobayashi¹, P. M. Kouch³², H. Kubo¹, J. Kushida³³, M. Láinez Lezáun¹², A. Lamastra⁵, D. Lelas³⁰, F. Leone⁵, E. Lindfors³², L. Linhoff¹⁰, S. Lombardi⁵, F. Longo^{6,51}, R. López-Coto⁴, M. López-Moya¹², A. López-Oramas³, S. Loporchio²³, A. Lorini³⁴, E. Lyard²⁶, B. Machado de Oliveira Fraga¹³, P. Majumdar³⁵, M. Makariev³⁶, G. Maneva³⁶, N. Mang¹⁰, M. Manganaro²⁴, S. Mangano¹⁵, K. Mannheim²⁵, M. Mariotti⁸, M. Martínez⁹, M. Martínez-Chicharro¹⁵, A. Mas-Aguilar¹², D. Mazin^{1,52}, S. Menchiari³⁴, S. Mender¹⁰, S. Mićanović²⁴, D. Miceli⁸, T. Miener¹², J. M. Miranda³⁴, R. Mirzoyan⁷, M. Molero González³, E. Molina³, H. A. Mondal³⁵, A. Moralejo⁹, D. Morcuende¹², T. Nakamori³⁷, C. Nanci⁵, L. Nava⁵, V. Neustroev³⁸, L. Nickel¹⁰, M. Nieves Rosillo³, C. Nigro⁹, L. Nikolic³⁴, K. Nilsson³², K. Nishijima³³, T. Njoh Ekoume³, K. Noda³⁹, S. Nozaki⁷, Y. Ohtani¹, T. Oka⁴⁰, A. Okumura⁴¹, J. Otero-Santos³, S. Paiano⁵, M. Palatiello⁶, D. Paneque⁷, R. Paoletti³⁴, J. M. Paredes¹⁸, L. Pavletić²⁴, D. Pavlović²⁴, M. Persic^{6,53}, M. Pihet⁸, G. Pirola⁷, F. Podobnik³⁴, P. G. Prada Moroni¹⁷, E. Prandini⁸, G. Principe⁶, C. Priyadarshi⁹, W. Rhode¹⁰, M. Ribó¹⁸, J. Rico⁹, C. Righi⁵, N. Sahakyan²⁹, T. Saito¹, S. Sakurai¹, K. Satalecka³², F. G. Saturni⁵, B. Schleicher²⁵, K. Schmidt¹⁰, F. Schmuckermaier⁷, J. L. Schubert¹⁰, T. Schweizer⁷, A. Sciacaluga⁵, J. Sitarek¹⁴, V. Sliusar²⁶, D. Sobczynska¹⁴, A. Spolon⁸, A. Stamera⁵, J. Strišković³¹, D. Strom⁷, M. Strzys¹, Y. Suda²⁷, T. Suric⁴², S. Suutarinen³², H. Tajima⁴¹, M. Takahashi⁴¹, R. Takeishi¹, F. Tavecchio⁵, P. Temnikov³⁶, K. Terauchi⁴⁰, T. Terzić²⁴, M. Teshima^{7,54}, L. Tosti⁴³, S. Truzzi³⁴, A. Tutone⁵, S. Ubach¹⁶, J. van Scherpenberg⁷, M. Vazquez Acosta³, S. Ventura³⁴, V. Verguillo³⁶, I. Viale⁸, C. F. Vigorito²², V. Vitale⁴⁴, I. Vovk¹, R. Walter²⁶, M. Will⁷, C. Wunderlich³⁴, T. Yamamoto⁴⁵, R. Zanin⁵

¹ Japanese MAGIC Group: Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, 277-8582 Chiba, Japan

² ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

³ Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias and Dpto. de Astrofísica, Universidad de La Laguna, E-38200, La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

⁴ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía-CSIC, Glorieta de la Astronomía s/n, 18008, Granada, Spain

⁵ National Institute for Astrophysics (INAF), I-00136 Rome, Italy

⁶ Università di Udine and INFN Trieste, I-33100 Udine, Italy

⁷ Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany

⁸ Università di Padova and INFN, I-35131 Padova, Italy

⁹ Institut de Física d'Altes Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST), E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain

¹⁰ Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

¹¹ Croatian MAGIC Group: University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing (FER), 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

¹² IPARCOS Institute and EMFTEL Department, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

¹³ Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas (CBPF), 22290-180 URCA, Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Brazil

¹⁴ University of Lodz, Faculty of Physics and Applied Informatics, Department of Astrophysics, 90-236 Lodz, Poland

¹⁵ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas, E-28040 Madrid, Spain

¹⁶ Departament de Física, and CERES-IEEC, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, E-08193 Bellaterra, Spain

¹⁷ Università di Pisa and INFN Pisa, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

¹⁸ Universitat de Barcelona, ICCUB, IEEC-UB, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain

¹⁹ Armenian MAGIC Group: A. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory, 0036 Yerevan, Armenia

²⁰ Department for Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Norway

²¹ INFN MAGIC Group: INFN Sezione di Catania and Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, University of Catania, I-95123 Catania, Italy

²² INFN MAGIC Group: INFN Sezione di Torino and Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy

²³ INFN MAGIC Group: INFN Sezione di Bari and Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica dell'Università e del Politecnico di Bari, I-70125 Bari, Italy

²⁴ Croatian MAGIC Group: University of Rijeka, Faculty of Physics, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia

²⁵ Universität Würzburg, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

²⁶ University of Geneva, Chemin d'Ecogia 16, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland

²⁷ Japanese MAGIC Group: Physics Program, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, 739-8526 Hiroshima, Japan

²⁸ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY), D-15738 Zeuthen, Germany

²⁹ Armenian MAGIC Group: ICRANet-Armenia, 0019 Yerevan, Armenia

- ³⁰ Croatian MAGIC Group: University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture (FESB), 21000 Split, Croatia
- ³¹ Croatian MAGIC Group: Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, Department of Physics, 31000 Osijek, Croatia
- ³² Finnish MAGIC Group: Finnish Centre for Astronomy with ESO, University of Turku, FI-20014 Turku, Finland
- ³³ Japanese MAGIC Group: Department of Physics, Tokai University, Hiratsuka, 259-1292 Kanagawa, Japan
- ³⁴ Università di Siena and INFN Pisa, I-53100 Siena, Italy
- ³⁵ Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, A CI of Homi Bhabha National Institute, Kolkata 700064, West Bengal, India
- ³⁶ Inst. for Nucl. Research and Nucl. Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria
- ³⁷ Japanese MAGIC Group: Department of Physics, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan
- ³⁸ Finnish MAGIC Group: Space Physics and Astronomy Research Unit, University of Oulu, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland
- ³⁹ Japanese MAGIC Group: Chiba University, ICEHAP, 263-8522 Chiba, Japan
- ⁴⁰ Japanese MAGIC Group: Department of Physics, Kyoto University, 606-8502 Kyoto, Japan
- ⁴¹ Japanese MAGIC Group: Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research and Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, 464-6801 Nagoya, Japan
- ⁴² Croatian MAGIC Group: Ruđer Bošković Institute, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁴³ INFN MAGIC Group: INFN Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ⁴⁴ INFN MAGIC Group: INFN Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- ⁴⁵ Japanese MAGIC Group: Department of Physics, Konan University, Kobe, Hyogo 658-8501, Japan
- ⁴⁶ also at International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics (ICRA), Rome, Italy
- ⁴⁷ now at Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics, University of Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- ⁴⁸ also at Port d'Informació Científica (PIC), E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
- ⁴⁹ also at Institute for Astro- and Particle Physics, University of Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- ⁵⁰ also at Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Norway
- ⁵¹ also at Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
- ⁵² Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, D-80805 München, Germany
- ⁵³ also at INAF Padova
- ⁵⁴ Japanese MAGIC Group: Institute for Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, 277-8582 Chiba, Japan

OVRO Collaboration

Talvikki Hovatta^{1,2}, Sebastian Kiehlmann^{3,4}, Anthony C. S. Readhead⁵

¹Finnish Center for Astronomy with ESO (FINCA), University of Turku, FI-20014, Turku, Finland

²Aalto University Metsähovi Radio Observatory, Metsähovintie 114, 02540 Kylmälä, Finland

³Institute of Astrophysics, Foundation for Research and Technology-Hellas, GR-71110 Heraklion, Greece

⁴Department of Physics, Univ. of Crete, GR-70013 Heraklion, Greece

⁵Owens Valley Radio Observatory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

TELAMON Collaboration

Matthias Kadler¹ Petra Benke² Florian Eppel¹ Andrea Gokus³ Steven Hämmerich⁴ Jonas Heßdörfer¹ Dana Kirchner¹ Georgios Filippou Paraschos² Florian Rösch¹ Jonas Sinapius⁵ Philip Weber¹

¹Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Lehrstuhl für Astronomie, Emil-Fischer-Str. 31, D-97074 Würzburg, Germany

²Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie, Auf dem Hügel 69, 53121 Bonn, Germany

³McDonnell Center for Space Science, Washington University, MSC 1105-109-03, One Brookings Drive, St. Louis, MO 63130-4899, USA

⁴Remeis Observatory and Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Sternwartstr. 7, 96049 Bamberg, Germany

⁵DESY, 15738 Zeuthen, Germany