

**Figure 1:** Representation of two-step fit to parametrize  $\langle N_{\mu}^{p} \rangle$  (see Eq. (2)) from  $N_{\mu}^{C}$ . *Left:* Dependence of  $N_{\mu}^{C}$  on the Monte-Carlo energy  $E_{MC}$  for  $\theta \in [0^{\circ}, 65^{\circ}]$ . The average number of muons follows a power law. The black, dashed line represents the best-fit of the exponential in Eq. (2). *Right:* Dependence of relative number of muons on the Monte-Carlo inclination angle  $\theta_{MC}$ . The more matter is traversed by the shower, the more muons are lost due to attenuation effects. The black, dashed line shows the best-fit of the  $f(\theta)$  function.

**Table 1:** Best-fit parameters of the two-step fitting process of Eq. (2). In both steps (see Fig. 1) least-square fits have been used. The first row contains the best-fit values and the second row the uncertainties of these values.

	A	В	а	b	С	d
$\langle \cdot \rangle$	-10.190	0.942	1.349	0.317	-2.050	0.299
$\sigma$ .	0.033	0.002	0.004	0.046	0.131	0.105

## **Additional information**

This document gives additional information on the fitting process (see Fig. 1 and Table 1) of

$$\langle N^{\rm p}_{\mu} \rangle (E,\theta) = f(\theta) \, 10^{A+B \, \lg E} = [a+bx+cx^2+dx^3] \, 10^{A+B \, \lg E} \tag{2}$$

and the NN architecture (see Fig. 2). The architecture of the subnetworks N1 and N2 is described in detail in [1]. There, however, N2 is split into N2 and N3 where former is only a subnetwork dedicated to correlate spatial information.

## References

[1] S. T. Hahn. PhD thesis (2022), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT).



**Figure 2:** Overview of architecture of the NNs used to infer  $R_{\mu}$  from shower footprints. The networks have two different inputs, denoted as  $\hat{S}$  and  $\hat{A}$ . The normalized time signals of the triggered detectors  $\hat{S}$  are "compressed" in subnetwork N1. Due to weight-sharing, all encoded time signals are treated the same. From 120 time bins to  $n_{\rm f}$  (feature-)channels  $\hat{S}^{\rm c}$ . The output is concatenated to the additional station- and event-level input data, denoted as  $\hat{A}$ . The (feature-)tensor F is then used as the input of the second subnetwork N2. N2 is 2d-convolution-based network that exploits the spatial information reducing for the direct prediction of  $R_{\mu}^{\rm pred}$ .