

ALPs searches on galactic sources using the HAWC Observatory

Alvaro Pratts,,[∗] **Sergio Hernández-Cadena, Rubén Alfaro and D. Avila Rojas for the HAWC collaboration**

 Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, C.U., A. Postal 20-364, 04510 CDMX, México.

E-mail: yoba_m_t_a@ciencias.unam.mx

Axion-like particles could be potential dark matter candidates, whose conversion from gamma rays could have an impact on the spectra of extremely powerful astronomical gamma-ray sources. For galactic sources, the overall result of this coupling may be reflected as an attenuation of the gamma-ray spectrum at energies above several tens of TeV. Therefore, multi-TeV observatories like the High Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) Observatory would have a unique opportunity to investigate the parameters of ALPs candidates in the mass range from fractions of a neV up to tens of μ eV. In this study, we present a preliminary study of the spectrum observed of the TeV gamma-ray source eHWC J1908+63, constraining the ALP coupling to better than 10^{-12} GeV⁻¹

38th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC2023) 26 July - 3 August, 2023 Nagoya, Japan

∗Speaker

 \odot Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0). <https://pos.sissa.it/>

1. Introduction

The axion is a hypothetical particle proposed to solve the strong CP problem in QCD through the so-called Peccei-Quinn mechanism , which can be generalized to broader theories such as string theory, resulting in particles known as Axion-Like Particles (ALPs) [\[1\]](#page-4-0) . Both the axion and ALPs have been proposed as candidates for dark matter, offering an intriguing possibility due to their characteristic of being in a "cold" state, meaning they would move at low velocities in accordance with mentioned ΛCDM cosmological model [\[2,](#page-4-1) [3\]](#page-4-2) .

Unlike heavy dark matter candidates like Weakly Interacting Massive Particles (WIMPs), the ALPs are very light particles, ranging from eV to as low as 10^{-20} eV, making direct detection challenging. However, one distinguishing feature of ALPs is their coupling to electromagnetism, which allows for photon-ALP conversion under high-energy (TeV scale) and strong magnetic field conditions. This conversion could manifest as anomalies in the spectrum of a source emitting high-energy photons [\[4\]](#page-4-3).

Previous studies using Fermi-LAT and H.E.S.S [\[5\]](#page-4-4) focused on distant emission sources to ensure oscillations and examined regions with active galaxies such as PKS 2155-304 and PG 1553+113. However, this approach introduced systematic errors due to the need to consider the Extragalactic Background Light (EBL) in their analysis. Nevertheless, with increasingly sensitive instruments capable of detecting very high energies [\[6\]](#page-4-5), the distance requirement becomes less stringent, allowing for the study of galactic sources with emission at such energies [\[7\]](#page-4-6) (tens or hundreds of TeV), which can be found near pulsars, such as supernova remnants or objects known as TeV Halos. These sources have been reported by HAWC [\[8\]](#page-4-7), enabling the study of possible photon-ALP conversions with galactic sources.

Studying galactic sources offers significant advantages over extragalactic sources, as there is no need to consider the effects of the EBL, and the galactic magnetic field can be modeled more accurately instead of relying on a model of the distant source's field and the intergalactic magnetic field. These advantages significantly reduce systematic errors, allowing for a better analysis of the spectrum at very-high-energies from galactic sources.

Based on the above, the objective of this study is to investigate the possible effects of ALPs on the spectrum of ultra-high-energy sources detected by the HAWC observatory emitting above tens of TeV in order to establish exclusion limits on two fundamental parameters of ALPs: their mass and coupling constant.

2. Source eHWC-J1908+063

In the present work we choose the source eHWC J1908+063 detected by several experiments including MILAGRO [\[9\]](#page-5-0), H.E.S.S [\[10\]](#page-5-1), and HAWC [\[11\]](#page-5-2) . We use gamma-ray data from HAWC whose reported photon energy reaches above 170 TeV. The best fit spectrum reported for this source is a Log-Parabola function, and the distance reported is 3.2kpc. The Galactic magnetic field is composed of two main components: a random component with small coherence scales and a largescale regular component. The random component, which exhibits self-cancellation in oscillation, is not considered in our analysis. Instead, we focus on the regular Galactic B-field model described in [\[12\]](#page-5-3) where the average magnitude of the magnetic field is approximately 1μ G.

3. Analysis

In this work we use HAWC data, using an updated reconstruction algorithm known as Pass 5. We test different models of ALPs hypothetical anomalies induced by ALPs in the specturm of the source eHWC J1909 +063.

It is assumed [\[7\]](#page-4-6) that the observed flux by HAWC takes the form:

$$
\frac{d\phi}{dE_{\gamma}} = \left(1 - P_{\gamma \to a}\right) \cdot f_{att} \cdot \left. \frac{d\phi}{dE_{\gamma}} \right|_{\text{source}},\tag{1}
$$

where $\frac{d\phi}{dt}$ $\overline{dE_\nu}$ $\Big|_{\text{source}}$ is the intrinsic flux of the source without considering the conversion effect, $P_{\gamma \to a}$ is the photon-ALP conversion probability, and the factor f_{att} denotes the attenuation of the astrophysical flux due to gamma-ray dispersion. In the case of galactic sources, the f_{att} factor can be considered $f_{att} \approx 1$ due to the proximity of the source and the high-energy of the photons. Therefore, the conversion probability is the most relevant factor in the potential distortion of the intrinsic flux. In particular, for polarized photons, the following approximation can be used [\[4\]](#page-4-3):

$$
P_{\gamma \to a}(E_{\gamma}) = \left(1 + \frac{E_c^2}{E_{\gamma}^2}\right)^{-1} \sin^2 \left(\frac{g_{a_{\gamma}} B_T L}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{E_c^2}{E_{\gamma}^2}}\right),\tag{2}
$$

where B_T is the transverse magnetic field to the photon's direction of motion, L is the distance traveled within the magnetic field, $g_{a\gamma}$ the coupling constant and E_c is the so-called critical energy defined as:

$$
E_c = \frac{|m_a^2 - \omega_{pl}^2|}{2g_{a\gamma}B_T},\tag{3}
$$

where m_a is the ALP mass and ω_{pl}^2 = $4\pi\alpha n_e$ $\frac{d^{th}e}{dt^{th}e}$ the plasma frequency of the medium, with n_e being the electron density, m_e the electron mass and α the fine structure constant.

4. Results and discussion

Using the Log-Likelihood-Ratio (LLR) exclusion criterion, we obtain the Log-Likelihood (LL) using the HAWC software called ZEBRA, with which we obtain:

$$
LLR = -2\left(\ln \mathcal{L}(\theta_0; m_a, g_{a\gamma} = 0) - \ln \mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta_{m_a, g_{a\gamma}}})\right),\tag{4}
$$

where ln $\mathcal{L}(\theta_0; m_a, g_{ay} = 0)$ is the LL under the null hypothesis (no ALPs) and the ln $\mathcal{L}(\theta_{m_a, g_{ay}})$ the LL under ALP hypothesis with a certain pair of m_a and $g_{a\gamma}$.

The parameters on distance and magnetic field used in Figure [1](#page-3-0) were the most *conservative* values mentioned in the literature [\[13\]](#page-5-4),[\[12\]](#page-5-3) in order to minimize the uncertainties. In Figure [2](#page-3-1) different values on distance and magnetic field were used to calculate the exclusion region and also the comparison with other observatories that have set exclusion regions for ALPs $[14]$, $[15]$, $[16]$, $[17]$.

Figure 1: Exclusion region obtained using eHWC J1908-063 data with $L = 3.2$ kpc and $B_T = 1 \mu$ G

Figure 2: Different regions obtained varying distance and magnetic field and the regions obtained with previous studies.

In this work we show that using galactic sources emitting at very high energy it is possible to set limits on the m_a and $g_{a\gamma}$ parameters for ALPs. The different sources of uncertainty that may affect the region obtained must be taken into account, such as distance and the magnetic field, however, even using the most restrictive values to minimize such uncertainties, it is possible to obtain an exclusion region that complements the regions obtained by other high-energy observatories.

We acknowledge the support from: the US National Science Foundation (NSF); the US Department of Energy Office of High-Energy Physics; the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program of Los Alamos National Laboratory; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología

(CONACyT), México, grants 271051, 232656, 260378, 179588, 254964, 258865, 243290, 132197, A1-S-46288, A1-S-22784, CF-2023-I-645, cátedras 873, 1563, 341, 323, Red HAWC, México; DGAPA-UNAM grants IG101323, IN111716-3, IN111419, IA102019, IN106521, IN110621, IN110521 , IN102223; VIEP-BUAP; PIFI 2012, 2013, PROFOCIE 2014, 2015; the University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation; the Institute of Geophysics, Planetary Physics, and Signatures at Los Alamos National Laboratory; Polish Science Centre grant, DEC-2017/27/B/ST9/02272; Coordinación de la Investigación Científica de la Universidad Michoacana; Royal Society - Newton Advanced Fellowship 180385; Generalitat Valenciana, grant CIDEGENT/2018/034; The Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation, NXPO (grant number B16F630069); Coordinación General Académica e Innovación (CGAI-UdeG), PRODEP-SEP UDG-CA-499; Institute of Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), University of Tokyo. H.F. acknowledges support by NASA under award number 80GSFC21M0002. We also acknowledge the significant contributions over many years of Stefan Westerhoff, Gaurang Yodh and Arnulfo Zepeda Dominguez, all deceased members of the HAWC collaboration. Thanks to Scott Delay, Luciano Díaz and Eduardo Murrieta for technical support.

References

- [1] G. Raffelt and L. Stodolsky, *Mixing of the photon with low-mass particles*, *[Phys. Rev. D](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1237)* **37** [\(1988\) 1237.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1237)
- [2] J. chan Hwang and H. Noh, *Axion as a cold dark matter candidate*, *[Physics Letters B](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.08.031)* **680** [\(2009\) 1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2009.08.031)
- [3] M. Bauer and T. Plehn, *Yet another introduction to dark matter*, 2018.
- [4] A. Mirizzi and D. Montanino, *Stochastic conversions of TeV photons into axion-like particles in extragalactic magnetic fields*, *[Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/12/004)* **2009** [\(2017\) 004.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2009/12/004)
- [5] J.-G. Guo, H.-J. Li, X.-J. Bi, S.-J. Lin and P.-F. Yin, *Implications of axion-like particles from the fermi-LAT and h.e.s.s. observations of pg 1553+113 and pks 2155-304*, *[Chinese Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abcd2e) C* **45** [\(2021\) 025105.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1137/abcd2e)
- [6] Z. Cao, F.A. Aharonian, Q. An, L.X. Axikegu, Bai, Y.X. Bai, Y.W. Bao et al., *Ultrahigh-energy photons up to 1.4 petaelectronvolts from 12 γ-ray Galactic sources, [Nature](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03498-z)* **594** [\(2021\) 33.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03498-z)
- [7] X. Bi, Y. Gao, J. Guo, N. Houston, T. Li, F. Xu et al., *Axion and dark photon limits from crab nebula high-energy gamma rays*, *[Physical Review D](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.103.043018)* **103** (2021) .
- [8] A. Abeysekara, A. Albert, R. Alfaro, J.A. Camacho, J. Arteaga-Velázquez, K. Arunbabu et al., *Multiple galactic sources with emission above 56 TeV detected by HAWC*, *[Physical](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.124.021102) [Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.124.021102)* **124** (2020) .
- [9] A.A. Abdo, B. Allen, D. Berley, S. Casanova, C. Chen, D.G. Coyne et al., *TeV gamma-ray sources from a survey of the galactic plane with milagro*, *[The Astrophysical Journal](https://doi.org/10.1086/520717)* **664** [\(2007\) L91.](https://doi.org/10.1086/520717)
- [10] F. Aharonian, A.G. Akhperjanian, G. Anton, U.B. de Almeida, A.R. Bazer-Bachi, Y. Becherini et al., *Detection of very high energy radiation from HESS j1908* + *063 confirms the milagro unidentified source MGRO j1908*+*06*, *[Astronomy & Astrophysics](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200811357)* **499** (2009) 723.
- [11] A.U. Abeysekara, A. Albert, R. Alfaro, C. Alvarez, J.D. Á lvarez, R. Arceo et al., *The 2hwc HAWC observatory gamma-ray catalog*, *[The Astrophysical Journal](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aa7556)* **843** (2017) 40.
- [12] R. Jansson and G.R. Farrar, *THE GALACTIC MAGNETIC FIELD*, *[The Astrophysical](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/761/1/l11) Journal* **761** [\(2012\) L11.](https://doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/761/1/l11)
- [13] A. Albert, R. Alfaro, C. Alvarez, J.D. Á lvarez, J.R.A. Camacho, J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez et al., *HAWC study of the ultra-high-energy spectrum of MGRO j1908*+*06*, *[The Astrophysical](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac56e5) Journal* **928** [\(2022\) 116.](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac56e5)
- [14] M. Ajello, A. Albert, B. Anderson, L. Baldini, G. Barbiellini, D. Bastieri et al., *Search for spectral irregularities due to photon–axionlike-particle oscillations with the fermi large area telescope*, *[Physical Review Letters](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.116.161101)* **116** (2016) .
- [15] M.D. Marsh, H.R. Russell, A.C. Fabian, B.R. McNamara, P. Nulsen and C.S. Reynolds, *A new bound on axion-like particles*, *[Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/12/036)* **2017** [\(2017\) 036.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/12/036)
- [16] CAST collaboration, *New CAST Limit on the Axion-Photon Interaction*, *[Nature Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4109)* **13** [\(2017\) 584](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4109) [[1705.02290](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.02290)].
- [17] D. Wouters and P. Brun, *Constraints on axion-like particles from gamma-ray astronomy with h.e.s.s*, 2013.

Full Authors List: the HAWC Collaboration

A. Albert¹, R. Alfaro², C. Alvarez³, A. Andrés⁴, J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez⁵, D. Avila Rojas², H.A. Ayala Solares⁶, R. Babu⁷, E. Belmont-Moreno², K.S. Caballero-Mora³, T. Capistrán⁴, S. Yun-Cárcamo⁸, A. Carramiñana⁹, F. Carreón⁴, U. Cotti⁵, J. Cotzomi²⁶, S. Coutiño de León¹⁰, E. De la Fuente¹¹, D. Depaoli¹², C. de León⁵, R. Diaz Hernandez⁹, J.C. Díaz-Vélez¹¹, B.L. Dingus¹, M. Durocher¹, M.A. DuVernois¹⁰, K. Engel⁸, C. Espinoza², K.L. Fan⁸, K. Fang¹⁰, N.I. Fraija⁴, J.A. García-González¹³, F. Garfias⁴, H. Goksu¹², M.M. G onzález⁴, J.A. Goodman⁸, S. Groetsch⁷, J.P. Harding¹, S. Hernandez², J. Herzog¹⁴, J. Hinton¹², D. Huang⁷, F. Hueyotl-Zahuantitla³, P. Hüntemeyer⁷, A. Iriarte⁴, V. Joshi²⁸, S. Kaufmann¹⁵, D. Kieda¹⁶, A. Lara¹⁷, J. Lee¹⁸, W.H. Lee⁴, H. León Vargas², J. Linnemann¹⁴, A.L. Longinotti⁴, G. Luis-Raya¹⁵, K. Malone¹⁹, J. Martínez-Castro²⁰, J.A.J. Matthews²¹, P. Miranda-Romagnoli²², J. Montes⁴, J.A. Morales-Soto⁵, M. Mostafá⁶, L. Nellen²³, M.U. Nisa¹⁴, R. Noriega-Papaqui²², L. Olivera-Nieto¹², N. Omodei²⁴, Y. Pérez Araujo⁴, E.G. Pérez-Pérez¹⁵, A. Pratts², C.D. Rho²⁵, D. Rosa-Gonzalez⁹, E. Ruiz-Velasco¹², H. Salazar²⁶, D. Salazar-Gallegos¹⁴, A. Sandoval², M. Schneider⁸, G. Schwefer¹², J. Serna-Franco², A.J. Smith⁸, Y. Son¹⁸, R.W. Springer¹⁶, O. Tibolla¹⁵, K. Tollefson¹⁴, I. Torres⁹, R. Torres-Escobedo²⁷, R. Turner⁷, F. Ureña-Mena⁹, E. Varela²⁶, L. Villaseñor²⁶, X. Wang⁷, L.J. Watson¹⁸, F. Werner¹², K. Whitaker⁶, E. Willox⁸, H. Wu¹⁰, H. Zhou²⁷

¹ Physics Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, ²Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ³Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México, ⁴Instituto de Astronomía. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ⁵Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, Michoacán, México, ⁶Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA, 7 Department of Physics, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA, 8 Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, ⁹Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Tonantzintla, Puebla, México, ¹⁰Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA, ¹¹CUCEI, CUCEA, Universidad de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México, ¹²Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹³Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Ave. Eugenio Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey, N.L., 64849, México, ¹⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA, ¹⁵Universidad Politécnica de Pachuca, Pachuca, Hgo, México, ¹⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, ¹⁷Instituto de Geofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ¹⁸University of Seoul, Seoul, Rep. of Korea, ¹⁹Space Science and Applications Group, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM USA ²⁰Centro de Investigación en Computación, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, México, ²¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA, ²² Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca, Hgo., México, ²³Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ²⁴Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, ²⁵Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, South Korea, ²⁶Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, México, ²⁷Tsung-Dao Lee Institute and School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ²⁸Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Friedrich Alexander Universität, Erlangen, BY, Germany