

# Pos

# A common origin of CKM and PMNS phases within 2HDM

## Fernando Cornet-Gomez<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departament de Física Teòrica and IFIC, Universitat de València-CSIC Instituto de Física Corpuscular, C/Catedrático José Beltrán, 2. E-46980 Paterna, Spain.

*E-mail:* Fernando.Cornet@ific.uv.es

A framework where the CKM and PMNS complex phases are vacuum induced is presented. It consist in a Two Higgs Doublet Model with a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry which is softly broken, where the only source of CP-violation is the irremovable vacuum phase. This scenario requires non-vanishing but controlled Flavor Changing Neutral Couplings. Using the experimental data we are able to give a prediction to the lepton mixing matrix phase as well as to some flavor changing transitions  $(c \rightleftharpoons t \text{ and } d \rightleftharpoons b)$ .

7th Symposium on Prospects in the Physics of Discrete Symmetries (DISCRETE 2020-2021) 29th November - 3rd December 2021 Bergen, Norway

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

#### 1. Introduction

In this proceedings, we review a framework where the CKM and PMNS complex phases are related [1]. It is well-established that the CKM mixing matrix is complex [2] no matter that one allows New Physics to generate additional sources of CP violation [3]. Regarding the leptonic sector, the situation is not so clear, and in fact, there are several neutrino experiments trying to detect CP-violating transitions.

It was shown in Ref. [4] that in a Two Higgs Doublet Model (2HDM) with a softly broken flavor  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry it is possible to generate the measured CKM complex phase through the complex phase of the vacuum. That is, in this framework, to have complex Yukawa matrices is not a necessary condition to get a realistic quark mixing matrix. Conversely, if the SM is extended with RH-neutrinos and the source of CP violation is in the Yukawa matrices, the CKM and PMNS matrices are completely independent. In order to achieve the goal of relating the  $\delta_{CKM}$  and  $\delta_{PMNS}$  we will assume that CP is spontaneously broken in the scalar potential and the complex phases of the mixing matrices will be vacuum induced.

#### 2. The framework

The scalar potential with two Higgs doublets  $\Phi_i$  and a softly broken  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry reads

$$V(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}) = \mu_{11}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + \mu_{22}^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \left(\mu_{12}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + \text{h.c.}\right) + \lambda_{1} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1})^{2} + \lambda_{2} (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2})^{2} + 2\lambda_{3} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}) (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}) + 2\lambda_{4} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}) (\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}) + \left(\lambda_{5} (\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2})^{2} + \text{h.c.}\right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu_{ij}$ ,  $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and the bilinear term  $\mu_{12}^2 \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)$  is introduced to softly break the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry, which allows to have spontaneous CP violation [5]. The desired relation between the CKM and PMNS complex phases is achieved in a 2HDM generated by the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flavor symmetry

$$Q_{L_3} \mapsto -Q_{L_3}, \qquad L_{L_3} \mapsto -L_{L_3},$$

$$d_R \mapsto d_R, \qquad \ell_R \qquad \mapsto \ell_R, \qquad \Phi_1 \mapsto \Phi_1,$$

$$u_R \mapsto u_R, \qquad \nu_R \qquad \mapsto \nu_R, \qquad \Phi_2 \mapsto -\Phi_2,$$

$$(2)$$

that once it is applied to the Yukawa Lagrangian

$$\mathscr{L}_{Y} = -\bar{Q}_{L}^{0} \left( \Phi_{1} Y_{d,1} + \Phi_{2} Y_{d,2} \right) d_{R}^{0} - \bar{Q}_{L}^{0} \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{1} Y_{u,1} + \tilde{\Phi}_{2} Y_{u,2} \right) u_{R}^{0} - \bar{L}_{L}^{0} \left( \Phi_{1} Y_{\ell,1} + \Phi_{2} Y_{\ell,2} \right) \ell_{R}^{0} - \bar{L}_{L}^{0} \left( \tilde{\Phi}_{1} Y_{\nu,1} + \tilde{\Phi}_{2} Y_{\nu,2} \right) v_{R}^{0} + \text{h.c.},$$
(3)

enforce the Yukawa matrices to be of the form

$$Y_{d,1} \sim Y_{u,1} \sim Y_{\ell,1} \sim Y_{\nu,1} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & \times \\ \times & \times & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_{d,2} \sim Y_{u,2} \sim Y_{\ell,2} \sim Y_{\nu,2} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \times & \times & \times \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\times$  is an arbitrary real entry. This zero texture was introduced and studied in Refs. [6, 7] and belong to the so-called gBGL model, wich is a generalization of the well-known BGL models [8].

To spontaneously break the symmetry, the doublets must acquire a vacuum expectation value (vev). In general, both doublets can do so

$$\left\langle 0 \middle| \Phi_1 \middle| 0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1 e^{i\theta_1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \left\langle 0 \middle| \Phi_2 \middle| 0 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_2 e^{i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

but one can always rotate the fields into a basis where just one of the doublets acquires a non-zero vev. It is known as the Higgs basis and it is defined by the rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{R}_{\beta} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta_1} \Phi_1 \\ e^{-i\theta_2} \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta} & s_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta} & c_{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where in this case, the vevs are  $\langle H_1 \rangle = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle H_2 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . As usual we have defined  $v^2 \equiv v_1^2 + v_2^2$ and we have used the shortage  $c_\beta \equiv \cos\beta = v_1/v$  and  $s_\beta \equiv \sin\beta = v_2/v$ . In the Higgs basis the Yukawa Lagrangian takes the form

$$\mathscr{L}_{Y} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \bar{Q}_{L}^{0} \left( H_{1} M_{d}^{0} + H_{2} N_{d}^{0} \right) d_{R}^{0} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \bar{Q}_{L}^{0} \left( \tilde{H}_{1} M_{u}^{0} + \tilde{H}_{2} N_{u}^{0} \right) u_{R}^{0} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \bar{L}_{L}^{0} \left( H_{1} M_{\ell}^{0} + H_{2} N_{\ell}^{0} \right) \ell_{R}^{0} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{v} \bar{L}_{L}^{0} \left( \tilde{H}_{1} M_{\nu}^{0} + \tilde{H}_{2} N_{\nu}^{0} \right) v_{R}^{0} + \text{h.c.}$$
(7)

and we can already identify the mass matrices

$$\mathbf{M}_{d(\ell)}^{0} = \frac{v \, e^{i\theta_{1}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ c_{\beta} Y_{d(\ell),1} + e^{i\theta} s_{\beta} Y_{d(\ell),2} \right] , \quad \mathbf{M}_{u(\nu)}^{0} = \frac{v \, e^{-i\theta_{1}}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ c_{\beta} Y_{u(\nu),1} + e^{-i\theta} s_{\beta} Y_{u(\nu),2} \right] , \quad (8)$$

with  $\theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1$ , and the new flavor structures  $N_f^0$  that can be parametrized as

$$\mathbf{N}_{f}^{0} = \left[-t_{\beta}\mathbf{1} + \left(t_{\beta} + t_{\beta}^{-1}\right)\mathbf{P}_{3}\right]\mathbf{M}_{f}^{0}.$$
(9)

Here, P<sub>3</sub> is the projector P<sub>3</sub> = diag (0, 0, 1) so the proportionality between N<sup>0</sup><sub>f</sub> and M<sup>0</sup><sub>f</sub> involves a diagonal matrix but not the identity, this, in general, leads to the appearance of flavor Changing Neutral Couplings (FCNC). Thanks to the fact that the Yukawa matrices are real and to the position of the irremovable phase in eq. (8) together with the textures in eq. (4), the mass matrices can be diagonalized by an orthogonal matrix on the right,  $O_{f_R}$ , and an unitary matrix on the left,  $\mathcal{U}_{f_L}^{\dagger}$ . The last is the the product of a diagonal of phases,  $\varphi_3(\sigma_f) = \mathbf{1} + (e^{i\sigma_f} - 1)P_3$ , times an orthogonal matrix,  $O_{f_L}$ . The diagonal mass matrices read

$$\mathbf{M}_{f} = \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{M}_{f}^{0} O_{f_{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{f_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{f_{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m_{f_{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}} = \varphi_{3}(\sigma_{f}) O_{f_{L}}, \quad (10)$$

with  $\sigma_u = \sigma_v = -\theta$  and  $\sigma_d = \sigma_\ell = \theta$ . The new non-diagonal flavor structures

$$\mathbf{N}_{f} = \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{N}_{f}^{0} O_{f_{R}} = \left[ -t_{\beta} \mathbf{1} + \left( t_{\beta} + t_{\beta}^{-1} \right) P_{3}^{\left[ f \right]} \right] \mathbf{M}_{f} , \qquad (11)$$

where we have introduced the projection operators

$$P_{3}^{[f]} \equiv \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}}^{\dagger} \operatorname{P}_{3} \mathcal{U}_{f_{L}} = O_{f_{L}}^{T} \operatorname{P}_{3} O_{f_{L}} = \left| \hat{r}_{[f]} \right\rangle \left\langle \hat{r}_{[f]} \right|.$$
(12)

Here  $\hat{r}_{[f]}$  are real unit vectors with three dimensions. As usual, the CKM and PMNS matrices are built in terms of the diagonalization matrices, and in our case they read

$$V = O_{\mu_{I}}^{T} \varphi_{3}(2\theta) O_{d_{L}}, \quad U = O_{\ell_{I}}^{T} \varphi_{3}(-2\theta) O_{\nu_{L}}.$$
(13)

We can see here that the only complex phase in the mixing matrices is the one coming from the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs doublet. At this point, it is important to notice that there is a deep connection between the mixing matrices and the FCNC enclosed in  $N_f$ . In fact, it was shown in Ref. [4] that in this framework if the FCNC are absent one ends up having a real CKM matrix, which is contrary to evidence. This is completely analogous in the lepton sector<sup>1</sup>. The absence of FCNC in a given sector happens when one entry of the unit vector of that sector,  $\hat{r}_{[f]i}$ , equals one. In that scenario, it is straightforward to see that the matrix  $N_f$  in eq. (11) is diagonal.

#### 3. Results

The model is fully defined once the four unit vectors  $\hat{r}_{[f]}$  are fixed. We have mentioned that no entry can equal one, otherwise the model will not have FCNC which produces real mixing matrices. We have studied the next simplest scenario where just one of the entries equals zero and none of them equals one (see Table 1). This means that in each sector there is just one allowed neutral transition that changes flavor. Looking at the vectors in Table 1 it is straightforward to see that there are 81 different ways of combining the four of them (one per each sector), leading to 81 different models. As we have mentioned  $\hat{r}_{[f]}$  are the third row of the matrices  $O_{f_L}$ . An orthogonal matrix

**Table 1:** Studied possibilities for the four  $\hat{r}_{[f]}$  where  $\times$  denote an arbitrary real entry.

can be decomposed in a product of three elemental rotations around the Cartesian axes as

$$O_{f_L} = \mathcal{R}_{12}(p_1^f) \mathcal{R}_{23}(p_2^f) \mathcal{R}_{13}(p_3^f), \tag{14}$$

so the vectors are also parametrized in terms of the same angles  $p_i^f$ . It might seem that six angles plus the vacuum phase are needed to build the mixing matrix of each sector but, in fact, one of the angles is redundant since

$$V = O_{u_L}^T \varphi_3(2\theta) O_{d_L} = V(p_1^u - p_1^d), \quad U = O_{\ell_L}^T \varphi_3(-2\theta) O_{\nu_L} = U(p_1^\nu - p_1^\ell).$$
(15)

One can always choose  $p_1^d = p_1^\ell = 0$  leaving just 5 angles plus the vacuum phase to be fitted. The experimental inputs to fit the model parameters will be the three moduli and one complex phase of the mixing matrices together with two flavor changing neutral processes as  $t \rightarrow hq$ ,  $h \rightarrow qq'$  and  $h \rightarrow \ell \ell'$ . Given that CP violation is well established in the quark sector but not in the lepton one, we will use the experimental information to predict the PMNS phase. We proceeded as follow:

1. We chose a model by fixing the texture of  $\hat{r}_{[u]}$ ,  $\hat{r}_{[v]}$  and  $\hat{r}_{[v]}$  among the 81 possibilities.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is not a problem since the PMNS matrix has not been proven to be complex.

- 2. Using the experimental information of the CKM matrix together with flavor changing observables as  $t \rightarrow hq$  and  $h \rightarrow qq'$  we fixed  $\theta$ .
- 3. With that  $\theta$  fixed, we fitted PMNS and got a prediction for  $\delta_{\ell}$ .

The only model that surpassed all the FCNC constraints<sup>2</sup> and produced realistic mixing matrices was the one defined by the vectors

$$\hat{r}_{[u]} = (0, -\sin p_2^u, \cos p_2^u), \quad \hat{r}_{[d]} = (-\sin p_2^d, 0, \cos p_2^d), \\ \hat{r}_{[\nu]} = (-\sin p_2^\nu, \cos p_2^\nu, 0), \quad \hat{r}_{[\ell]} = (-\sin p_2^\ell, 0, \cos p_2^\ell).$$
(16)

The fit in the quark sector fixed the parameters to the following values:

$$\begin{aligned} &2\theta = 1.077^{+0.039}_{-0.031}, \qquad p_1^u = 0.22694 \pm 0.00052, \\ &p_2^u = (4.235 \pm 0.059) \times 10^{-2}, \qquad p_2^d = (3.774 \pm 0.098) \times 10^{-3} \,. \end{aligned}$$

what produced the vectors

$$\hat{r}_{[u]} = (0, -0.0423, 0.9991), \quad \hat{r}_{[d]} = (-0.0038, 0, 0.9999).$$

With the value for  $\theta$  obtained in the quark sector we performed the fit in the lepton sector and we obtained two different solutions:

	$p_1^\ell$	$p_2^\ell$	$p_2^{\nu}$	$\delta_\ell$	$J_{\rm PMNS}$
Solution 1	0.7496	1.3541	0.8974	293°	-0.0316
Solution 2	2.3889	1.3541	1.0542	126°	0.0282

#### 4. Discussion

We have shown that in this framework, a 2HDM with a softly broken  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry, it is possible to generate both the CKM and PMNS complex phases and to relate them. Using the fact that CP violation is well established in the quark sector we have been able to get a prediction for the  $\delta_\ell$ phase. We get two different solutions,  $\delta_\ell = 293$  and  $\delta_\ell = 126$ . The first one is in great agreement with PMNS fits. We have mentioned as well that FCNC are a necessary condition in this framework to get realistic mixing matrices. This may be seen as a problem but it is not, since our study also provides some predictions to flavor changing transitions which may prove or falsify the model in the near future. In the quark sector, the allowed transitions are the ones that involve  $c \rightleftharpoons t$  and  $d \rightleftharpoons b$ . In this scenario, the top decay into a Higgs and a charm quark must be in the range

$$1.8 \times 10^{-4} \le \operatorname{Br}(t \to ch) \le 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$$
. (17)

which is not far from current LHC bounds [9, 10]. On the other hand, the  $d \rightleftharpoons b$  does not offer any relevant constraint since its effect to  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  is negligible and the prediction to  $h \rightarrow b\bar{d}$ ,  $\bar{b}d$  is far below the LHC bounds. Regarding the leptons, the  $e \rightleftharpoons \tau$  transition provide the constraint

$$2.0 \times 10^{-3} \le Br(h \to e\bar{\tau} + \bar{e}\tau) \frac{\Gamma(h)}{\Gamma(h_{SM})} \le 5.0 \times 10^{-3}.$$
 (18)

Even taking into account that there is some freedom in  $\frac{\Gamma(h)}{\Gamma(h_{SM})}$  this should be observed or disproved in the near future since the current bound by LHC is Br $(h \rightarrow e\bar{\tau} + \bar{e}\tau)_{Exp} \le 2 \times 10^{-3}$ [11].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The detailed constraints can be found in Ref. [1]

### 5. Acknowledgments

I want to thank the organizers of DISCRETE 20/21 for organizing the conference and for giving me the opportunity of presenting our work. I also want to thank the *Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovacion y Universidades*, Spain (Grant BES-2017-080070 and project PID2019-106448GB-C33) for supporting my work. I have also been partially supported by a Short-Term Scientific Mission Grant from the COST Action CA15108. I also want to thank the coauthors of Ref. [1], presented here, for the fruitful collaboration.

#### References

- [1] J.M. Alves, F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, F. Cornet-Gomez and M. Nebot, *The framework for a common origin of*  $\delta_{\text{CKM}}$  *and*  $\delta_{\text{PMNS}}$ , *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 727 [2105.14054].
- [2] UTFIT collaboration, The UTfit collaboration report on the status of the unitarity triangle beyond the standard model. I. Model-independent analysis and minimal flavor violation, JHEP 03 (2006) 080 [hep-ph/0509219].
- [3] F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, M. Nebot and M.N. Rebelo, New physics and evidence for a complex CKM, Nucl. Phys. B 725 (2005) 155 [hep-ph/0502133].
- [4] M. Nebot, F.J. Botella and G.C. Branco, Vacuum Induced CP Violation Generating a Complex CKM Matrix with Controlled Scalar FCNC, Eur. Phys. J. C 79 (2019) 711 [1808.00493].
- [5] G.C. Branco and M.N. Rebelo, *The Higgs Mass in a Model With Two Scalar Doublets and Spontaneous CP Violation*, *Phys. Lett. B* **160** (1985) 117.
- [6] J.M. Alves, F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, F. Cornet-Gomez and M. Nebot, *Controlled Flavour Changing Neutral Couplings in Two Higgs Doublet Models*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 77 (2017) 585 [1703.03796].
- [7] J.M. Alves, F.J. Botella, G.C. Branco, F. Cornet-Gomez, M. Nebot and J.P. Silva, *Symmetry Constrained Two Higgs Doublet Models, Eur. Phys. J. C* 78 (2018) 630 [1803.11199].
- [8] G.C. Branco, W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, *Relating the scalar flavor changing neutral couplings to the CKM matrix, Phys. Lett. B* 380 (1996) 119 [hep-ph/9601383].
- [9] CMS collaboration, Search for the flavor-changing neutral current interactions of the top quark and the Higgs boson which decays into a pair of b quarks at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, JHEP 06 (2018) 102 [1712.02399].
- [10] ATLAS collaboration, Search for top-quark decays  $t \rightarrow Hq$  with 36 fb<sup>-1</sup> of pp collision data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV with the ATLAS detector, JHEP **05** (2019) 123 [1812.11568].
- [11] CMS collaboration, Search for lepton-flavor violating decays of the Higgs boson in the  $\mu\tau$  and  $e\tau$  final states in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 032013 [2105.03007].