

Mathematical expressions for quantum fluctuations of energy for different energy-momentum tensors

Rajeev Singh*

Institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences, PL-31-342 Kraków, Poland

E-mail: rajeev.singh@ifj.edu.pl

Expressions for the quantum fluctuations of energy density have been derived for the subsystems consisting of hot relativistic gas of particles with spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ and mass m . Our expressions for the fluctuation depend on the form of energy-momentum tensor which in turn depends on the choice of pseudo-gauge. These results suggest that quantum fluctuations of energy should be considered seriously in the case of very small thermodynamic systems.

*The Ninth Annual Conference on Large Hadron Collider Physics-LHCP2021
7-12 June, 2021
online*

*Speaker

1. Introduction

Quantum and statistical fluctuations intrinsic to any many-body system [1] have an important role as they contain crucial information about the possible phase transitions [1–15], dissipative phenomena [16–34], and the formation of the large scale structures in the universe [35–43]. Following the footsteps of our previous works [44–49], we analyze and study the pseudo-gauge dependence on the quantum fluctuations of energy, which means, using the pseudo-gauge transformation we can choose different form of the energy-momentum tensor for the description of the system. Any energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}^{\mu\nu}$ satisfying the conservation equation $\partial_\mu \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} = 0$ can be used to construct a new conserved energy-momentum tensor as [50–52]

$$\hat{T}'^{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}^{\mu\nu} + \partial_\lambda \hat{A}^{\nu\mu\lambda} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{A}^{\nu\mu\lambda} = -\hat{A}^{\nu\lambda\mu}. \quad (1)$$

For the current analysis, we consider a system of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles and study the effects of different pseudo-gauges. We choose three different forms of energy-momentum tensor, such as canonical form from the Noether theorem [53–55], the de Groot-van Leeuwen-van Weert (GLW) form [56], and the Hilgevoord-Wouthuysen (HW) form [57, 58]. These forms are currently being discussed widely in the context of heavy-ion collisions for the study of spin polarization [52, 59], as the pseudo-gauge choices can also be applied for the spin tensor $\hat{S}^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ which is a part of the total angular momentum tensor $\hat{J}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} = \hat{L}^{\lambda,\mu\nu} + \hat{S}^{\lambda,\mu\nu}$ [51, 52, 60–64]. We calculate the quantum fluctuations of the \hat{T}^{00} component of the energy-momentum tensor and find that even though \hat{T}^{00} depends on pseudo-gauge, its thermal average value is independent of it. In addition, we see that for small size subsystems the fluctuations are pseudo-gauge dependent but become independent if the size of the system is large. This analysis might be useful for the understanding of the concept of energy-density in the context of relativistic heavy-ion collisions [65–76]. Our conclusion is that the quantum fluctuations of energy-density have no physical significance in small size subsystems and a specific pseudo-gauge must be chosen in order to describe the system.

2. Basic definitions

As in our previous studies [44–46], here we assume a subsystem S_a inside a larger thermodynamic system S_V consisting of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles having mass m with no conserved charges. The volume V of the system S_V is large enough to perform integrals over particle momentum. ¹ We describe our system by a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ field in thermal equilibrium where the field operator is [77]

$$\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_r \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 \sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left(U_r(\mathbf{k}) a_r(\mathbf{k}) e^{-ik \cdot x} + V_r(\mathbf{k}) b_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) e^{ik \cdot x} \right), \quad (2)$$

with $a_r(\mathbf{k})$ and $b_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k})$ being the annihilation and creation operators for particles and antiparticles, respectively, satisfying the anti-commutation relations, $\{a_r(\mathbf{k}), a_s^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ and $\{b_r(\mathbf{k}), b_s^\dagger(\mathbf{k}')\} = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$. The index r is the polarization degree of freedom, and $U_r(\mathbf{k})$ and $V_r(\mathbf{k})$ are the Dirac spinors where $\omega_k = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + m^2}$ is the energy of a particle.

¹Metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$ is used. Three-vectors are shown in bold font and a dot is used to denote the scalar product of both four- and three-vectors, i.e., $a^\mu b_\mu = a \cdot b = a^0 b^0 - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$.

The following expectation values are required to calculate thermal averages [78–80] of the energy-density operator \hat{T}_a^{00}

$$\langle a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_s(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta_{rs} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}), \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_r^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a_s^\dagger(\mathbf{k}') a_{r'}(\mathbf{p}) a_{s'}(\mathbf{p}') \rangle &= (2\pi)^6 \left(\delta_{rs'} \delta_{r's} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}') \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{p}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \delta_{rr'} \delta_{ss'} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{p}') \right) f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}'}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})$ is the Fermi–Dirac distribution function for particles. \hat{T}_a^{00} is an energy-density operator expressed below of the subsystem S_a which is placed at the origin of coordinate system [50], and we use Gaussian profile to define our subsystem S_a in order to have no sharp-boundary effects

$$\hat{T}_a^{00} = \frac{1}{(a\sqrt{\pi})^3} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \hat{T}^{00}(x) \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{x}^2}{a^2}\right). \quad (5)$$

Then, we calculate the variance (σ^2) and the normalized standard deviation (σ_n) using the expressions below in order to find the fluctuation of the energy density of the subsystem S_a ,

$$\sigma^2(a, m, T) = \langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} :: \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle - \langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle^2, \quad \sigma_n(a, m, T) = \frac{(\langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} :: \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle - \langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle^2)^{1/2}}{\langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle}. \quad (6)$$

where $\langle : \hat{T}_a^{00} : \rangle$ is the thermal expectation value of \hat{T}_a^{00} after doing normal ordering.

3. Energy density fluctuation in different pseudo-gauges

3.1 Canonical framework

The canonical form of energy-momentum tensor is given as [77]

$$\hat{T}_{\text{Can}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\nu \psi. \quad (7)$$

where the thermal expectation value of $\hat{T}_{\text{Can},a}^{00}$ for the subsystem S_a is calculated as

$$\langle : \hat{T}_{\text{Can},a}^{00} : \rangle = 4 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \equiv \varepsilon_{\text{Can}}(T). \quad (8)$$

with the factor 4 representing the spin degeneracy ($g_s = (2s + 1)$). The canonical energy-density $\varepsilon_{\text{Can}}(T)$, Eq. (8), is independent of both time and the system size a indicating the system's spatial uniformity. Then we calculate the energy-density fluctuation for $\hat{T}_{\text{Can}}^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\text{Can}}^2(a, m, T) &= 2 \int dK dK' f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) (1 - f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}'})) \times \left[(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}'})^2 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' + m^2) e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'})^2 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' - m^2) e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}')^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $dK \equiv d^3k / ((2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{k}})$. In Eq. 9, we neglect a temperature-independent term to remove all vacuum divergences [44].

3.2 de Groot-van Leeuwen-van Weert framework

The de Groot-van Leeuwen-van Weert form of energy-momentum tensor is given as [56]

$$\hat{T}_{\text{GLW}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4m} \left[-\bar{\psi}(\partial^\mu \partial^\nu \psi) + (\partial^\mu \bar{\psi})(\partial^\nu \psi) + (\partial^\nu \bar{\psi})(\partial^\mu \psi) - (\partial^\mu \partial^\nu \bar{\psi})\psi \right]. \quad (10)$$

In this case we obtain expressions for the thermal average and fluctuation as

$$\langle : \hat{T}_{\text{GLW},a}^{00} : \rangle = 4 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \equiv \varepsilon_{\text{GLW}}(T) \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{GLW}}^2(a, m, T) = \frac{1}{2m^2} \int dK dK' f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})(1 - f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}'})) \left[(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} + \omega_{\mathbf{k}'})^4 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' + m^2) \right. \\ \left. \times e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')^2} - (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \omega_{\mathbf{k}'})^4 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' - m^2) e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')^2} \right] \quad (12)$$

respectively. We again discard a divergent term which is temperature-independent. We note that, even though the thermal averages for the canonical and GLW energy-momentum tensors are the same, $\langle : \hat{T}_{\text{Can},a}^{00} : \rangle = \langle : \hat{T}_{\text{GLW},a}^{00} : \rangle$, their fluctuations are not, $\sigma_{\text{Can}}^2(a, m, T) \neq \sigma_{\text{GLW}}^2(a, m, T)$.

3.3 Hilgevoord-Wouthuysen framework

The Hilgevoord-Wouthuysen form of energy-momentum tensor is defined as below [57, 58]

$$\hat{T}_{\text{HW}}^{\mu\nu} = \hat{T}_{\text{Can}}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2m} \left(\partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\beta} \partial_\beta \psi + \partial_\alpha \bar{\psi} \sigma^{\alpha\mu} \partial^\nu \psi \right) - \frac{i}{4m} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\lambda \left(\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\lambda\alpha} \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\alpha \psi \right), \quad (13)$$

with $\sigma_{\mu\nu} \equiv (i/2) [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$. Here the thermal average and fluctuation are calculated respectively as

$$\langle : \hat{T}_{\text{HW},a}^{00} : \rangle = 4 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_{\mathbf{k}} f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \equiv \varepsilon_{\text{HW}}(T) \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{HW}}^2(a, m, T) = \frac{2}{m^2} \int dK dK' f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \left[(\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' + m^2)^2 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' + m^2) \right. \\ \left. \times e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')^2} - (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' - m^2)^2 (\omega_{\mathbf{k}} \omega_{\mathbf{k}'} - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{k}' - m^2) \times e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}')^2} \right] (1 - f(\omega_{\mathbf{k}'})). \quad (15)$$

It can be seen easily from Eqs. (8), (11), and (14) that $\varepsilon_{\text{Can}}(T) = \varepsilon_{\text{GLW}}(T) = \varepsilon_{\text{HW}}(T)$, while the fluctuations of $: \hat{T}_a^{00} :$ are different for different choices of pseudo-gauge. Eqs. (9), (12), and (15) are used to calculate the fluctuations of the energy-density of the subsystem S_a of the larger system S_V . Both the energy density (ε) and fluctuation (σ) can be extended to incorporate other degeneracy factors such as isospin or color charge degrees of freedom.

4. Summary

We have calculated the mathematical expressions for quantum energy-density fluctuations for the subsystems of hot relativistic gas of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles. Our results show that even though the energy-density for all choices of pseudo-gauge are the same, still their fluctuations depend on the forms of pseudo-gauge, which means that the quantum fluctuations are pseudo-gauge dependent [81–83] and should be kept in mind during the experimental measurements.

I am grateful to A. Das, W. Florkowski, and R. Ryblewski for their intriguing collaboration. This research was supported in part by the Polish National Science Centre Grants No. 2016/23/B/ST2/00717 and No. 2018/30/E/ST2/00432, and IFJ PAN.

References

- [1] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics*. Wiley New York, 2nd ed., 1987.
- [2] M. Smoluchowski, “Beitrag zur Theorie der Opaleszenz von Gasen im kritischen Zustande,” *Bulletin international de l’Académie des sciences de Cracovie* (1911) 493–502.
- [3] S. Jeon and V. Koch, “Charged particle ratio fluctuation as a signal for quark-gluon plasma,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (Sep, 2000) 2076–2079.
- [4] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski, and L. G. Yaffe, “QCD and Instantons at Finite Temperature,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53** (1981) 43.
- [5] S. Haussler, M. Bleicher, and H. Stoecker, “Susceptibilities and fluctuations in a Quark-Hadron System with Dynamical Recombination,” [arXiv:0803.2846 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [6] C. Herzog and K.-W. Huang, “Boundary Fluctuations and A Reduction Entropy,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95** no. 2, (2017) 021901, [arXiv:1610.08970 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] V. Vovchenko, D. V. Anchishkin, M. I. Gorenstein, R. V. Poberezhnyuk, and H. Stoecker, “Critical fluctuations in models with van der Waals interactions,” *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **10** (2017) 753, [arXiv:1610.01036 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [8] J. Steinheimer, V. Vovchenko, J. Aichelin, M. Bleicher, and H. Stöcker, “Conserved charge fluctuations are not conserved during the hadronic phase,” *Phys. Lett. B* **776** (2018) 32–37, [arXiv:1608.03737 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [9] D. S. Lohr-Robles, E. Lopez-Moreno, and P. O. Hess, “Quantum Phase Transitions within a nuclear cluster model and an effective model of QCD,” [arXiv:2107.14379 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [10] Z. Bai, W.-j. Fu, and Y.-x. Liu, “Identifying the QCD Phase Transitions via the Gravitational Wave Frequency from Supernova Explosion,” [arXiv:2109.12614 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [11] L. Fortunato, “Quantum phase transitions in algebraic and collective models of nuclear structure,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **121** (2021) 103891.
- [12] X. Li, S. Shu, and J.-R. Li, “The quantum fluctuation in an inhomogeneous background and its influence to the phase transition in a finite volume system,” [arXiv:2108.12325 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] Z.-C. Yang, Y. Li, M. P. A. Fisher, and X. Chen, “Entanglement phase transitions in random stabilizer tensor networks,” [arXiv:2107.12376 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [14] M. Sami and R. Gannouji, “Spontaneous symmetry breaking in the late Universe and glimpses of early Universe phase transitions à la baryogenesis,” [arXiv:2106.00843 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] G. P. de Brito, O. Melichev, R. Percacci, and A. D. Pereira, “Can quantum fluctuations differentiate between standard and unimodular gravity?,” [arXiv:2105.13886 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [16] R. Kubo, “The Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **29** (1966) 255.
- [17] J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, “Color superconductivity and chiral symmetry restoration at nonzero baryon density and temperature,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **538** (1999) 215–232, [arXiv:hep-ph/9804233](#).
- [18] A. M. Halasz, A. D. Jackson, R. E. Shrock, M. A. Stephanov, and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, “On the phase diagram of QCD,” *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 096007, [arXiv:hep-ph/9804290](#).
- [19] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, and E. V. Shuryak, “Signatures of the tricritical point in QCD,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 4816–4819, [arXiv:hep-ph/9806219](#).
- [20] M. A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, and E. V. Shuryak, “Event-by-event fluctuations in heavy ion collisions and the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **60** (1999) 114028, [arXiv:hep-ph/9903292](#).
- [21] Y. Hatta and T. Ikeda, “Universality, the QCD critical / tricritical point and the quark number susceptibility,” *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 014028, [arXiv:hep-ph/0210284](#).
- [22] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, “Dynamic universality class of the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **70** (2004) 056001, [arXiv:hep-ph/0401052](#).
- [23] M. Stephanov, “Non-Gaussian fluctuations near the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 032301, [arXiv:0809.3450 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [24] B. Berdnikov and K. Rajagopal, “Slowing out-of-equilibrium near the QCD critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 105017, [arXiv:hep-ph/9912274](#).
- [25] S. Caron-Huot, P. M. Chesler, and D. Teaney, “Fluctuation, dissipation, and thermalization in non-equilibrium AdS₅ black hole geometries,” *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 026012, [arXiv:1102.1073 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] M. Kitazawa, M. Asakawa, and H. Ono, “Non-equilibrium time evolution of higher order cumulants of conserved charges and event-by-event analysis,” *Phys. Lett. B* **728** (2014) 386–392, [arXiv:1307.2978 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [27] M. Bluhm *et al.*, “Dynamics of critical fluctuations: Theory – phenomenology – heavy-ion collisions,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **1003** (2020) 122016, [arXiv:2001.08831 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [28] J. Goswami, F. Karsch, C. Schmidt, S. Mukherjee, and P. Petreczky, “Comparing conserved charge fluctuations from lattice QCD to HRG model calculations,” *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **14** (2021) 251, [arXiv:2011.02812 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [29] W.-j. Fu, X. Luo, J. M. Pawłowski, F. Rennecke, R. Wen, and S. Yin, “High-order baryon number fluctuations within the fRG approach,” 9, 2021. [arXiv:2110.00163 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] M. Pradeep, K. Rajagopal, M. Stephanov, and Y. Yin, “Freezing out critical fluctuations,” in *International Conference on Critical Point and Onset of Deconfinement*. 9, 2021. [arXiv:2109.13188 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [31] J. Goswami, F. Karsch, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky, and C. Schmidt, “Conserved charge fluctuations at vanishing net-baryon density from Lattice QCD,” in *19th International Conference on Strangeness in Quark Matter*. 9, 2021. [arXiv:2109.00268 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [32] D. Bollweg, J. Goswami, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky, C. Schmidt, and P. Scior, “Second order cumulants of conserved charge fluctuations revisited I. Vanishing chemical potentials,” [arXiv:2107.10011 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [33] C. Schmidt, J. Goswami, G. Nicotra, F. Ziesché, P. Dimopoulos, F. Di Renzo, S. Singh, and K. Zambello, “Net-baryon number fluctuations,” in *Criticality in QCD and the Hadron Resonance Gas*. 1, 2021. [arXiv:2101.02254 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [34] X. Guo, K. A. Milton, G. Kennedy, W. P. McNulty, N. Pourtolami, and Y. Li, “The energetics of quantum vacuum friction. I. Field fluctuations,” [arXiv:2108.01539 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] E. Lifshitz and I. Khalatnikov, “Investigations in relativistic cosmology,” *Adv. Phys.* **12** (1963) 185–249.
- [36] A. H. Guth and S.-Y. Pi, “Fluctuations in the new inflationary universe,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (Oct, 1982) 1110–1113.
- [37] S. Choudhury and A. Mazumdar, “Primordial blackholes and gravitational waves for an inflection-point model of inflation,” *Phys. Lett. B* **733** (2014) 270–275, [arXiv:1307.5119 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [38] S. Choudhury, S. Panda, and R. Singh, “Bell violation in the Sky,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** no. 2, (2017) 60, [arXiv:1607.00237 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] S. Choudhury, S. Panda, and R. Singh, “Bell violation in primordial cosmology,” *Universe* **3** no. 1, (2017) 13, [arXiv:1612.09445 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] F. Gelis and B. Schenke, “Initial State Quantum Fluctuations in the Little Bang,” *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **66** (2016) 73–94, [arXiv:1604.00335 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [41] F. Gelis, G. Giacalone, P. Guerrero-Rodríguez, C. Marquet, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Primordial fluctuations in heavy-ion collisions,” [arXiv:1907.10948 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [42] S. Choudhury, “The Cosmological OTOC: A New Proposal for Quantifying Auto-correlated Random Non-chaotic Primordial Fluctuations,” *Symmetry* **13** no. 4, (2021) 599, [arXiv:2106.01305 \[physics.gen-ph\]](#).
- [43] L. L. Graef, “Constraining the spectrum of cosmological perturbations from statistical thermal fluctuations,” *Phys. Lett. B* **819** (2021) 136418.
- [44] A. Das, W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, “Quantum fluctuations of energy in subsystems of a hot relativistic gas,” [arXiv:2012.05662 \[hep-ph\]](#).

- [45] A. Das, W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, “Pseudogauge dependence of quantum fluctuations of the energy in a hot relativistic gas of fermions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **103** no. 9, (2021) L091502, [arXiv:2103.01013](#) [nucl-th].
- [46] A. Das, W. Florkowski, R. Ryblewski, and R. Singh, “Quantum baryon number fluctuations in subsystems of a hot and dense relativistic gas of fermions,” [arXiv:2105.02125](#) [nucl-th].
- [47] R. Singh, “Quantum fluctuations of baryon number density,” in *Conference on recent developments in high energy physics and cosmology*. 9, 2021. [arXiv:2109.08201](#) [nucl-th].
- [48] R. Singh, “A compact formula for the quantum fluctuations of energy,” in *19th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure*. 10, 2021. [arXiv:2110.02727](#) [nucl-th].
- [49] R. Singh, “Mathematical expressions for quantum fluctuations of energy for different energy-momentum tensors,” in *9th Large Hadron Collider Physics Conference*. 9, 2021. [arXiv:2109.11068](#) [quant-ph].
- [50] S. Coleman, *Lectures of Sidney Coleman on Quantum Field Theory*. WSP, Hackensack, 12, 2018.
- [51] F. W. Hehl, “On the energy tensor of spinning massive matter in classical field theory and general relativity,” *Reports on Mathematical Physics* **9** no. 1, (1976) 55–82.
- [52] E. Speranza and N. Weickgenannt, “Spin tensor and pseudo-gauges: from nuclear collisions to gravitational physics,” [arXiv:2007.00138](#) [nucl-th].
- [53] F. Belinfante, “On the spin angular momentum of mesons,” *Physica* **6** no. 7, (1939) 887–898.
- [54] F. Belinfante, “On the current and the density of the electric charge, the energy, the linear momentum and the angular momentum of arbitrary fields,” *Physica* **7** no. 5, (1940) 449–474.
- [55] L. Rosenfeld *Mem. Acad. Roy. Bel.* **18** no. 1, (1940) .
- [56] S. R. De Groot, *Relativistic Kinetic Theory. Principles and Applications*. 1980.
- [57] J. Hilgevoord and S. Wouthuysen, “On the spin angular momentum of the dirac particle,” *Nuclear Physics* **40** (1963) 1–12.
- [58] J. Hilgevoord and E. De Kerf, “The covariant definition of spin in relativistic quantum field theory,” *Physica* **31** no. 7, (1965) 1002–1016.
- [59] W. Florkowski, A. Kumar, and R. Ryblewski, “Relativistic hydrodynamics for spin-polarized fluids,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **108** (2019) 103709, [arXiv:1811.04409](#) [nucl-th].
- [60] M. Garbiso and M. Kaminski, “Hydrodynamics of simply spinning black holes & hydrodynamics for spinning quantum fluids,” *JHEP* **12** (2020) 112, [arXiv:2007.04345](#) [hep-th].

- [61] R. Singh, “Boost-invariant description of polarization within hydrodynamics with spin,” *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **13** (2020) 931, [arXiv:2001.05592 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [62] E. Leader and C. Lorcé, “The angular momentum controversy: What’s it all about and does it matter?,” *Phys. Rept.* **541** no. 3, (2014) 163–248, [arXiv:1309.4235 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [63] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy, and A. Yarom, “Hydrodynamics of spin currents,” [arXiv:2101.04759 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] R. Singh, “Conformal transformations of conservation equations in spin hydrodynamics,” *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **52** (2021) 1081, [arXiv:2104.01009 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [65] K. Dusling, T. Epelbaum, F. Gelis, and R. Venugopalan, “Role of quantum fluctuations in a system with strong fields: Onset of hydrodynamical flow,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **850** (2011) 69–109, [arXiv:1009.4363 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [66] T. Epelbaum and F. Gelis, “Fluctuations of the initial color fields in high energy heavy ion collisions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 085015, [arXiv:1307.1765 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [67] A. Jaiswal and V. Roy, “Relativistic hydrodynamics in heavy-ion collisions: general aspects and recent developments,” *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2016** (2016) 9623034, [arXiv:1605.08694 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [68] W. Florkowski, M. P. Heller, and M. Spalinski, “New theories of relativistic hydrodynamics in the LHC era,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81** no. 4, (2018) 046001, [arXiv:1707.02282 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [69] P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, *Relativistic Fluid Dynamics In and Out of Equilibrium*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 5, 2019. [arXiv:1712.05815 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [70] G. Giacalone, P. Guerrero-Rodríguez, M. Luzum, C. Marquet, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, “Fluctuations in heavy-ion collisions generated by QCD interactions in the color glass condensate effective theory,” *Phys. Rev. C* **100** no. 2, (2019) 024905, [arXiv:1902.07168 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [71] R. S. Bhalerao, “Collectivity in large and small systems formed in ultrarelativistic collisions,” *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **230** no. 3, (2021) 3, [arXiv:2009.09586 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [72] F. Becattini and M. A. Lisa, “Polarization and Vorticity in the Quark Gluon Plasma,” [arXiv:2003.03640 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [73] S. Bhadury, J. Bhatt, A. Jaiswal, and A. Kumar, “New developments in relativistic fluid dynamics with spin,” *Eur. Phys. J. ST* **230** no. 3, (2021) 655–672, [arXiv:2101.11964 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [74] G. Sarwar, M. Hasanujjaman, T. Bhattacharyya, M. Rahaman, A. Bhattacharyya, and J.-e. Alam, “Nonlinear waves in a hot, viscous and nonextensive quark gluon plasma,” [arXiv:2109.11166 \[nucl-th\]](#).

- [75] T. Dore, L. Gavassino, D. Montenegro, M. Shokri, and G. Torrieri, “Fluctuating relativistic dissipative hydrodynamics as a gauge theory,” [arXiv:2109.06389 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [76] J. M. Karthein, V. Koch, C. Ratti, and V. Vovchenko, “Constraining the hadronic spectrum and repulsive interactions in a hadron resonance gas via fluctuations of conserved charges,” [arXiv:2107.00588 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [77] L. Tinti and W. Florkowski, “Particle polarization, spin tensor and the Wigner distribution in relativistic systems,” [arXiv:2007.04029 \[nucl-th\]](#).
- [78] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, F. Laloë, and S. R. Hemley, *Quantum mechanics: Vol. 3: fermions, bosons, photons, correlations and entanglement*. A Wiley-Interscience publication. Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [79] C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*. International Series In Pure and Applied Physics. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980.
- [80] T. Evans and D. A. Steer, “Wick’s theorem at finite temperature,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **474** (1996) 481–496, [arXiv:hep-ph/9601268](#).
- [81] S. Mrowczynski, “Density fluctuations in the quark - gluon plasma,” *Phys. Rev. C* **57** (1998) 1518–1521, [arXiv:nucl-th/9711051](#).
- [82] F. Becattini and L. Tinti, “Nonequilibrium Thermodynamical Inequivalence of Quantum Stress-energy and Spin Tensors,” *Phys. Rev. D* **87** no. 2, (2013) 025029, [arXiv:1209.6212 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [83] Y. Nakayama, “Intrinsic ambiguity in second order viscosity parameters in relativistic hydrodynamics,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27** (2012) 1250125, [arXiv:1206.2421 \[hep-th\]](#).