

An Optimized Search for Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo with HAWC

J. Lundeen^{a,b} and J. P. Harding^b

^aMichigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA.

^bLos Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA.

E-mail: lundeenj@msu.edu, jpharding@lanl.gov

With a mass of approximately $\sim 10^{12}$ solar masses, the Galactic Halo is the closest known large dark matter halo and a prime candidate for indirect dark matter detection. The High Altitude Water Cherenkov Observatory (HAWC) is a high energy (300 GeV to 100 TeV) gamma ray detector located in central Mexico. HAWC operates via the water Cherenkov technique and has both a wide field of view of ~ 2 sr and a $>95\%$ duty cycle, making it ideal for analysis of highly extended sources. We made use of these properties of HAWC and a new background-estimation technique optimized for extended sources to probe a large region of the Galactic Halo for dark matter signals. With this approach and taking into account electroweak corrections to the gamma-ray spectra, we set improved constraints on dark matter annihilation and decay between masses of 10 and 100 TeV. Our constraints also take into account detector simulation systematics and are robust against uncertainties in the Galactic dark matter spatial profile.

37th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2021)

July 12th – 23rd, 2021

Online – Berlin, Germany

The Milky Way Galactic Halo (GH) is known to contain a large density of dark matter (DM). Furthermore, because the Earth is located within it and therefore the distance to this concentration of DM is small, the GH is expected to yield an extremely high flux of DM annihilation or decay by-products and is a promising region for probing WIMP signals. Most DM analyses of the GH look in the few degrees around the Galactic Center. However, such searches depend on an assumed steepening of the DM density toward the Galactic Center, which is currently being debated in the modeling community. Searches in the GH further from the Galactic Center, such as the one presented here, avoid this by looking at regions where all DM density profiles have similar densities. This allows for a more robust set of constraints to be produced, which have smaller systematic uncertainties from DM theory.

To perform a search of DM annihilation or decay in the GH, we use the High Altitude Water Cherenkov (HAWC) detector. HAWC is a gamma-ray observatory located at Sierra Negra, Mexico which is sensitive to gamma rays from 300 GeV to greater than 100 TeV [1]. HAWC observes 1/6 of the sky at any one time, and observes 2/3 of the sky each day, which makes it ideal for searches of large, faint, emission such as those from the GH. Because the GH is tens of degrees across, we employ a newer method of HAWC background rejection which was developed specifically to work well for large sources [2].

No significant emission was found for any DM spectrum, so 95% CL upper limits on the cross-section $\langle\sigma v\rangle$ and 95% CL lower limits on the decay lifetime τ were derived. In this contribution, we show the derived limits on DM cross-section and lifetime and show that they are among the strongest limits derived for multi-TeV DM masses. The results included in this proceeding and shown in this presentation can be found in [3] and will be submitted for publication soon [4]. The details about this analysis can be found in the aforementioned references.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support from: the US National Science Foundation (NSF); the US Department of Energy Office of High-Energy Physics; the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program of Los Alamos National Laboratory; Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT), México, grants 271051, 232656, 260378, 179588, 254964, 258865, 243290, 132197, A1-S-46288, A1-S-22784, cátedras 873, 1563, 341, 323, Red HAWC, México; DGAPA-UNAM grants IG101320, IN111716-3, IN111419, IA102019, IN110621, IN110521; VIEP-BUAP; PIFI 2012, 2013, PROFOCIE 2014, 2015; the University of Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation; the Institute of Geophysics, Planetary Physics, and Signatures at Los Alamos National Laboratory; Polish Science Centre grant, DEC-2017/27/B/ST9/02272; Coordinación de la Investigación Científica de la Universidad Michoacana; Royal Society - Newton Advanced Fellowship 180385; Generalitat Valenciana, grant CIDEAGENT/2018/034; Chulalongkorn University's CUniverse (CUAASC) grant; Coordinación General Académica e Innovación (CGAI-UdeG), PRODEP-SEP UDG-CA-499; Institute of Cosmic Ray Research (ICRR), University of Tokyo, H.F. acknowledges support by NASA under award number 80GSFC21M0002. We also acknowledge the significant contributions over many years of Stefan Westerhoff, Gaurang Yodh and Arnulfo Zepeda Dominguez, all deceased members of the HAWC collaboration. Thanks to Scott Delay, Luciano Díaz and Eduardo Murrieta for technical support.

References

- [1] Abeysekara, A.U., et al. Observation of the Crab Nebula with the HAWC Gamma-Ray Observatory. *Astrophys. J.*, 843(1):39, 2017.
- [2] Surajbali, P. Observing large-scale structures in the gamma-ray sky. Doctoral dissertation. Ruprecht Karl University of Heidelberg, 2020.
- [3] Lundeen, J. Searches for Beyond the Standard Model Phenomena with the HAWC Detector. Doctoral dissertation. Michigan State University, 2021.
- [4] Albert, A. et al. An Optimized Search for Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo with HAWC, to be submitted to JCAP.

Full Authors List: Collaboration

A.U. Abeyssekara⁴⁸, A. Albert²¹, R. Alfaro¹⁴, C. Alvarez⁴¹, J.D. Álvarez⁴⁰, J.R. Angeles Camacho¹⁴, J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez⁴⁰, K. P. Arunbabu¹⁷, D. Avila Rojas¹⁴, H.A. Ayala Solares²⁸, R. Babu²⁵, V. Baghmanyani¹⁵, A.S. Barber⁴⁸, J. Becerra Gonzalez¹¹, E. Belmont-Moreno¹⁴, S.Y. BenZvi²⁹, D. Berley³⁹, C. Brisbois³⁹, K.S. Caballero-Mora⁴¹, T. Capistrán¹², A. Carramiñana¹⁸, S. Casanova¹⁵, O. Chaparro-Amaro³, U. Cotti⁴⁰, J. Cotzomi⁸, S. Coutiño de León¹⁸, E. De la Fuente⁴⁶, C. de León⁴⁰, L. Diaz-Cruz⁸, R. Diaz Hernandez¹⁸, J.C. Díaz-Vélez⁴⁶, B.L. Dingus²¹, M. Durocher²¹, M.A. DuVernois⁴⁵, R.W. Ellsworth³⁹, K. Engel³⁹, C. Espinoza¹⁴, K.L. Fan³⁹, K. Fang⁴⁵, M. Fernández Alonso²⁸, B. Fick²⁵, H. Fleischhack^{51,11,52}, J.L. Flores⁴⁶, N.I. Fraija¹², D. Garcia¹⁴, J.A. García-González²⁰, J. L. García-Luna⁴⁶, G. García-Torales⁴⁶, F. Garfias¹², G. Giacinti²², H. Goksu²², M.M. González¹², J.A. Goodman³⁹, J.P. Harding²¹, S. Hernandez¹⁴, I. Herzog²⁵, J. Hinton²², B. Hona⁴⁸, D. Huang²⁵, F. Hueyotl-Zahuantitla⁴¹, C.M. Hui²³, B. Humensky³⁹, P. Hüntemeyer²⁵, A. Iriarte¹², A. Jardin-Blicq^{22,49,50}, H. Jhee⁴³, V. Joshi⁷, D. Kieda⁴⁸, G. J. Kunde²¹, S. Kunwar²², A. Lara¹⁷, J. Lee⁴³, W.H. Lee¹², D. Lennarz⁹, H. León Vargas¹⁴, J. Linnemann²⁴, A.L. Longinotti¹², R. López-Coto¹⁹, G. Luis-Raya⁴⁴, J. Lundeen²⁴, K. Malone²¹, V. Marandon²², O. Martinez⁸, I. Martínez-Castellanos³⁹, H. Martínez-Huerta³⁸, J. Martínez-Castro³, J.A.J. Matthews⁴², J. McNery¹¹, P. Miranda-Romagnoli³⁴, J.A. Morales-Soto⁴⁰, E. Moreno⁸, M. Mostafá²⁸, A. Nayerhoda¹⁵, L. Nellen¹³, M. Newbold⁴⁸, M.U. Nisa²⁴, R. Noriega-Papaqui³⁴, L. Olivera-Nieto²², N. Omodei³², A. Peisker²⁴, Y. Pérez Araujo¹², E.G. Pérez-Pérez⁴⁴, C.D. Rho⁴³, C. Rivière³⁹, D. Rosa-Gonzalez¹⁸, E. Ruiz-Velasco²², J. Ryan²⁶, H. Salazar⁸, F. Salesa Greus^{15,53}, A. Sandoval¹⁴, M. Schneider³⁹, H. Schoorlemmer²², J. Serna-Franco¹⁴, G. Sinnis²¹, A.J. Smith³⁹, R.W. Springer⁴⁸, P. Surajbali²², I. Taboada⁹, M. Tanner²⁸, K. Tollefson²⁴, I. Torres¹⁸, R. Torres-Escobedo³⁰, R. Turner²⁵, F. Ureña-Mena¹⁸, L. Villaseñor⁸, X. Wang²⁵, I.J. Watson⁴³, T. Weisgarber⁴⁵, F. Werner²², E. Wilcox³⁹, J. Wood²³, G.B. Yodh³⁵, A. Zepeda⁴, H. Zhou³⁰

¹Barnard College, New York, NY, USA, ²Department of Chemistry and Physics, California University of Pennsylvania, California, PA, USA, ³Centro de Investigación en Computación, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, México, ⁴Physics Department, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Ciudad de México, México, ⁵Colorado State University, Physics Dept., Fort Collins, CO, USA, ⁶DCI-UDG, Leon, Gto, México, ⁷Erlangen Centre for Astroparticle Physics, Friedrich Alexander Universität, Erlangen, BY, Germany, ⁸Facultad de Ciencias Físico Matemáticas, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, México, ⁹School of Physics and Center for Relativistic Astrophysics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA, ¹⁰School of Physics Astronomy and Computational Sciences, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA, ¹¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ¹²Instituto de Astronomía, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ¹³Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ¹⁴Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ¹⁵Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Krakow, Poland, ¹⁶Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, São Carlos, SP, Brasil, ¹⁷Instituto de Geofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México, ¹⁸Instituto Nacional de Astrofísica, Óptica y Electrónica, Tonantzintla, Puebla, México, ¹⁹INFN Padova, Padova, Italy, ²⁰Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Ave. Eugenio Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey, N.L., 64849, México, ²¹Physics Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA, ²²Max-Planck Institute for Nuclear Physics, Heidelberg, Germany, ²³NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Astrophysics Office, Huntsville, AL, USA, ²⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA, ²⁵Department of Physics, Michigan Technological University, Houghton, MI, USA, ²⁶Space Science Center, University of New Hampshire, Durham, NH, USA, ²⁷The Ohio State University at Lima, Lima, OH, USA, ²⁸Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA, ²⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA, ³⁰Tsung-Dao Lee Institute and School of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, ³¹Sungkyunkwan University, Gyeonggi, Rep. of Korea, ³²Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, ³³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA, ³⁴Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Pachuca, Hgo., México, ³⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA, ³⁶Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, ³⁷Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica, ³⁸Department of Physics and Mathematics, Universidad de Monterrey, San Pedro Garza García, N.L., México, ³⁹Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA, ⁴⁰Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, Michoacán, México, ⁴¹FCFM-MCTP, Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Chiapas, México, ⁴²Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA, ⁴³University of Seoul, Seoul, Rep. of Korea, ⁴⁴Universidad Politécnica de Pachuca, Pachuca, Hgo, México, ⁴⁵Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA, ⁴⁶CUCEI, CUCEA, Universidad de Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Jalisco, México, ⁴⁷Universität Würzburg, Institute for Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Würzburg, Germany, ⁴⁸Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, ⁴⁹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Pathumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand, ⁵⁰National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand (Public Organization), Don Kaeo, MaeRim, Chiang Mai 50180, Thailand, ⁵¹Department of Physics, Catholic University of America, Washington, DC, USA, ⁵²Center for Research and Exploration in Space Science and Technology, NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, MD, USA, ⁵³Instituto de Física Corpuscular, CSIC, Universitat de València, Paterna, Valencia, Spain