

Attacking One-loop Multi-leg Feynman Integrals with the Loop-Tree Duality

Grigorios Chachamis*

*Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Nicolás Cabrera 15
& Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain.*
E-mail: chachamis@gmail.com

Sebastian Buchta

Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universitat de València – Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Parc Científic, E-46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: sbuchta@ific.uv.es

Petros Draggiotis

Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics, NCSR "Demokritos", Agia Paraskevi, 15310, Greece.
E-mail: petros.draggiotis@gmail.com

Germán Rodrigo

Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universitat de València – Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Parc Científic, E-46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.
E-mail: german.rodrigo@csic.es

We discuss briefly the first numerical implementation of the Loop-Tree Duality (LTD) method. We apply the LTD method in order to calculate ultraviolet and infrared finite multi-leg one-loop Feynman integrals. We attack scalar and tensor integrals with up to six legs (hexagons). The LTD method shows an excellent performance independently of the number of external legs.

*XXIV International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects
11-15 April, 2016
DESY Hamburg, Germany*

*Speaker.

1. Introduction

The progress in calculating hadronic processes to higher orders of the perturbative expansion and in particular, calculating LHC observables to next-to-leading order (NLO) accuracy was really impressive in recent years. Naturally, the complexity for any observable to be computed at fixed order is related to the number of initial and final states present in the process and the specific order of the radiative corrections one takes into account.

Typically, in order to finally have a theoretical estimate that can be compared to experimental data, a number of steps needs to be completed before, each with its own difficulties: setting up the virtual and real parts of the scattering amplitude, integrating over any loop momenta, dealing with the cancelation of the infrared divergencies [1], integrating over phase-space, etc. One path to follow toward automated NLO calculations is the purely numerical approach which has seen considerable development in the past years [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Computations based on a solid algorithmic setup at NLO are now standard, either purely numerical [16, 17, 18] or a mixture of analytical and numerical techniques [19, 20, 21]. Substantial progress has also been made at higher orders [22, 23, 24].

The loop–tree duality (LTD) method [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38] states that loop integrals and amplitudes with loop diagrams with n external legs may be decomposed into a sum of tree-level-like graphs which still would need to be integrated over a measure that resembles the usual $(n + 1)$ –body three-dimensional phase-space [25, 26]. This brings forward the intriguing possibility that loop- and tree-like radiative corrections can be treated on equal footing under a common integral using Monte Carlo integration through a convenient mapping of the external momenta entering the virtual and real scattering amplitudes [36, 37].

Here we focus on the use of the LTD framework in computing one-loop Feynman diagrams. We discuss briefly the principle behind the LTD method, we describe our numerical implementation [35] and we give some details on the performance of our code in computing both scalar and tensor multi-leg integrals.

2. Numerical Implementation of the LTD

A generic one-loop scalar integral can be written in dimensional regularisation as

$$L^{(1)}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) = \int_{\ell} \prod_{i=1}^N G_F(q_i) , \quad (2.1)$$

where $G_F(q_i) = 1/(q_i^2 - m_i^2 + i0)$ are Feynman propagators, q_i are internal momenta depending on the loop momentum ℓ and $\int_{\ell} = -i \int d^d \ell / (2\pi)^d$ is used as a shorthand notation. To apply the LTD we integrate over the energy coordinate of the loop four-momentum by taking residues (Cauchy's theorem) after choosing an integration contour which encloses the poles with positive energy and negative imaginary part. This action decomposes the initial diagram from an integral with loop four-momentum to a sum of integrals over three-momentum:

$$L^{(1)}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathcal{C}_i} \frac{1}{q_{i+1}^2 - m_{i+1}^2 - i0 \eta p_{i+1}}$$

We defined $\tilde{\delta}(q_i) = 2\pi i \delta_+(q_i^2 - m_i^2)$ where the delta function “+” subscript indicates that we take the positive-energy solution whereas, η is a future-like vector. To summarise, LTD in its essence tells us that by using Cauchy’s theorem, we may rewrite a one-loop amplitude as a sum of single-cut phase-space integrals (which we call “dual contributions”) over the loop three-momentum. To integrate the dual contributions over the latter requires a proper contour deformation due to the presence of the so-called *ellipsoid* and *hyperboloid* singularities [35].

Our implementation of the LTD method was done in C++ and to perform the momenta integration we employ the Cuba library [39]. The user needs only to specify the external four-momenta, the internal propagator masses and has also the freedom to change the parameters of the contour deformation. One may also choose between Cuhre [40, 41] and VEGAS [42], and specify the desired number of evaluations. At run time, the code performs the following steps:

1. Reads in and assigns masses and external momenta.
2. Makes an analysis of the ellipsoid and hyperboloid singularities to properly group the dual contributions and sets up the contour deformation.
3. Sends the integrand to the chosen routine (either Cuhre or VEGAS) for the integration to be done and returns the result.

To generate a very wide range of random momenta and masses so that we could test our code in various regions of the phase-space we used MATHEMATICA 10.0 [43]. For most of the numerical results in [35], we used the routine Cuhre, VEGAS was a slower choice in general. In order to produce reference values to compare our results to, LoopTools 2.10 [44] and SecDec 3.0 [45] were used.

We have run our code for a large number of scalar and up to rank three tensor integrals. We did an exhaustive comparison of our results against the reference values from LoopTools 2.10 and SecDec 3.0 for triangles (3-external legs), boxes (4-external legs) pentagons (5-external legs) and hexagons (6-external legs). The execution time for scalar integrals with a wanted precision of 4-digits, on a typical Desktop machine (Intel i7 @ 3.4 GHz processor, 4-cores 8-threads), varied from below a second to around 30 seconds, the latter for pentagons. Tensor integrals were generally slower to compute but not by much.

As a non-trivial example, we display in Table 1 the results we obtained for tensor hexagons and for three phase-space points (P22, P23, P24) along with the execution times. The momenta and the masses of these phase-space points can be found in the Appendix of Ref. [35]. The running times of SecDec are shown only for completeness, we do not imply that our code compares better or worse to SecDec.

	Rank	Tensor Hexagon	Real Part	Imaginary Part	Time[s]
P22	1	SecDec	$1.01359(23) \times 10^{-15}$	$+i 2.68657(26) \times 10^{-15}$	33
		LTD	$1.01345(130) \times 10^{-15}$	$+i 2.68633(130) \times 10^{-15}$	72
P23	2	SecDec	$2.45315(24) \times 10^{-12}$	$-i 2.06087(20) \times 10^{-12}$	337
		LTD	$2.45273(727) \times 10^{-12}$	$-i 2.06202(727) \times 10^{-12}$	75
P24	3	SecDec	$-2.07531(19) \times 10^{-6}$	$+i 6.97158(56) \times 10^{-7}$	14280
		LTD	$-2.07526(8) \times 10^{-6}$	$+i 6.97192(8) \times 10^{-7}$	85

Table 1: Tensor hexagons involving numerators of rank one to three.

3. Conclusions

The Loop-Tree Duality is a method that incorporates many appealing theoretical features when considering processes with many external legs. The first numerical implementation of the LTD and its application to a very large number of phase-space test points proved to be very successful. The code excels in diagrams with many external legs as it shows only a moderate increase in execution times in comparison to diagrams with smaller number of external particles.

From this first study, and without any serious attempt toward the optimization of our code for faster execution times, we conclude that our implementation of the LTD method offers a promising alternative for computing multi-leg scalar and tensor one-loop integrals with an arbitrary number of scales. Finally, we are looking forward to testing our LTD numerical implementation onto physical processes.

Acknowledgements

We thank S. Catani for a longstanding fruitful collaboration. We also thank S. Borowka and G. Heinrich for their help in running SecDec. This work has been supported by the Spanish Government and ERDF funds from the European Commission (Grants No. FPA2014-53631-C2-1-P, SEV-2014-0398, FPA2013-44773-P), and by Generalitat Valenciana under Grant No. PROMETEOII/2013/007. GC acknowledges support from the MICINN, Spain, under contract FPA2013-44773-P. S.B. acknowledges support from JAEPre programme (CSIC). P.D. acknowledges support from General Secretariat for Research and Technology of Greece and from European Regional Development Fund MIS-448332-ORASY (NSRF 2007-13 ACTION, KRIPIS).

References

- [1] S. Catani and M. H. Seymour, “The Dipole formalism for the calculation of QCD jet cross-sections at next-to-leading order,” *Phys. Lett. B* **378**, 287 (1996) [hep-ph/9602277].
- [2] D. E. Soper, “QCD calculations by numerical integration,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 2638 [hep-ph/9804454].
- [3] D. E. Soper, “Techniques for QCD calculations by numerical integration,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000) 014009 [hep-ph/9910292].
- [4] D. E. Soper, “Choosing integration points for QCD calculations by numerical integration,” *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 034018 [hep-ph/0103262].

- [5] M. Kramer and D. E. Soper, “Next-to-leading order numerical calculations in Coulomb gauge,” *Phys. Rev. D* **66** (2002) 054017 [hep-ph/0204113].
- [6] A. Ferroglia, M. Passera, G. Passarino and S. Uccirati, “All purpose numerical evaluation of one loop multileg Feynman diagrams,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **650** (2003) 162 [hep-ph/0209219].
- [7] Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, “General subtraction method for numerical calculation of one loop QCD matrix elements,” *JHEP* **0309** (2003) 055 [hep-ph/0308127].
- [8] Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, “Numerical integration of one-loop Feynman diagrams for N-photon amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006) 093006 [hep-ph/0610028].
- [9] M. Moretti, F. Piccinini and A. D. Polosa, “A Fully Numerical Approach to One-Loop Amplitudes,” arXiv:0802.4171 [hep-ph].
- [10] W. Gong, Z. Nagy and D. E. Soper, “Direct numerical integration of one-loop Feynman diagrams for N-photon amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 033005 [arXiv:0812.3686 [hep-ph]].
- [11] W. Kilian and T. Kleinschmidt, “Numerical Evaluation of Feynman Loop Integrals by Reduction to Tree Graphs,” arXiv:0912.3495 [hep-ph].
- [12] S. Becker, C. Reuschle and S. Weinzierl, “Numerical NLO QCD calculations,” *JHEP* **1012** (2010) 013 [arXiv:1010.4187 [hep-ph]].
- [13] S. Becker, C. Reuschle and S. Weinzierl, “Efficiency Improvements for the Numerical Computation of NLO Corrections,” *JHEP* **1207** (2012) 090 [arXiv:1205.2096 [hep-ph]].
- [14] S. Becker and S. Weinzierl, “Direct contour deformation with arbitrary masses in the loop,” *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 074009 [arXiv:1208.4088 [hep-ph]].
- [15] S. Seth and S. Weinzierl, “Numerical integration of subtraction terms,” arXiv:1605.06646 [hep-ph].
- [16] G. Bevilacqua, M. Czakon, M. V. Garzelli, A. van Hameren, A. Kardos, C. G. Papadopoulos, R. Pittau and M. Worek, “Helac-nlo,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 986 (2013) [arXiv:1110.1499 [hep-ph]].
- [17] F. Cascioli, P. Maierhofer and S. Pozzorini, “Scattering Amplitudes with Open Loops,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108** (2012) 111601 [arXiv:1111.5206 [hep-ph]].
- [18] G. Cullen *et al.*, “GOSAM-2.0: a tool for automated one-loop calculations within the Standard Model and beyond,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, no. 8, 3001 (2014) [arXiv:1404.7096 [hep-ph]].
- [19] S. Frixione and B. R. Webber, “The MC and NLO 3.4 Event Generator,” arXiv:0812.0770 [hep-ph].
- [20] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, S. Schumann, F. Siegert and J. Winter, “Event generation with SHERPA 1.1,” *JHEP* **0902**, 007 (2009) [arXiv:0811.4622 [hep-ph]].
- [21] J. Alwall *et al.*, “The automated computation of tree-level and next-to-leading order differential cross sections, and their matching to parton shower simulations,” *JHEP* **1407**, 079 (2014) [arXiv:1405.0301 [hep-ph]].
- [22] G. Passarino, “An Approach toward the numerical evaluation of multiloop Feynman diagrams,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **619** (2001) 257 [hep-ph/0108252].
- [23] C. Anastasiou, S. Beerli and A. Daleo, “Evaluating multi-loop Feynman diagrams with infrared and threshold singularities numerically,” *JHEP* **0705** (2007) 071 [hep-ph/0703282].
- [24] S. Becker and S. Weinzierl, “Direct numerical integration for multi-loop integrals,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2321 [arXiv:1211.0509 [hep-ph]].

- [25] S. Catani, T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss, G. Rodrigo and J. C. Winter, “From loops to trees by-passing Feynman’s theorem,” *JHEP* **0809** (2008) 065 [arXiv:0804.3170 [hep-ph]].
- [26] G. Rodrigo, S. Catani, T. Gleisberg, F. Krauss and J. C. Winter, “From multileg loops to trees (by-passing Feynman’s Tree Theorem),” *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **183** (2008) 262 [arXiv:0807.0531 [hep-th]].
- [27] I. Bierenbaum, S. Catani, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, “A Tree-Loop Duality Relation at Two Loops and Beyond,” *JHEP* **1010** (2010) 073 [arXiv:1007.0194 [hep-ph]].
- [28] T. Binoth *et al.* [SM and NLO Multileg Working Group Collaboration], arXiv:1003.1241 [hep-ph].
- [29] I. Bierenbaum, S. Buchta, P. Draggiotis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, “Tree-Loop Duality Relation beyond simple poles,” *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 025 [arXiv:1211.5048 [hep-ph]].
- [30] I. Bierenbaum, P. Draggiotis, S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, “News on the loop–tree Duality,” *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **44** (2013) 2207.
- [31] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, P. Draggiotis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, “On the singular behaviour of scattering amplitudes in quantum field theory,” *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 014 [arXiv:1405.7850 [hep-ph]].
- [32] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, I. Malamos, I. Bierenbaum, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, “The loop-tree duality at work,” *PoS LL* **2014** (2014) 066 [arXiv:1407.5865 [hep-ph]].
- [33] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, P. Draggiotis, I. Malamos and G. Rodrigo, “Towards a Numerical Implementation of the Loop-Tree Duality Method,” *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* **258-259** (2015) 33 [arXiv:1509.07386 [hep-ph]].
- [34] G. F. R. Sborlini, R. Hernández-Pinto and G. Rodrigo, “From dimensional regularization to NLO computations in four dimensions,” *PoS EPS -HEP2015*, 479 (2015) [arXiv:1510.01079 [hep-ph]].
- [35] S. Buchta, G. Chachamis, P. Draggiotis and G. Rodrigo, “Numerical implementation of the Loop-Tree Duality method,” arXiv:1510.00187 [hep-ph].
- [36] R. J. Hernandez-Pinto, G. F. R. Sborlini and G. Rodrigo, “Towards gauge theories in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **1602**, 044 (2016) [arXiv:1506.04617 [hep-ph]].
- [37] G. F. R. Sborlini, F. Driencourt-Mangin, R. Hernandez-Pinto and G. Rodrigo, “Four-dimensional unsubtraction from the loop-tree duality,” arXiv:1604.06699 [hep-ph].
- [38] G. F. R. Sborlini, “Loop-tree duality and quantum field theory in four dimensions,” *PoS RADCOR* **2015**, 082 (2015) [arXiv:1601.04634 [hep-ph]].
- [39] T. Hahn, “CUBA: A Library for multidimensional numerical integration,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **168** (2005) 78 [hep-ph/0404043].
- [40] J. Berntsen, T. O. Espelid, A. Genz, “An Adaptive Algorithm for the Approximate Calculation of Multiple Integrals” *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **17** (1991) 437-451.
- [41] J. Berntsen, T. O. Espelid, A. Genz, “An Adaptive Multidimensional Integration Routine for a Vector of Integrals” *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.* **17** (1991) 452-456.
- [42] G. P. Lepage, “Vegas: An Adaptive Multidimensional Integration Program,” Report No CLNS-80/447.
- [43] Wolfram Research, Inc., “Mathematica,” Version 10.0, (2015).
- [44] T. Hahn and M. Perez-Victoria, “Automatized one loop calculations in four-dimensions and D-dimensions,” *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **118**, 153 (1999) [hep-ph/9807565].
- [45] S. Borowka, G. Heinrich, S. P. Jones, M. Kerner, J. Schlenk and T. Zirke, “SecDec-3.0: numerical evaluation of multi-scale integrals beyond one loop,” arXiv:1502.06595 [hep-ph].