

## Rotational Submanifolds in Pseudo-Euclidean Spaces

---

**Bruno Mendonça Rey dos Santos\***

*State University of Londrina, Department of Mathematics*

*E-mail: brunomrs@uel.br*

We define rotational submanifolds in pseudo-euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}_r^n$ . We use the rotational immersion to classify all rotational submanifolds of  $\mathbb{L}^n$  and we also generalize a result showing sufficient conditions for a riemannian submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}_r^n$  be a rotational submanifold.

*3d International Satellite Conference on Mathematical Methods in Physics*

*21 - 26 October, 2013*

*Londrina - PR (Brazil)*

---

\*Speaker.

## 1. Introduction

Rotational submanifolds play an important role at submanifolds theory of riemannian manifolds (see, for example, [1] and [2]). They also play an important role at the study of marginally trapped surfaces which, by their turn, are important to study black holes (see [3] and [4]).

There are lots of definitions of rotational submanifolds: rotational submanifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [5]), rotational hypersurfaces in constant curvature spaces (see [1]), rotational hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  in (see [6]), and other definitions. But constant curvature spaces,  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  are submanifolds of pseudo-euclidean spaces, therefore, it is possible to use one definition which will serve at all these cases, we just have to define rotational submanifolds in pseudo-euclidean spaces.

In order to define rotational submanifolds in pseudo-euclidean spaces, some notations are used. A pseudo-euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ ,  $t \leq n$ , is the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  together with the inner product given by

$$\langle x, y \rangle := - \sum_{i=1}^t x_i y_i + \sum_{i=t+1}^n x_i y_i,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  and the symbol ":= " means "equal by definition". We are going to use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &:= \langle x, x \rangle; \\ \mathbb{S}^n &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\|^2 = 1\}; \\ \mathbb{S}^n(p, r) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_t^n \mid \|x - p\|^2 = r^2\}; \\ \mathbb{S}^n(p, -r) &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_t^n \mid \|x - p\|^2 = -r^2\}; \\ \mathbb{H}^n &:= \{x \in \mathbb{S}^n(0, -1) \mid x_1 > 0\}; \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_t^n \mid \|x\|^2 = 0\}, \text{ is the light cone}; \\ \mathcal{L}^* &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}_t^n \mid \|x\|^2 = 0 \text{ and } x \neq 0\}, \text{ is the light cone without the origin.} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$ . We say that  $x$  is: spacelike, if  $\|x\|^2 > 0$ ; timelike, if  $\|x\|^2 < 0$ ; or lightlike, if  $\|x\|^2 = 0$ . Given  $V \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  a vector subspace, we say that  $V$  is:

- spacelike, if every vector of  $V$  is spacelike;
- timelike, if there is a basis of  $V$  in which the inner product of two vectors of  $V$  can be written like

$$\langle v, w \rangle = - \sum_{i=1}^s v_i w_i + \sum_{i=s+1}^m v_i w_i,$$

where  $s \leq t$  and  $m \leq n$ ;

- lightlike, if the inner product in  $V$  is degenerated.

Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , with  $1 \leq q \leq n-2$ . Lets denote the group of all linear isometries of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  by  $O_t(n)$  and by  $O(q+1)$  the subgroup of  $O_t(n)$  which fixes every point of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  be a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  and  $f: N^{m-q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  be an immersion such that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  and  $f(N) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \emptyset$ . The **rotational submanifold** with axis  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  on  $f$  is the union of the orbits of points of  $f(N)$  under the action of the group  $O(q+1)$ , ie., it is the set

$$\{A(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } A \in O(q+1)\}.$$

In the euclidean case ( $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \mathbb{R}^n$ ), the above definition is the same given in [5]. A more general definition for the euclidean case can be found in [7].

Our first objective is to prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  be two vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  and  $f: N^{m-q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  an immersion such that  $f(N) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \emptyset$ . Let also  $M$  be a rotational submanifold on  $f$ , with axis  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$ .*

1. *Lets suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  has index  $s$  (ie.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ ),  $\mathbb{R}_t^{q+1} := \left(\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}\right)^\perp$  and  $\pi: \mathbb{R}_t^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ .*

(a) *If  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  has index  $s$  ( $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q}$ ), lets consider  $\mathbb{S}(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1}$  and  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q} \cap \left(\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}\right)^\perp$  a unit spacelike vector. In this case, we can define  $\bar{M}$  and  $g: N \times \mathbb{S}(0,1) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  by*

$$\bar{M} := \{f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{S}(0,1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, \xi) := f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)),$$

where  $f_1(x) := \langle f(x), X_1 \rangle$ .

(b) *If  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \mathbb{R}_{s+1}^{n-q}$ , lets consider  $\mathbb{S}(0,-1) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1}$  and  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{s+1}^{n-q} \cap \left(\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}\right)^\perp$  a unit timelike vector. In this case, we can define  $\bar{M}$  and  $g: N \times \mathbb{S}(0,-1) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  by*

$$\bar{M} := \{f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{S}(0,-1)\} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, \xi) := f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)),$$

where  $f_1(x) := -\langle f(x), X_1 \rangle$ .

(c) *If  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is lightlike, lets consider  $\mathcal{L}^* \subset \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1}$  and  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \cap \left(\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}\right)^\perp$  a lightlike vector. In this case, we can define  $\bar{M}$  and  $g: N \times \mathcal{L}^* \rightarrow \bar{M}$  by*

$$\bar{M} := \{f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } \xi \in \mathcal{L}^*\} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, \xi) := f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)),$$

where  $f_1(x)$  is the component of  $f(x)$  in the  $X_1$  direction, ie.,  $f(x) = f_1(x)X_1 + \pi(f(x))$ .

2. *Let suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  is lightlike and there are non-degenerated vector subspaces  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and lightlike vectors  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 1$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \text{span}\{X_2\} \oplus U$  and  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U \oplus V$ . In this case, let  $\pi: \text{span}\{X_1\} \oplus V \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  be the projection application.*

(a) *If  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U$ , lets define  $\bar{M}$  and  $g: N \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  by*

$$\bar{M} := \left\{ f_1(x) \left( X_1 + v - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} X_2 \right) + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } v \in V \right\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$g(x, v) := f_1(x) \left( X_1 + v - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} X_2 \right) + \pi(f(x)),$$

where  $f_1(x) = \langle f(x), X_2 \rangle$ .

(b) If  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \text{span}\{w, X_2\} \oplus U$ , where  $w \in V$  is a unit vector, lets consider  $\varepsilon := \|w\|^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}(0, \varepsilon) \subset V$  and we can define  $\bar{M}$  and  $g: N \times \mathbb{S}(0, \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  by

$$\bar{M} := \{f_1(x)(\lambda X_2 + \xi) + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N, \xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, \varepsilon) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$g(x, \xi, t) := f_1(x)(\lambda X_2 + \xi) + \pi(f(x)),$$

where  $f_1(x) = \varepsilon \langle f(x), w \rangle$ .

In any of the above cases,  $M = \bar{M}$ . Furthermore, in the cases (I.1), (I.2) and (II.1),  $g$  is an immersion. With the hypothesis that  $N$  is a riemannian manifold and  $f$  is an isometric immersion,  $g$  is also an immersion in the cases (I.3) and (II.2).

This proposition studies some of the possible cases for rotational submanifolds in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , but there are some other cases which were not studied, for example, the case in which  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1-\ell} \oplus \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ , where  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell$  are orthogonal lightlike vectors. Besides that, if  $t = 1$ , that is,  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \mathbb{L}^n$  is the Lorentz space, then Proposition 2 is enough.

**Corollary 3.** *Proposition 2 classifies all rotational submanifolds in  $\mathbb{L}^n$  on an immersion  $f$ , according to the codomain of  $f$  and to the rotational axis.*

Once we have proved those results, we want to show another one but, first, we need some definitions.

Let  $M_s^m$  and  $N_t^n$  be two pseudo-riemannian manifolds and  $f: M_s^m \rightarrow N_t^n$  an isometric immersion. Given a vector  $\eta \in T_x^\perp M$ , it's **conformal nullity** subspace is given by

$$E_\eta(x) := \{X \in T_x M \mid \alpha(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle \eta, \forall Y \in T_x M\}.$$

We say that  $\eta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  is a **principal normal** if  $\dim E_\eta(x) \geq 1$ , for all  $x \in M$ . If  $\eta$  is a principal normal,  $E_\eta$  has constant dimension and  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connection of  $f$  along  $E_\eta$ , then  $\eta$  is called a **Dupin normal** of  $f$ . In this case, the number  $\dim E_\eta$  is the **multiplicity** of  $\eta$ .

A distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  in a riemannian manifold  $M^n$  is **umbilical** if there exists a vector field  $\varphi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$  such that  $\nabla_X^h Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \varphi$ , for all  $X$  and all  $Y$  in  $\Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $\nabla_X^h Y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\nabla_X Y$  on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ . The vector  $\varphi$  is called **mean curvature vector** of the umbilical distribution  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $\mathcal{D}$  is umbilical and it's mean curvature vector is null ( $\varphi \equiv 0$ ), then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called **totally geodesic**.  $\mathcal{D}$  is called **spherical** if  $\mathcal{D}$  is umbilical and  $\nabla_X^h \varphi = 0$ , for every  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ .

Our main result is the following theorem, which generalizes a similar theorem made in [5] for the euclidean case:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M^m$  be a riemannian manifold,  $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  an isometric immersion and  $\eta$  a Dupin normal of  $f$  with multiplicity  $q$  and such that  $\eta \neq 0$  in every point of  $M$ . If  $E_\eta^\perp$  is totally geodesic, then there exists a rotational immersion  $g$  such that  $f(M)$  is a subset of the image of  $g$ . Furthermore, we have one of the following cases:*

1. *There is an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_t^{m-q-1}$  such that  $g: N^{m-q} \times \mathbb{S}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{q+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_t^{m-q-1}$  is given by*

$$g(x, y) = p + r(x)y + h(x),$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is a fixed point,  $r(x) > 0$ ,  $r(x)y \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ ,  $h(x) \in \mathbb{R}_t^{m-q-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_t^{m-q-1}$  is the rotational axis.

2. There is an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \mathbb{L}^{q+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{t-1}^{n-q-1}$  such that  $g: N^{m-q} \times \mathbb{S}(0, -1) \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^{q+1} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{t-1}^{n-q-1}$  is given by

$$g(x, y) = p + r(x)y + h(x),$$

where  $p \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is a fixed point,  $\mathbb{S}(0, -1) \subset \mathbb{L}^{q+1}$ ,  $r(x) > 0$ ,  $r(x)y \in \mathbb{L}^{q+1}$ ,  $h(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t-1}^{n-q-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}_{t-1}^{n-q-1}$  is the rotational axis.

3. There are lightlike vectors  $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and an orthogonal decomposition  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^q \oplus \mathbb{R}_{t-2}^{n-q-2}$  such that  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 1$  and  $g: N^{m-q} \times \mathbb{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is given by

$$g(x, y) = q + g_1(x)e_1 + \left[ g_2(x) - g_1(x) \frac{\|y\|^2}{2} \right] e_2 + g_1(x)y + g_3(x),$$

where  $q \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is a fixed point,  $g_1(x) > 0$ ,  $g_3(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{t-s-2}^{n-q-2}$  and  $\text{span}\{e_2\} \oplus \mathbb{R}_{t-2}^{n-q-2}$  is the rotational axis.

In [9], this theorem is used to show that some umbilical submanifolds of a product of two constant curvature spaces are rotational submanifolds in  $\mathbb{R}_t^N$ .

## 2. Proof of Proposition 2 and Corollary 3

Let  $\mathcal{L}^*$  be the light cone without the null vector (origin).

*Proof of the cases (I) of the Proposition 2.*

Let  $M := \{A(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{O}(q+1)\}$  be a rotational submanifold on  $f$ . We have to show that  $M = \bar{M}$  and that  $g$  is an immersion.

**(I.1):** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q}$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q}$ , there exists a unit spacelike vector  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q} \cap (\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1})^\perp$ . Thus,  $f(x) = f_1(x)X_1 + \pi(f(x))$ , where  $f_1(x) := \langle f(x), X_1 \rangle$ .

Affirmation 1:  $M \subset \bar{M}$ .

If  $A \in \mathcal{O}(q+1)$ , then

$$A(f(x)) = A(f_1(x)X_1 + \pi(f(x))) = f_1(x)A(X_1) + A(\pi(f(x))).$$

But,

$$A(\pi(f(x))) = \pi(f(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle A(X_1), Y \rangle = \langle A(X_1), A(Y) \rangle = \langle X_1, Y \rangle = 0,$$

for all  $Y \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ , because  $A$  fixes the points of  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ .

Thus  $A(X_1) \in \mathbb{S}(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}_{n-s}^{q+1} \perp \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ , since  $A(X_1) \perp \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  and  $\|A(X_1)\|^2 = \|X_1\|^2 = 1$ . Therefore  $A(f(x)) = f_1(x)A(X_1) + \pi(f(x)) \in \{f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) \mid x \in N \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, 1)\}$ .  $\checkmark$

Affirmation 2:  $\bar{M} \subset M$ .

Let  $x \in N$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}_{t-s}^{q+1} \perp \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ . Lets assume that  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q+1}\}$  and  $\{\xi, Y_2, \dots, Y_{q+1}\}$  are two orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}_{t-s}^{q+1}$  such that  $\|X_i\|^2 = \|Y_i\|^2$ . If  $\{X_{q+2}, \dots, X_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ , then we can define  $A \in \mathcal{O}_t(n)$  by

$$A(X_i) = \begin{cases} \xi, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ Y_i, & \text{if } i = 2, \dots, q+1; \\ X_i, & \text{if } i = q+2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $A \in O(q+1)$  and  $f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) = f_1(x)A(X_1) + A(\pi(f(x))) = A(f(x))$ .  $\checkmark$

**Affirmation 3:**  $g$  is an immersion.

In deed, calculating  $dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2)$  we get

$$dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2) = \langle df(x)v_1, X_1 \rangle \xi + \langle f(x), X_1 \rangle v_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1).$$

If  $dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2) = 0$ , then  $\langle df(x)v_1, X_1 \rangle \xi = 0$ ,  $\langle f(x), X_1 \rangle v_2 = 0$  and  $\pi(df(x)v_1) = 0$ , since  $v_2 \perp \xi$  and  $\xi, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{t-s}^{q+1} \perp \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ . Thus

$$\begin{cases} \langle df(x)v_1, X_1 \rangle = 0, & \text{cause } \xi \neq 0; \\ v_2 = 0, & \text{cause } f(x) \notin \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}, \text{ ie., } \langle f(x), X_1 \rangle \neq 0; \text{ and} \\ \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\langle df(x)v_1, X_1 \rangle X_1 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = df(x)v_1 = 0 \Rightarrow (v_1, v_2) = (0, 0).$$

Therefore  $g$  is an immersion.  $\checkmark \bullet$

**(I.2):** The proof is analogous to the proof of the previous case.  $\bullet$

**(I.3):** Lets assume that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is lightlike (nondegenerate). Since  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$ , there exists a lightlike vector  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \cap (\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1})^\perp$ . Thus,  $f(x) = f_1(x)X_1 + \pi(f(x))$ .

**Affirmation 1:**  $M \subset \bar{M}$ .

Analogous to the Affirmation 1 of the case (I.1).  $\checkmark$

**Affirmation 2:**  $\bar{M} \subset M$ .

Let  $x \in N$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^* \subset \mathbb{R}_{t-s}^{q+1} = (\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1})^\perp$  and lets consider  $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{q+1}\}$  and  $\{\xi, Y_2, \dots, Y_{q+1}\}$  two basis of  $\mathbb{R}_{t-s}^{q+1}$  such that

- $X_1, X_2, \xi$  and  $Y_2$  are lightlike;
- $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 1 = \langle \xi, Y_2 \rangle$ ;
- $\{X_3, \dots, X_{q+1}\}$  and  $\{Y_3, \dots, Y_{q+1}\}$  are orthonormal sets;
- $\{X_1, X_2\} \perp \{X_3, \dots, X_{q+1}\}$  and  $\{\xi, Y_2\} \perp \{Y_3, \dots, Y_{q+1}\}$ .

If  $\{X_{q+2}, \dots, X_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ , then we can define  $A \in O_t(n)$  by

$$A(X_i) = \begin{cases} \xi, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ Y_i, & \text{if } i \in \{2, \dots, q+1\}; \\ X_i, & \text{if } i \in \{q+2, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $A \in O(q+1)$  and  $f_1(x)\xi + \pi(f(x)) = f_1(x)A(X_1) + A(\pi(f(x))) = A(f(x))$ .  $\checkmark$

**Affirmation 3:** If  $N$  is a riemannian manifold and  $f$  is an isometric immersion, then  $g$  is also an immersion.

In deed, calculating  $dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2)$  we get

$$dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2) = \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle \xi + \langle f(x), X_2 \rangle v_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1),$$

where  $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1}$  is a lightlike vector such that  $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 1$ .

If  $dg(x)(v_1, v_2) = 0$ , then  $\langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle \xi + \langle f(x), X_2 \rangle v_2 = 0$  and  $\pi(df(x)v_1) = 0$ , since  $\xi, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}_t^{q+1} \perp \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$  and  $\pi(f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1}$ .

Knowing that  $N$  is riemannian and  $f$  is an isometric immersion, we have that  $df(x)v_1$  is null or it is a spacelike vector. But  $df(x)v_1 = \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle X_1 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle X_1$ , ie.,  $df(x)v_1$  is not spacelike. Therefore  $df(x)v_1 = 0$  and  $v_1 = 0$ .

Thus,  $dg(x, \xi)(v_1, v_2) = f_1(x)v_2 = 0$  and  $g$  is an immersion, cause  $f(N) \cap \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q-1} = \emptyset$  and  $f_1(x) \neq 0$ .  $\checkmark$   $\square$

**Remark 4.** In case (I.3), through the calculations of the differential  $dg(x, \xi)$ , it is easily proved that  $g$  is an immersion if, and only if,  $f_*TN \cap \text{span}\{X_1\} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-q})^\perp \cap f_*(TN) = \{0\}$ . Therefore, instead of supposing that  $N$  is riemannian and  $f$  is an isometric immersion, we could suppose that  $f_*TN \cap \text{span}\{X_1\} = \{0\}$ , without changing the thesis.

We need more results in order to show case (II) of Proposition 2.

Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be lightlike vectors of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  such that  $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 1$  and lets suppose that

$$\mathbb{R}_t^n = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U \oplus V,$$

where  $U$  and  $V$  are nondegenerate vector subspaces. Lets consider the lightlike vector subspace  $W := \text{span}\{X_2\} \oplus U \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ ,  $O(V)$  the group of linear isometries of  $V$  and  $O(V) \ltimes V$  the group of isometries of  $V$ . We can define the applications  $\mathcal{S} : V \rightarrow \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus V$  and  $\Phi : O(V) \ltimes V \rightarrow O_t(n)$  by

$$\mathcal{S}(x) := X_1 + x - \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} X_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\Phi(B, x)(v + v^\perp) := v^\perp - \left( \langle Bv, x \rangle + \frac{\langle X_2, v^\perp \rangle}{2} \|x\|^2 \right) X_2 + Bv + \langle X_2, v^\perp \rangle x, \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $v + v^\perp \in V \oplus V^\perp = \mathbb{R}_t^n$ .

In [8], it is proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.** 1.  $\mathcal{S} : V \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V)$  is an isometry.

2.  $\Phi : O(V) \ltimes V \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is a group isomorphism, where  $\mathcal{W}$  is the subgroup of  $O_t(n)$  which fixes the points of  $W$ .

3.  $\mathcal{W}$  is the isometries group of  $\mathcal{S}(V) = \left\{ X_1 + x - \frac{\|x\|^2}{2} X_2 \mid x \in V \right\}$ .

*Proof of the case (II) of Proposition 2.*

Lets suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  is lightlike and that there exist a nondegenerate vector subspace  $U \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and a lightlike vector  $X_2 \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  such that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \text{span}\{X_2\} \oplus U$ . In this case, there exist a lightlike vector  $X_1 \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and a nondegenerate subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  such that

$$\mathbb{R}_t^n = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U \oplus V, \quad \langle X_1, X_2 \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \text{span}\{w, X_2\} \oplus U,$$

where  $w \in V$ , or  $w = X_1$ .

If  $A \in \mathbf{O}(q+1)$  and  $x \in N$ , then

$$A(f(x)) = A(f_1(x)w + \pi(f(x))) = f_1(x)A(w) + \pi(f(x)).$$

By Lemma 5, there exist an isometry  $B$  of  $V$  and a vector  $v \in V$  such that  $A = \Phi(B, v)$ .

**(II.1):** Lets suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \mathbb{R}_s^{n-q} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U$ . In this case,  $f_1(x) = \langle f(x), X_2 \rangle$  and we can write  $f(x) = f_1(x)X_1 + \pi(f(x))$ . Thus,

$$A(f(x)) = f_1(x)A(X_1) + \pi(f(x)).$$

By the other side,

$$A(X_1) = \Phi(B, v)(X_1) \stackrel{(2.2)}{=} X_1 - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}X_2 + v \Rightarrow A(f(x)) = f_1(x) \left( X_1 - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}X_2 + v \right) + \pi(f(x)).$$

Thus,  $M \subset \bar{M}$ .

Let  $f_1(x) \left( X_1 - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}X_2 + v \right) + \pi(f(x)) \in \bar{M}$ . Given  $B \in \mathbf{O}(V)$ ,  $\Phi(B, v) \in \mathbf{O}(q+1)$ , by Lemma 5. Furthermore,  $\Phi(B, v)(X_1) = X_1 - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}X_2 + v$ , thus

$$f_1(x) \left( X_1 - \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}X_2 + v \right) + \pi(f(x)) = f_1(x)\Phi(B, v)(X_1) + \pi(f(x)) = \Phi(B, v)(f(x)) \in M.$$

Therefore,  $M = \bar{M}$ .

Calculating  $dg(x, v)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} dg(x, v)(v_1, v_2) &= \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle X_1 - \left( \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} + f_1(x) \langle v, v_2 \rangle \right) X_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1) + \\ &\quad + \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle v + f_1(x)v_2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $dg(x, v)(v_1, v_2) = 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle X_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle v + f_1(x)v_2 = 0 \Rightarrow f_1(x)v_2 = 0 \Rightarrow v_2 = 0, \\ - \left( f_1(x) \langle v, v_2 \rangle + \langle df(x)v_1, X_2 \rangle \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} \right) X_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0 \Rightarrow \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

since  $v, v_2 \in V \perp \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\} \oplus U$  and  $\pi(f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \text{span}\{X_2\} \oplus U$ . Therefore  $f$  is an immersion. •

**(II.2):** Lets suppose that  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} = \text{span}\{w\} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} = \text{span}\{w, X_2\} \oplus U$ , for some unit vector  $w \in V$ . In this case,  $f_1 = \varepsilon \langle f(x), w \rangle$ , where  $\varepsilon = \|w\|^2$ . Thus,

$$A(f(x)) = f_1(x)\Phi(B, v)(w) + \pi(f(x)) \stackrel{(2.2)}{=} f_1(x)(-\langle Bw, v \rangle X_2 + Bw) + \pi(f(x)).$$

Calling  $\lambda := -\langle Bw, v \rangle$ , we have that  $M \subset \bar{M}$ , since  $\|Bw\|^2 = \|w\|^2$ . Lets consider  $f_1(x)(\lambda X_2 + \xi) + \pi(f(x)) \in \bar{M}$ ,  $B \in \mathbf{O}(V)$  and  $v \in V$  such that  $Bw = \xi$  and  $\langle \xi, v \rangle = -\lambda$ , in this way

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x)(\lambda X_2 + \xi) + \pi(f(x)) &= f_1(x)(-\langle Bw, v \rangle X_2 + Bw) + \pi(f(x)) = \\ &= f_1(x)\Phi(B, v)(w) + \pi(f(x)) = \Phi(B, v)(f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f_1(x)(\lambda X_2 + \xi) + \pi(f(x)) \in M$ .

Calculating  $dg(x, \xi, \lambda)$  we get

$$dg(x, \xi, \lambda)(v_1, v_2, r) = [\varepsilon \langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle \xi + f_1(x)v_2] + [\varepsilon \langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle \lambda + f_1(x)r] X_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1).$$

If  $dg(x, \xi, \lambda)(v_1, v_2, r) = 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle \xi + f_1(x)v_2 = 0, \\ [\varepsilon \langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle \lambda + f_1(x)r] X_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

since  $\xi, v_2 \in V$  and  $X_2, \pi(df(x)v_1) \in V^\perp$ .

In this way,  $\langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle = 0$  and  $v_2 = 0$ , since  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $v_2 \perp \mathbb{S}(0, \varepsilon)$  and  $f(x) \notin \mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$ , thus  $f_1(x)rX_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0$ . If  $f$  is an isometric immersion and  $N$  is riemannian, then  $g$  is an immersion. •  $\square$

**Remark 6.** Using the calculations above for the case (II.2) of Proposition 2,

$$dg(x, \xi, \lambda)(v_1, v_2, r) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \langle df(x)v_1, w \rangle = 0, \\ v_2 = 0, \\ f_1(x)rX_2 + \pi(df(x)v_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $g$  is an immersion if, and only if,  $f_*(TN) \cap \text{span}\{X_2\} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-q})^\perp \cap f_*(TN) = \{0\}$ .

**Definition 7.** The immersion  $g$  given at Proposition 2 is called **rotational immersion** of the rotational submanifold  $M$ .

*Proof of Corollary 3.* Let  $f: N^{m-q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q} \subset \mathbb{L}^n$  be an immersion and  $M$  a rotational submanifold on  $f$  with axis  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$ . The only possibilities we have for  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  are:

1.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  are both spacelike or both timelike, ie., both have the same index (equals to  $\pm 1$ );
2.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  is spacelike and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is timelike, ie.,  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  has index 0 and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  has index 1;
3.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  is spacelike and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is lightlike;
4.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  is lightlike and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is timelike;
5.  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q-1}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  are both lightlike.

But all cases above were studied by Proposition 2.  $\square$

**Remarks 8.** By observations 4 and 6, if  $M$  is a rotational submanifold in  $\mathbb{L}^n$  on  $f: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q}$  is lightlike, then  $g$  is an immersion if, and only if,  $\mathbb{R}^{n-q} \cap (\mathbb{R}^{n-q})^\perp \cap \phi_*(TN) = \{0\}$ , ie.,  $g$  is an immersion if, and only if,  $N$  is a riemannian manifold with the metric induced by  $f$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need some additional results. The euclidean versions of these results can be found in [5].

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  be an isometric immersion and  $\eta$  a principal normal of  $f$ . Then, for all  $X \in E_\eta(x)$  and all  $\xi, \zeta \in T_x^\perp M$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ , the following formulas are true:*

$$A_\eta X = \|\eta\|^2 X, \quad A_\xi X = 0 \quad e \quad A_\zeta X = X. \quad (3.1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a distribution in  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{D}(x) \subset E_\eta(x)$ , for all  $x \in M$ .

1. If  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connexion of  $f$  along  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $\nabla\|\eta\|^2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ , where  $\nabla\|\eta\|^2$  is the gradient vector of  $\|\eta\|^2$ . Furthermore, the following formulas are true:

$$(\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X Y = \frac{\langle X, Y \rangle}{2} \nabla\|\eta\|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\langle A_\xi \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle \langle \nabla_Z^\perp \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\langle (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = -\langle X, Y \rangle \langle \nabla_Z^\perp \zeta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.4)$$

- for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , all  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$  and all  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ .  
2. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an umbilical distribution and  $\varphi$  is its mean curvature vector, then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle \sigma, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\sigma := f_* \varphi + \eta$  and  $\nabla_X^v Y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\nabla_X Y$  on  $\mathcal{D}$ .

3. With the same hypothesis of (I) and (II),

$$(\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \nabla (\|\eta\|^2), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\langle A_\xi \varphi, Z \rangle = \langle \nabla_Z^\perp \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\langle (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \varphi, Z \rangle = -\langle \nabla_Z^\perp \zeta, \eta \rangle, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) Z \rangle = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, A_\xi Z \rangle = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) Z \rangle = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , all  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$  and all  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  e  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \in E_\eta(x)$ ,  $Y \in T_x M$  and  $\xi, \zeta \in T_x^\perp M$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ . Then

$$\langle A_\eta X, Y \rangle = \langle \alpha(X, Y), \eta \rangle = \langle \langle X, Y \rangle \eta, \eta \rangle = \|\eta\|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle,$$

$$\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle = \langle \alpha(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle \langle \eta, \xi \rangle = 0,$$

$$\langle A_\zeta X, Y \rangle = \langle \alpha(X, Y), \zeta \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle.$$

Therefore  $A_\eta X = \|\eta\|^2 X$ ,  $A_\xi X = 0$  e  $A_\zeta X = X$ . •

Let  $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$  and  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T_f^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  e  $\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle = 1$ .

**(I):** Knowing that  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connection of  $f$  along  $\mathcal{D}$ , then

$$X(\|\eta\|^2) = 0 \Rightarrow \langle X, \nabla\|\eta\|^2 \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore  $\nabla\|\eta\|^2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ .

Using the Codazzi Equation and equation (3.1), and after some computations, we get that

$$\nabla_X A_\eta Z - A_\eta \nabla_X Z = Z(\|\eta\|^2)X + (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_Z X - A_{\nabla_Z \eta} X.$$

Taking the inner product of both sides of the above equality by  $Y$ , and after some computations, we obtain

$$\langle Z, (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X Y \rangle = \frac{\langle X, Y \rangle}{2} \langle \nabla\|\eta\|^2, Z \rangle. \quad (3.12)$$

We know that, if  $K \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $\langle (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X Y, K \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) K \rangle = 0$ , that is, the only component of  $(\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X Y$  is in  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ . Therefore, equation (3.2) follows from equation (3.12).

We can derive Equation (3.3) making similar computations from Codazzi Equation for  $A_\xi$ ,  $X$  and  $Z$  and taking the inner product with  $Y$ . Equation (3.4) is similar, but we must use  $X$ ,  $A_\zeta$  and  $Z$  at Codazzi Equation.

**(II):** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an umbilical distribution and  $\varphi$  is its mean curvature vector, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y &= f_* \nabla_X Y + \alpha(X, Y) = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + f_* \nabla_X^h Y + \langle X, Y \rangle \eta = \\ &= f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle f_* \varphi + \langle X, Y \rangle \eta = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

**(III):** If  $\mathcal{D}$  is an umbilical distribution and  $\varphi$  is its mean curvature vector, then  $\nabla_X X = \nabla_X^v X + \nabla_X^h X$  e  $\nabla_X^h X = \varphi$ , where  $\nabla_X^v X$  and  $\nabla_X^h X$  are the orthogonal projections of  $\nabla_X X$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , respectively. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \varphi &= (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X^h X \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) (\nabla_X^v X + \nabla_X^h X) = \\ &= (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X X \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \nabla\|\eta\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore equation (3.6) is true.

The equations (3.7) and (3.8) follow, respectively, from equations (3.3) and (3.4), using equation (3.1).

Using (3.6), we can compute that

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) Z \rangle = \frac{1}{2} X \langle \nabla\|\eta\|^2, Z \rangle - \langle \varphi, \nabla_X (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) Z \rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

Using Codazzi Equation for  $A_\eta$ ,  $X$  and  $Z$ , using equation (3.6), and after some computations, we obtain

$$\langle \nabla_X (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) Z, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} X Z (\|\eta\|^2) = \frac{1}{2} X \langle Z, \nabla\|\eta\|^2 \rangle.$$

Thus we get the equation (3.9) replacing the last equation in (3.13).

We know that  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connection of  $f$  along  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\xi \perp \eta$ , thus  $\langle \nabla_X^\perp \xi, \eta \rangle = -\langle \xi, \nabla_X^\perp \eta \rangle = 0$ , that is,  $\nabla_X^\perp \xi \perp \eta$ . In this way, using the Codazzi Equation for  $A_\xi$ ,  $X$  and  $Z$ , using equation (3.7), and after some computations, we obtain

$$\langle A_\xi Z, \nabla_X \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{R}^\perp(X, Z)\xi, \eta \rangle.$$

By the other side, by Ricci Equation,

$$\langle \mathcal{R}^\perp(X, Z)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(X, Z)\xi, \eta \rangle - \langle [A_\xi, A_\eta]X, Z \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, the equation (3.10) is true.

Similarly, equation (3.11) is obtained using the Codazzi equation for  $A_\zeta$ ,  $X$  and  $Z$ , equations (3.7) and (3.8) and the Ricci Equation for  $X$ ,  $Z$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\eta$ . •  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  be an isometric immersion. If  $\eta$  is a non null Dupin normal of  $f$ ,  $E_\eta$  is an umbilical distribution and  $\varphi$  is the mean curvature vector of  $E_\eta$ , then  $E_\eta$  is a spherical distribution and the equations of Lemma 9 are true.*

*Proof.* Taking  $\mathcal{D} := E_\eta$ , the formulas of Lemma 9 are true. To show that  $E_\eta$  is spherical, we will show that  $\nabla_X \varphi(x) \in E_\eta(x)$ , for all  $x \in M$  and all  $X \in E_\eta(x)$ . But this is equivalent to show that

$$(A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi(x) = 0,$$

for all  $x \in M$  and all  $\psi \in T_x^\perp M$ .

Let  $x \in M$  and  $\psi \in T_x^\perp M$ .

If  $\eta(x)$  is timelike or spacelike.

In this case,  $\|\eta(x)\|^2 \neq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id} &= A_{\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id} = \\ &= A_{\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \left( A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} - \text{Id} \right) = A_\xi + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \left( A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} - \text{Id} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi := \psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2} \perp \eta$ . If  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp(x)$ , then

$$\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle = \langle A_\xi \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \left\langle \left( A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} - \text{Id} \right) \nabla_X \varphi, Z \right\rangle.$$

By equations (3.9) e (3.10),

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, A_\xi Z \rangle = 0 = \langle \nabla_X \varphi, (\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) Z \rangle.$$

Therefore  $\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle = 0$ . It remains to prove that  $\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi(x), Y \rangle = 0$ , for all  $Y \in E_\eta(x)$ . But

$$\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi(x), Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \varphi, A_\xi Y \rangle + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \left\langle \nabla_X \varphi, \left( A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} - \text{Id} \right) Y \right\rangle \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} 0.$$

If  $\eta(x)$  is non null and lightlike.

In this case, there exists a lightlike vector  $\zeta \in T_x^\perp M$  such that  $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle = 1$ . Thus,

$$A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id} = A_{\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \zeta} + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle A_\zeta - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id} = A_\xi + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle (A_\zeta - \text{Id}),$$

where  $\xi := \psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \zeta \perp \eta$ .

If  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp(x)$ ,

$$\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle = \langle A_\xi \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \langle (A_\zeta - \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi(x), Z \rangle.$$

By the equalities (3.10) e (3.11),

$$\langle \nabla_X \varphi, A_\xi Z \rangle = 0 = \langle \nabla_X \varphi, (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) Z \rangle.$$

Therefore  $\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi, Z \rangle = 0$ .

By the other side, if  $Y \in E_\eta(x)$ ,

$$\langle (A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X \varphi, Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \varphi, A_\xi Y \rangle + \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \langle \nabla_X \varphi, (A_\zeta - \text{Id}) Y \rangle \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} 0.$$

□

**Proposition 11.** Let  $M^m$  be a riemannian manifold,  $f: M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  an isometric immersion and  $\eta$  its non null principal normal.

1. If  $\dim E_\eta$  is constant and  $\dim E_\eta \geq 2$ , then  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connexion of  $f$  along  $E_\eta$ , ie.,  $\eta$  is a Dupin normal.
2. If  $\mathcal{D} \subset E_\eta$  is a spherical distribution in  $M$  whose leafs are open subsets of
  - (a)  $q$ -dimensional ellipsoids given by the intersection  $\mathbb{S}(c, r) \cap (c + L) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , where  $L$  is a spacelike  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional vector of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ ;
  - (b) or  $q$ -dimensional hyperboloids given by the intersection  $\mathbb{S}(c, -r) \cap (c + L) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , where  $L$  is a timelike  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional vector of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ ;
  - (c) or  $q$ -dimensional paraboloids given by  $[\mathcal{L}_* \cap (c + L)] + d \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , where  $L = \text{span}\{w\} \oplus V$  is a lightlike  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional vector of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  (with  $V$  spacelike and  $w$  lightlike),  $c \perp V$  is lightlike and  $\langle c, w \rangle \neq 0$ ;

then  $\eta$  is parallel in the normal connexion of  $f$  along  $\mathcal{D}$ .

3. If  $\eta$  Dupin normal with multiplicity  $q$ , then  $E_\eta$  is an spherical distribution in  $M^m$ .  
In this case, let  $x \in M$ ,  $N$  be a leaf of  $E_\eta$  with  $x \in N$  and  $\sigma := f_* \varphi + \eta$ , where  $\varphi$  is the mean curvature vector of  $E_\eta$ .
  - (a) If  $\sigma(x)$  is spacelike, then  $f(N)$  is an open subset of a  $q$ -dimensional ellipsoid in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  given by the intersection  $\mathbb{S}(c, r) \cap (c + L)$ , where  $L$  is a spacelike  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ .
  - (b) If  $\sigma(x)$  is timelike, then  $f(N)$  is an open subset of a  $q$ -dimensional hyperboloid in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  given by the intersection  $\mathbb{S}(c, -r) \cap (c + L)$ , where  $L$  is a timelike  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ .

(c) If  $\sigma(x)$  is lightlike and non null, then  $f(N)$  is an open subset of a  $q$ -dimensional paraboloid in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  given by  $c + \left\{ v + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}w \mid v \in V(x) \right\}$ , where  $V \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is a spacelike  $q$ -dimensional vector subspace and  $w \perp V$  is lightlike.

**Remarks 12.** Through the proof made ahead, at the items (III.1) and (III.2) of Proposition 11,

$$c = f(x) + \frac{\sigma(x)}{\|\sigma(x)\|^2}, \quad r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\|\sigma(x)\|^2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad L(x) = f_*E_\eta(x) \oplus \text{span}\{\sigma(x)\}$$

are constant in each leaf of  $E_\eta$ .

At the item (III.3), the paraboloids containing the leaves of  $E_\eta$  are given by

$$p(x) + (-\tilde{\sigma}(x) + L) \cap \mathcal{L} = p(x) - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + \left\{ v + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2}\sigma(x) \mid v \in V(x) \right\},$$

$$\xi(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^q \langle df(x)e_i, \tilde{\sigma}(x) \rangle df(x)e_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q \langle df(x)e_i, \tilde{\sigma}(x) \rangle^2 \sigma(x) + \tilde{\sigma}(x).$$

*Proof of Proposition 11.*

Let  $X^v$  and  $X^h$  be the orthogonal projections of  $X \in \Gamma(TM)$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , respectively. Likewise, let  $\nabla_X^v Y$  and  $\nabla_X^h Y$  be the orthogonal projections of  $\nabla_X Y$  on  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , respectively.

**(I):** Let  $\mathcal{D} := E_\eta$ ,  $X, Y \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$  and  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  e  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ . By Codazzi Equation for  $A_\xi$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  and using (3.1), we get

$$A_\xi \nabla_X Y + A_{\nabla_X^\perp \xi} Y = A_\xi \nabla_Y X + A_{\nabla_Y^\perp \xi} X.$$

We suppose that  $X \perp Y$  and that  $\|Y\|^2 = 1$ , since  $\dim E_\eta \geq 2$ . Thus, taking the inner product with  $Y$  of both sides of the above equation, using (3.1) and after some calculations, we can get that  $\langle \nabla_X^\perp \eta, \xi \rangle = 0$ .

Similarly, by Codazzi Equation for  $A_\zeta$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$ , and taking the inner product with  $Y$ , we can compute that  $\langle \nabla_X^\perp \eta, \zeta \rangle = 0$ .

We conclude that  $\nabla_X^\perp \eta = 0$ , cause  $\langle \nabla_X^\perp \eta, \xi \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \nabla_X^\perp \eta, \zeta \rangle = 0$ , for all  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ . •

**(II.1) and (II.2):** Lets suppose that the leafs of  $\mathcal{D}$  are open subsets of  $q$ -dimensional ellipsoids or hyperboloids given by  $\mathbb{S}(c, \varepsilon r) \cap (c + L) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , where

- a) or  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $L$  is an  $(q+1)$ -dimensional spacelike subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , if  $L$  is spacelike;
- b) or  $\varepsilon = -1$  and  $L$  is and  $(q+1)$ -dimensional timelike subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , if  $L$  is timelike.

Let  $N \subset M$  be a leaf (integral submanifold) of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Thus,  $f(N) \subset \mathbb{S}(c, \varepsilon r) \cap (c + L) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}_t^n$ ,  $r > 0$  and some  $(q+1)$ -dimensional spacelike or timelike vector subspace  $L^{q+1} \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ . Lets define the field  $\sigma: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  by  $\sigma(x) := -\varepsilon \frac{f(x) - c}{r^2}$  and let  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , in this way

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{r^4} \|f(x) - c\|^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^3 r^2}{r^4} = \frac{\varepsilon}{r^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle \sigma, f_* X \rangle = -\varepsilon \left\langle \frac{f(x) - c}{r^2}, f_* X \right\rangle = -\varepsilon r^2 \left\langle -\varepsilon \frac{f(x) - c}{r^2}, -\varepsilon \frac{f_* X}{r^2} \right\rangle = -r^2 \varepsilon \langle \sigma, \sigma_* X \rangle = 0,$$

that is,  $\sigma$  is normal to  $N$  and  $\|\sigma\|^2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{r^2}$  is constant in  $N$ .

Knowing that  $\mathcal{D} \subset E_\eta$  and that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a spherical distribution, we can get that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle (f_* \varphi + \eta).$$

By the other side,  $c + L$  is totally geodesic if  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ ,  $f(N) \subset \mathbb{S}(c, \varepsilon r) \cap (c + L) \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and  $\nabla^v$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $N$ , then

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_* \nabla_X^v Y - \langle X, Y \rangle \varepsilon \frac{f - c}{r^2} = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle \sigma$$

Comparing the last two equations, we get that  $\sigma = f_* \varphi + \eta$  and  $\eta = \sigma - f_* \varphi$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta &= \tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma - \tilde{\nabla}_X f_* \varphi = -\tilde{\nabla}_X \varepsilon \frac{f - c}{r^2} - f_* \nabla_X \varphi - \alpha(X, \varphi) = \\ &= -\frac{\varepsilon}{r^2} f_* X - f_* \nabla_X \varphi, \text{ cause } X \in \mathcal{D} \subset E_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\nabla_X^\perp \eta = 0$ . •

**(II.3):** Lets suppose that the leaves of  $\mathcal{D}$  are open subsets of  $q$ -dimensional paraboloids given by  $[\mathcal{L} \cap (L + c)] + d \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , where  $L = \text{span}\{w\} \oplus V$  is a  $(q + 1)$ -dimensional lightlike vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  (with  $V$  spacelike and  $w$  lightlike),  $c \perp V$  is lightlike and  $\langle c, w \rangle \neq 0$ .

Let  $N$  be a leaf of  $\mathcal{D}$ . But  $[\mathcal{L} \cap (L + c)] + d \subset \text{span}\{c, w\} \oplus V + d \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and  $\text{span}\{c, w\} \oplus V + d$  is totally geodesic in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , thus we can consider  $f|_N : N \rightarrow \text{span}\{c, w\} \oplus V + d$ .

But  $f - d \in \mathcal{L}$ , thus  $f - d$  is field normal to  $N$ . Let  $\{w, X_1, \dots, X_q\}$  be a basis of  $L$  such that  $\{X_1, \dots, X_q\}$  is a orthonormal basis of  $V$ . In this way,  $\text{span}\{c, w\} \oplus V = L + \text{span}\{c\} = \text{span}\{w, \tilde{w}, X_1, \dots, X_q\}$ , where  $\{w, \tilde{w}\}$  is a pseudo-orthonormal basis of  $\text{span}\{w, c\}$ . We can suppose that  $c = b\tilde{w}$ .

We will show that  $\langle f - d, \frac{w}{b} \rangle = 1$ . Indeed,  $f(x) - d \in L + c$ , thus

$$f(x) - d = a(x)w + b\tilde{w} + \sum_{i=1}^q x_i(x)X_i \Rightarrow \left\langle f - d, \frac{w}{b} \right\rangle = 1,$$

and thus  $w \perp N$ .

But  $f - d$  and  $\frac{w}{b}$  are orthogonal to  $N$  and  $f(N) \subset \text{span}\{c, w\} \oplus V + d$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{f|_N}(X, Y) &= \langle \alpha_{f|_N}(X, Y), f - d \rangle \frac{w}{b} + \left\langle \alpha_{f|_N}(X, Y), \frac{w}{b} \right\rangle (f - d) = \\ &= \langle A_{f-d} X, Y \rangle \frac{w}{b} + \left\langle A_{\frac{w}{b}} X, Y \right\rangle (f - d). \end{aligned}$$

By the other side,  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \frac{w}{b} = 0$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_X (f - d) = f_* X$ . Therefore  $\alpha_{f|_N}(X, Y) = -\langle X, Y \rangle \frac{w}{b}$ .

By the same calculations made at the cases (II.1) and (II.2), we get that  $\tilde{\nabla}_X f_* Y = f_* \nabla_X^v Y + \langle X, Y \rangle (f_* \varphi + \eta)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{w}{b} &= f_* \varphi + \eta \Rightarrow \eta = -\frac{w}{b} - f_* \varphi \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\nabla}_X \eta &= -\tilde{\nabla}_X (f_* \varphi) = -f_* \nabla_X \varphi - \langle X, \varphi \rangle \eta = -f_* \nabla_X \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\nabla_X^\perp \eta = 0$ , for all  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ . •

**(III):** If  $\mathcal{D} := E_\eta$ , then, by Lemma 9, the equations (3.1) to (3.4) hold.

**Affirmation 1:** If  $X, Y \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$  and  $X \perp Y$ , then  $\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$ .

If  $Z \in \Gamma(E_\eta^\perp)$ ,  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ ,  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ , then

$$(\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \nabla_X Y \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \frac{\langle X, Y \rangle}{2} \nabla \|\eta\|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \|\eta\|^2 \nabla_X Y = A_\eta \nabla_X Y; \quad (3.14)$$

$$\langle A_\xi \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \langle X, Y \rangle \langle \nabla_Z \xi, \eta \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow A_\xi \nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(E_\eta);$$

$$\langle (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} -\langle X, Y \rangle \langle \nabla_Z^\perp \zeta, \eta \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(E_\eta).$$

By the other side, if  $W \in E_\eta$ , then

$$\begin{cases} \langle A_\xi \nabla_X Y, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, A_\xi W \rangle \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} 0; \\ \langle (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y, W \rangle = \langle \nabla_X Y, (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) W \rangle \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$A_\xi \nabla_X Y = 0 \quad \text{e} \quad (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $\xi, \zeta \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$  such that  $\xi \perp \eta$  and  $\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle = 1$ .

Let  $x \in M$  be a point and  $\psi \in T_x^\perp M$  be a normal vector. If  $\eta(x)$  is timelike or spacelike, then  $\|\eta(x)\|^2 \neq 0$ , thus

$$(A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X Y = A_{\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} \nabla_X Y - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \left( \text{Id} - A_{\frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2}} \right) \nabla_X Y \stackrel{(3.14), (3.15)}{=} 0.$$

If  $\eta(x)$  is lightlike, then there exists a lightlike vector  $\zeta \in T_x^\perp M$  such that  $\langle \eta(x), \zeta \rangle = 1$ . In this case,

$$(A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X Y = A_{\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \zeta} \nabla_X Y - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle (\text{Id} - A_\zeta) \nabla_X Y \stackrel{(3.14), (3.15)}{=} 0.$$

But  $(A_\psi - \langle \psi, \eta \rangle \text{Id}) \nabla_X Y = 0$ , for all  $\psi$ , is equivalent to  $\nabla_X Y \in E_\eta$ . ✓

**Affirmation 2:**  $E_\eta$  is umbilical.

We have to show that there exists  $\varphi \in \Gamma(E_\eta^\perp)$  such that  $\nabla_X^h Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \varphi$ , for any pair of vector fields  $X, Y \in \gamma(E_\eta)$ . But the application  $(X, Y) \mapsto \nabla_X^h Y$  is bilinear in  $E_\eta$  because, for any  $Z \in \Gamma(E_\eta^\perp)$ ,  $\langle \nabla_X^h Y, Z \rangle = -\langle Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle$ . Besides that, Affirmation 1 stands that  $X \perp Y \Rightarrow \nabla_X^h Y = 0$ . Then, a known Lemma stands that there exists  $\varphi$  such that  $\nabla_X^h Y = \langle X, Y \rangle \varphi$  (see, for example, Lemma A.9 in [9]).

If we take a unit differentiable vector field  $X \in E_\eta$ , then  $\varphi = \nabla_X^h X$ . Therefore  $\varphi$  is differentiable. ✓

**Affirmation 3:**  $E_\eta$  is spherical and the equations from Lemma 9 hold.

Just see Corollary 10. ✓

Let  $N \subset M$  be a leaf of  $E_\eta$  passing through  $x$ . Equation (3.5) stands that  $f|_N: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is an umbilical isometric immersion and that  $\sigma$  is its mean curvature vector. Therefore, knowing the classifications of umbilical immersions in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , we have that Remarks 12 hold and that

- or  $f(N) \subset \mathbb{S} \left( c(x); \frac{1}{\|\sigma(x)\|} \right) \cap (c(x) + L(x))$ , if  $\sigma(x)$  is spacelike;

- or  $f(N) \subset \mathbb{S} \left( c(x); -\frac{1}{\|\sigma(x)\|} \right) \cap (c(x) + L(x))$ , if  $\sigma(x)$  is timelike;
- or  $f(N) \subset p(x) + (-\tilde{\sigma}(x) + L(x)) \cap \mathcal{L} = p(x) - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + \left\{ v + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} \sigma(x) : v \in V(x) \right\}$ , if  $\sigma(x)$  is lightlike.

For more details about umbilical immersions of a riemannian manifold in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ , see Chapter 1 of [9].  $\square$

The following definition was given at [5]

**Definition 13.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an umbilical distribution in an riemannian manifold  $M$ . The *splitting tensor*  $C$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is given by  $C_X Z := -\nabla_Z^h X$ , for all  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$  and all  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ .

**Remarks 14.** Given an orthonormal frame  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ , it follows that

$$C_X Z = -\nabla_Z^h X = -\sum_{i=1}^k \left\langle \nabla_Z^h X, w_i \right\rangle w_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X, \nabla_Z w_i \rangle w_i.$$

Therefore  $C_{f \cdot X} g \cdot Z = f \cdot g \cdot C_X Z$ , for any pair of differentiable applications  $f, g: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , every  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$  and every  $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^\perp)$ . Therefore  $C$  is a tensor.

**Lemma 15.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an umbilical distribution in  $M$  and  $\varphi$  its mean curvature vector. If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $W, Z \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$ , then:

$$\left( \nabla_X^h C_Y \right) W = C_Y C_X W + C_{\nabla_X^h Y} W - \mathcal{R}^h(X, W)Y + \langle X, Y \rangle \left( \langle W, \varphi \rangle - \nabla_W^h \varphi \right), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\left( \nabla_W^h C_X \right) Z - \left( \nabla_Z^h C_X \right) W = C_{\nabla_W^h X} Z - C_{\nabla_Z^h X} W - \mathcal{R}^h(W, Z)X - \langle [W, Z], X \rangle \varphi, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}^h(X, W)Y$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathcal{R}(X, W)Y$  on  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$ .

Se  $\mathcal{D} \subset E_\eta$ , then

$$\left( \nabla_X^h C_Y \right) W = C_Y C_X W + C_{\nabla_X^h Y} W + \langle X, Y \rangle \left( A_\eta W + \langle W, \varphi \rangle \varphi - \nabla_W^h \varphi \right), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\left( \nabla_W^h C_X \right) Z - \left( \nabla_Z^h C_X \right) W = C_{\nabla_W^h X} Z - C_{\nabla_Z^h X} W - \langle [W, Z], X \rangle \varphi. \quad (3.19)$$

If  $\eta$  is a principal normal of  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}N$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \subset E_\eta$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  is a totally geodesic distribution, then

$$\nabla_W^h \varphi = A_\eta W + \langle W, \varphi \rangle \varphi. \quad (3.20)$$

*Proof.* See Lemma 9 of [5], where it was first proved, or Lemma 2.15 of [9].  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.

*Poof of Theorem 1.*

Taking  $\mathcal{D}(x) = E_\eta(x)$ , the items (I) of Lemma 9 and (III) of Proposition 11 stands that  $\nabla(\|\eta\|^2) \in E_\eta^\perp$  and that  $E_\eta$  is an spherical distribution. Let  $\varphi$  be the mean curvature vector of  $E_\eta$  and  $\sigma := f_* \varphi + \eta$ .

We will prove the following equation:

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma = \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \sigma, \quad \forall Z \in E_\eta^\perp. \quad (3.21)$$

By Lemmas 9 and 15, we have that

$$\left\langle \nabla_Z^\perp \eta, \xi \right\rangle = -\langle \alpha(Z, \varphi), \xi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_Z^h \varphi = A_\eta Z + \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \varphi,$$

for all  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp$  and all  $\xi \perp \eta$ .

By (3.6),  $(\|\eta\|^2 \text{Id} - A_\eta) \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \|\eta\|^2$ , thus

$$\|\eta\|^2 \langle \varphi, Z \rangle - \langle A_\eta Z, \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} Z(\|\eta\|^2), \quad \forall Z \in E_\eta^\perp. \quad (3.22)$$

In this way, using that  $E_\eta^\perp$  is totally geodesic, we can compute

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma = \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \alpha(\varphi, Z) + \nabla_Z^\perp \eta.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma, \xi \rangle = \langle \alpha(\varphi, Z), \xi \rangle + \left\langle \nabla_Z^\perp \eta, \xi \right\rangle = 0, \quad \forall \xi \perp \eta \text{ in } T_x^\perp M.$$

If  $\eta$  is spacelike or timelike (at some point), then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma &= \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \left\langle \alpha(Z, \varphi) + \nabla_Z^\perp \eta, \eta \right\rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2} = \\ &= \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \left[ \langle A_\eta Z, \varphi \rangle + \frac{1}{2} Z(\|\eta\|^2) \right] \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2} = \\ &\stackrel{(3.22)}{=} \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \|\eta\|^2 \langle \varphi, Z \rangle \frac{\eta}{\|\eta\|^2} = \langle Z, \varphi \rangle (f_* \varphi + \eta) = \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Lets suppose that  $\eta$  is lightlike at  $x \in M$ . In this case, there exists a lightlike vector  $\zeta \in T_x^\perp M$  such that  $\langle \eta(x), \zeta \rangle = 1$ . Thus, at  $x$ , the following equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma &= \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \left\langle \alpha(\varphi, Z) + \nabla_Z^\perp \eta, \zeta \right\rangle \eta = \\ &= \langle Z, \varphi \rangle f_* \varphi + \left[ \langle A_\zeta \varphi, Z \rangle - \langle \eta, \nabla_Z^\perp \zeta \rangle \right] \eta = \\ &\stackrel{(3.8)}{=} \langle Z, \varphi \rangle [f_* \varphi + \eta] = \langle \varphi, Z \rangle \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore equation (3.21) holds.

**Affirmation 1:**  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z f_* X = f_* \nabla_Z^v X$ , for all  $X \in E_\eta$  and all  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp$ .

If  $X \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$  and  $Z, W \in \Gamma(E_\eta^\perp)$ , then  $\langle \nabla_Z X, W \rangle = -\langle X, \nabla_Z W \rangle = -\langle X, \nabla_Z^v W \rangle = 0$ , since  $E_\eta^\perp$  is totally geodesic. Thus,  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z f_* X = f_* \nabla_Z X + \alpha(Z, X) = f_* \nabla_Z^v X$ .  $\checkmark$

**Affirmation 2:** The distribution  $L := f_* E_\eta \oplus [\sigma]$  is parallel in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  along  $M$ , that is,  $L = f_* E_\eta \oplus [\sigma]$  is a constant vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ .

Indeed, if  $X \in E_\eta$  and  $f_* Y + \beta \sigma \in f_* E_\eta \oplus [\sigma]$ , then, using that  $E_\eta$  is spherical and after some computations, we obtain

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X (f_* Y + \beta \sigma) = f_* [\nabla_X^v Y - \beta (\|\varphi\|^2 + \|\eta\|^2) X] + [\langle X, Y \rangle + X(\beta)] \sigma$$

By the other side, using (3.21) and Affirmation 1, we get that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Z (f_* Y + \beta \sigma) = f_* \nabla_Z^v Y + [Z(\beta) + \beta \langle Z, \varphi \rangle] \sigma.$$

Therefore  $L$  is parallel in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  along  $M$ . ✓

We know that  $L$  is constant and  $f_*E_\eta$  is spacelike, thus  $L$  and  $\sigma$  are spacelike at all points of  $M$ , or  $L$  and  $\sigma$  are timelike at all points of  $M$ , or  $L$  and  $\sigma$  are lightlike at all points of  $M$ .

Case 1: Lets suppose that  $\sigma$  is spacelike.

In this case, using item (III.1) of Proposition 11 and Remarks 12, it follows that the leaves of  $E_\eta$  are  $q$ -dimensional ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$  given by the intersection  $\mathbb{S}\left(c(x); \frac{1}{\|\sigma(x)\|}\right) \cap (c(x) + L)$ , where  $\|\sigma(x)\|^2$  e  $c(x) = f(x) + \frac{\sigma(x)}{\|\sigma(x)\|^2}$  are constant in each leaf of  $E_\eta$ .

We stand that  $c_*TM \perp L$ . Indeed,  $c$  is constant in the leaves of  $E_\eta$ , thus  $c_*X = 0$ , for all  $X \in E_\eta$ . If  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp$ , then, using (3.21), we get that

$$c_*Z = f_*Z - \frac{\langle Z, \varphi \rangle}{\|\sigma\|^2} \sigma.$$

Thus,  $\langle c_*Z, f_*X \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle c_*Z, \sigma \rangle = \langle f_*Z, \sigma \rangle - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle = \langle Z, \varphi \rangle - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle = 0$ . Therefore  $c_*TM \perp L$ .

Lets consider the manifold  $N^{m-q} := M/\sim$ , where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation given by

$$x \sim y \equiv x \text{ and } y \text{ are at the same leaf of distribution } E_\eta.$$

We know that  $c(x) = f(x) + \frac{\sigma(x)}{\|\sigma\|^2}$  and  $\|\sigma(x)\|^2$  are constant in each leaf of  $E_\eta$ , thus we can define the applications  $\bar{c}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  and  $r: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\bar{c}(\bar{x}) := c(x)$  e  $r(\bar{x}) := \frac{1}{\|\sigma(x)\|}$ , where  $\bar{x}$  is the equivalence class of  $x$ .

Let  $\Pi: \mathbb{R}_t^n \rightarrow L$  be the orthogonal projection. Thus,  $\Pi \circ c$  and  $\Pi \circ \bar{c}$  are constant in  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, cause  $c_*TM \perp L$ . In this way,

$$f(x) = c(x) - \frac{\sigma(x)}{\|\sigma\|^2} = p + h(\bar{x}) - r(\bar{x}) \frac{\sigma(x)}{\|\sigma(x)\|},$$

where  $p := \Pi(c(x))$  and  $h(\bar{x})$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\bar{c}(\bar{x})$  on  $L^\perp$ .

Therefore  $f(M)$  is an open subset of the rotational submanifold with axis  $L^\perp$  on the immersion  $\bar{f}: N \rightarrow L^\perp \oplus \text{span}\{\xi\}$ , where  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}) := \bar{h}(\bar{x}) + \bar{r}(\bar{x})\xi$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, 1) \subset L$  is a fixed vector. It's rotational parametrization  $g: N \times \mathbb{S}(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is given by  $g(\bar{x}, y) := p + h(\bar{x}) + r(\bar{x})y$ . •

Case 2: Lets suppose that  $\sigma$  is timelike.

This case is analogous to the first case. We can prove that  $f(M)$  is an open subset of the rotational submanifold with axis  $L^\perp$  on the immersion  $\bar{f}: N \rightarrow L^\perp \oplus \text{span}\{\xi\}$ , where  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}) := \bar{h}(\bar{x}) + \bar{r}(\bar{x})\xi$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}(0, -1) \subset L$  is a fixed vector,  $N := M/\sim$  and  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation given at Case 1. The rotational parametrization is  $g: N \times \mathbb{S}(0, -1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$ , given by  $g(\bar{x}, y) := p + h(\bar{x}) + r(\bar{x})y$ ,  $\mathbb{S}(0, -1) \subset L$ . •

Case 3: Lets suppose that  $\sigma$  is lightlike.

In this case,  $L = E_\eta \oplus \text{span}\{\sigma\}$  is a lightlike subspace subspace of  $\mathbb{R}_t^n$ .

Affirmation 4: If  $x_0 \in M$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma(x_0)$ , then  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{r(x)}\sigma_0$ , for some differentiable function  $r: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $x_0 \in M$  and  $\{X_1, \dots, X_q\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $E_\eta(x_0)$ , then  $L = \text{span}\{X_1, \dots, X_q, \sigma(x_0)\}$ , cause  $L$  is constant. Thus,  $\sigma(x) = a_1(x)X_1 + \dots + a_m(x)X_m + \frac{1}{r(x)}\sigma_0$  and  $0 = \|\sigma(x)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2(x)$ . It follows that  $a_1(x) = \dots = a_m(x) = 0$  and  $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{r(x)}\sigma_0$ .

Let  $V \subset L$  be a spacelike vector subspace and  $\tilde{\sigma}_0$  be a lightlike vector such that  $\tilde{\sigma}_0 \perp V$  and  $\langle \sigma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0 \rangle = 1$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{r(x)} = \langle \sigma(x), \tilde{\sigma}_0 \rangle$  is differentiable.  $\checkmark$

Lets define  $\tilde{\sigma}(x) := r(x)\tilde{\sigma}_0$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a lightlike differentiable field such that  $\tilde{\sigma} \perp V$  and  $\langle \sigma, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle = 1$ . Besides that,  $\mathbb{R}_t^n = \text{span}\{\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}\} \oplus U \oplus V = \text{span}\{\sigma_0, \tilde{\sigma}_0\} \oplus U \oplus V$ , where  $U = (\text{span}\{\sigma, \tilde{\sigma}\} \oplus V)^\perp$  is a nondegenerated vector subspace of  $L^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}_t^n$ .

Lets consider

$$\xi(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^q \langle v_i(x), \tilde{\sigma}(x) \rangle v_i(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^q \langle v_i(x), \tilde{\sigma}(x) \rangle^2 \sigma(x) + \tilde{\sigma}(x),$$

where  $v_i(x) = f_*e_i(x) \in \{e_1(x), \dots, e_q(x)\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $E_\eta(x)$ . It can be shown that  $\xi$  is a lightlike differentiable field such that,  $\xi \perp E_\eta$ ,  $\xi \in L \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}\} = L \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}_0\}$  and  $\langle \xi, \sigma \rangle = 1$  (see the arguments at Lemma 1.2 of [9]).

By item (III.3) of Proposition 11 and by Remarks 12,

$$f(x) \in p(x) + (-\tilde{\sigma}(x) + L) \cap \mathcal{L} = p(x) - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + \left\{ v + \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} \sigma(x) \mid v \in V \right\},$$

where  $p(x) = f(x) + \xi(x)$  is constant in each leaf of  $E_\eta$ .

Let  $P: \mathbb{R}_t^n \rightarrow V$  be the orthogonal projection and  $v(x) = P(f(x) - p(x))$ . Thus,  $f(x) - p(x) \in \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}, \sigma\} \oplus V$  and

$$f(x) = p(x) - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + v(x) + \frac{\|v(x)\|^2}{2} \sigma(x) = p(x) + r(x) \left( -\tilde{\sigma}_0 + w(x) + \frac{\|w(x)\|^2}{2} \sigma_0 \right),$$

where  $w(x) := \frac{v(x)}{r(x)}$ .

**Affirmation 5:**  $\{v_*e_1, \dots, v_*e_q\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

If  $X \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$ , then, using that  $E_\eta$  is spherical and  $\eta$  is a Dupin normal, we can get that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \sigma = -\|\sigma\|^2 f_* X = 0.$$

Thus,  $\sigma$ ,  $\tilde{\sigma}$  and  $r$  are constant in the leafs of  $E_\eta$ . But  $p$  is also constant in the leafs of  $E_\eta$ , therefore  $f_*e_i = v_*e_i + \langle v, v_*e_i \rangle \sigma$  and  $\langle v_*e_i, v_*e_j \rangle = \langle f_*e_i, f_*e_j \rangle$  and  $\{v_*e_1, \dots, v_*e_q\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .  $\checkmark$

**Affirmation 6:**  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z \tilde{\sigma} = -\langle Z, \varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma}$ , for all  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp$ .

By (3.21),  $\langle Z, \varphi \rangle \sigma = \tilde{\nabla}_Z \sigma = \tilde{\nabla}_Z \frac{\sigma_0}{r} = -\frac{Z(r)}{r^2} \sigma_0 = -\frac{Z(r)}{r} \sigma$ . Thus,  $\varphi = -\frac{\nabla r}{r}$  and  $\nabla r = -r\varphi$ .

Therefore,  $\tilde{\nabla}_Z \tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{\nabla}_{Zr} \tilde{\sigma}_0 = Z(r) \tilde{\sigma}_0 = \langle Z, \nabla r \rangle \tilde{\sigma}_0 = \langle Z, -r\varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma}_0 = -\langle Z, \varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma}$ .  $\checkmark$

We know that  $V \subset L$  is a fixed subspace, thus  $V \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}_0\} = V \oplus \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}\}$  is also a constant subspace. If  $\Pi: (\text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}\} \oplus V) \oplus (\text{span}\{\sigma\} \oplus U) \rightarrow \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}\} \oplus V$  is the projection, then  $d(\Pi \circ p)(x)X = 0$ , for any  $X \in E_\eta$ , because  $p$  is constant in the leafs of  $E_\eta$ .

If  $Z \in E_\eta^\perp$ , then

$$d(\Pi \circ p)(x)Z = \Pi(\tilde{\nabla}_Z p(x)) = \Pi[\tilde{\nabla}_Z(f + \xi)(x)].$$

But, using Affirmation 2 and after some computations, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Z(f + \xi) = f_*Z - \sum_{i=1}^q [(\langle f_*\nabla_Z^v e_i, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \langle f_*e_i, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle) f_*e_i + \langle f_*e_i, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle f_*\nabla_Z^v e_i] + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^q \langle f_*e_i, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle \langle f_*\nabla_Z^v e_i, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle \sigma - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

By the other side, if  $X \in \Gamma(E_\eta)$ , then  $f_*X = v_*X + \langle v, v_*X \rangle \sigma \in \langle f_*X, \tilde{\sigma} \rangle = \langle v, v_*X \rangle$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_Z(f + \xi) = f_*Z - \sum_{i=1}^q [(\langle v, v_*\nabla_Z^v e_i \rangle - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \langle v, v_*e_i \rangle) f_*e_i + \langle v, v_*e_i \rangle f_*\nabla_Z^v e_i] + \\ + \sum_{i=1}^q \langle v, v_*e_i \rangle \langle v, v_*\nabla_Z^v e_i \rangle \sigma - \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma}. \quad (3.23) \end{aligned}$$

Besides that, we can easily compute that

$$\Pi(\tilde{\sigma}) = \tilde{\sigma}; \quad \Pi(\sigma) = 0; \quad \Pi(f_*X) = v_*X; \quad \Pi(f_*Z) = -\langle Z, \varphi \rangle v + \langle Z, \varphi \rangle \tilde{\sigma};$$

Therefore, after some calculations, we conclude that  $\Pi[\tilde{\nabla}_Z(f + \xi)(x)] = 0$ , that is,  $q = \Pi(p(x))$  is constant.

Let  $N := M / \sim$ , where  $\sim$  is the equivalence relation of Case 1, and  $\pi: \mathbb{R}_t^n \rightarrow \text{span}\{\sigma\} \oplus U$  is given by  $\pi := \text{Id} - \Pi$ . Thus,

$$f(x) = q + \pi(p(x)) - \tilde{\sigma}(x) + v(x) + \frac{\|v(x)\|^2}{2} \sigma(x) = q + h(\bar{x}) + \bar{r}(\bar{x}) \left( -\tilde{\sigma}_0 + w(x) + \frac{\|w(x)\|^2}{2} \sigma_0 \right),$$

where  $h: N \rightarrow \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}_0\} \oplus U$  and  $r: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given by  $h(\bar{x}) = \pi(q(x))$  and  $\bar{r}(\bar{x}) = r(x)$ .

Therefore,  $f(M)$  is an open subset of the rotational submanifold with axis  $\text{span}\{\sigma_0\} \oplus U$  on  $\bar{f}: N \rightarrow \text{span}\{\tilde{\sigma}_0, \sigma_0\} \oplus U$ , where  $\bar{f}(\bar{x}) := h(\bar{x}) - \bar{r}(\bar{x})\tilde{\sigma}_0$ . The rotational parametrization  $g: N \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_t^n$  is given by

$$g(\bar{x}, w) := q + h(\bar{x}) + \bar{r}(\bar{x}) \left( -\tilde{\sigma}_0 + w + \frac{\|w\|^2}{2} \sigma_0 \right) \cdot \bullet$$

□

## References

- [1] M. do Carmo and M. Dajczer, *Rotation hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **277** (1983)
- [2] B. Mendonça and R. Tojeiro, *Umbilical Submanifolds of  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* (2013)
- [3] G. Ganchev and V. Milousheva, *Quasi-Minimal Rotational Surfaces in Pseudo-Euclidean Four-Dimensional Space*, arXiv:1210.2741 [math.DG]
- [4] B.-Y. Chen, *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry,  $\delta$ -invariants and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing, 2011

- [5] M. Dajczer, L. A. Florit and R. Tojeiro, *On a class of submanifolds carrying an extrinsic totally umbilical foliation*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **125** (2001)
- [6] F. Dillen, J. Fastenakels and J. Van der Veken, *Rotation hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , Note di Matematica **29** (2009)
- [7] Z. Guo and L. Lin, *Generalized Rotation Submanifolds in a Space Form*, Results in Mathematics **52** (2008)
- [8] S. Nölker, *Isometric immersions of warped products*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **6** (1996)
- [9] B. Mendonça, *Imersões Isométricas em Produtos de duas Formas Espaciais*, Phd. thesis, Universidade Federal de São Carlos, 2012

POS (ICMP 2013) 005