

Effects of lattice defects in graphene on the scattering of Charge Carriers

Jakson Fonseca*

Universidade Federal de Viçosa
E-mail: jakson.fonseca@ufv.br

W. A. Moura-Melo

Universidade Federal de Viçosa E-mail: winder@ufv.br

A. R. Pereira

Universidade Federal de Viçosa E-mail: apereira@ufv.br

We study the scattering of graphene quasiparticles by topological defects, represented by holes, pentagons and heptagons. For the case of holes, we obtain the phase shift and found that at low concentration they appear to be irrelevant for the electron transport, giving a negligible contribution to the resistivity. Whenever pentagons are introduced into the lattice and the fermionic current is constrained to move near one of them we realize that such a current is scattered with an angle that depends only on the number of pentagons and on the side the current taken. Such a deviation may be determined by means of a Young-type experiment, through the interference pattern between the two current branches scattered by a pentagon. In the case of a heptagon such a current is also scattered but it diverges from the defect, preventing a interference between two beams of current for the same heptagon.

4th International Conference on Fundamental Interactions August 1-7, 2010 Viçosa, Brazil

*Speaker.

1. Introduction and Motivation

Condensed matter physics is a branch of physics which studies systems of many particles in the condensed, i.e. solid or liquids states. The Schrödinger equation is the start point of current condensed matter theory, however, in practice an generic interacting many-body system is an extremely complex system and it may not always be helpful for obtaining physical properties of an interacting many body system. The collective excitations of a many body system are collective motions of the atoms and can be viewed as particles, however, the properties of those particles can be very different from the properties of the particles that form the many body system.

An example of this collective behavior is the graphene a flat monolayer of carbon atoms tightly packed into a two-dimensional (2D) honeycomb lattice, consisting of two interpenetrating triangular sublattices [1]. It is the first example of a truly atomic two-dimensional (2D) crystalline system and it is the basic building blocks for graphitic materials such as fullerenes (graphene balled into a sphere) or carbon nanotubes (graphene rolled-up in cylinders) [2, 3, 4]. Experimental techniques provide high-quality graphene crystallites. up to $100\mu m$ in size, which is sufficient for most research purpose, including the ones considered here. In this "perfect" layer the charge carries can travel thousands of interatomic distances without scattering.

Graphene provides an bridge between condensed matter physics and quantum electrodynamics because the colletive excitations are described by Dirac equation for massless particles in (2+1)D, it is a zero-gap semiconductor, in which the low energy spectrum is correctly described by the (2+1)D Dirac-like equation for a massless particle [5]

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi\rangle = v_F \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} |\Psi\rangle,$$
 (1.1)

where v_F is the Fermi velocity, which plays the role of the speed of light ($v_F \approx c/300$), $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_r, \sigma_v)$ are the 2D Pauli matrices, $\vec{p} = -i\hbar \vec{\nabla}$ is the linear momentum operator and $|\Psi\rangle$ is a two-component spinor. Therefore, the quasiparticles can be viewed as electrons that have lost their masses or as (massless) neutrinos that acquired the electronic charge. Such a spectrum makes graphene a material with unique electronic properties. Its description by means of the Dirac equation is a direct consequence of graphene's crystal symmetry. Its honeycomb lattice is made up of two equivalent triangular carbon sublattices A and B, whereas its cosine-like energy bands associated with the sublattices intersect at zero energy (E=0) near the edges of the Brillouin zone, giving rise to a conical section spectrum at low energies [2], say, |E| < 1 eV. In this honeycomb lattice, the two-component spinor $|\Psi\rangle$ is referred to as pseudospin since it is an index indicating two interpenetrating triangular sublattices A e B, which is similar to spin index (up and down) in quantum electrodynamics (QED). It is common to regard the sublattice degree of freedom as a pseudospin, with the A sublattice being the "up", $|+\rangle$ and B sublattice being the "down", $|-\rangle$. Since $v_F \ll c$, it is a slow relativistic system or a strong coupling version of QED since the graphene's dimensionless coupling constant, $e^2/\hbar v_F \approx 1$ much higher than its QED analogue, the fine structure constant $e^2/\hbar c \approx 1/137$. All these properties make the graphene a very interesting system, which provides a way to probe QED phenomena, for instance, by measuring its electronic properties. Several proposals for testing some predicted, but not yet observed phenomena in QED, including the Klein paradox [5, 6], vacuum polarization [7] and atomic collapse [8], are some topics under investigation in graphene.

Here, we would like to study the behavior of graphene quasiparticles in the presence of defects in the crystalline structure of the material. We shall consider three types of defects: holes, pentagons and heptagons [9]. All these defects can be incorporated by removing or inserting a few carbon atoms. The presence of defects like pentagons (heptagons) induces positive (negative) curvature in the material. At some extent the charge carriers motion in the presence of pentagonal (heptagonal) defects is identical to fermions moving in a (2+1)D gravitational space-time generated by positive ("negative") point-like masses. Then we may employ results from gravity to analyze some effects concerning charge carriers in the presence of such defects. Understanding how these defects modify the transport properties of graphene is crucial to achieve future electronic devices using carbon-made materials.

2. Scattering of graphene quasiparticles by holes

In the continuum model for the graphene, it is assumed that there is a hole of radius r_0 cut from the system center, located at the origin. Thus the motion of the quasiparticles is performed on a flat 2D support given by a non-simply connected manifold, which can be viewed as defect in the material. This model allows for the investigation of scattering effects as a function of the hole radius and could shed some light on the high charge carrier mobilities, fact observed in graphene [10].

To determine the phase-shift of the scattered wave function as well as the scattering cross section one has to solve the two-dimensional Dirac equation (1.1) which, for the case of massless particles, can be writing in a covariant form, $i\hbar\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi(x)=0$, where the covariant derivative is $\partial_{\mu}=[(1/v_F)\partial/\partial t\,,\,\partial/\partial x\,,\,\partial/\partial y]$, the γ -matrices are $\gamma^0=\sigma^3\,$, $\gamma^1=i\sigma^2$ and $\gamma^3=-i\sigma^1$, obeying $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}=\eta^{\mu\nu}-i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}\gamma_{\alpha}\,$, $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski tensor metric, $\mathrm{diag}(\eta^{\mu\nu})=(+1,-1,-1)$ and $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha}$ is the 3-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol ($\varepsilon^{012}\equiv+1$). (The word covariant must be used carefully because v_F is not invariant, being only a parameter and the term covariant is used to refer only the form the equation is written.) We may expand the solutions of the free massless Dirac equation in plane waves, once rotational invariance allows to separate the θ variable, so that the diagonalized angular momentum $\mathscr{J}=-i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma^3$, yielding partial waves with angular momentum $(n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar$, takes the form, $\psi(\vec{r},t)=e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^3)\theta}u_n(r)e^{-iEt/\hbar}$.

The components of the radial spinor $u_n(r)$, given by $f_n(r)$ and $g_n(r)$, satisfy the Bessel equation of order n and n+1. The solution for the radial spinor outside of the hole (i.e., for $r > r_0$) is given by:

$$u_n(r) = \begin{pmatrix} f_n(r) \\ g_n(r) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1n}J_n(kr) + B_{2n}N_n(kr) \\ B_{3n}J_{n+1}(kr) + B_{4n}N_{n+1}(kr) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where J_n and N_n are the Bessel functions of first and second kinds (Neumann function), respectively, $n=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots$ is the angular-momentum number and $k=\frac{E}{\hbar v_F}>0$ (we are considering only solutions with E>0 that describe the electronic dynamics) and B_{jn} (j=1,2,3,4) are constants. These constants must be determined by the appropriate boundary conditions specified to completely define the problem. From the physical point of view, the correct boundary condition is determined by the requirement of vanishing the net energy flux into the hole, which is a region absent of lattice degrees of freedom. Consequently, the fields must arrange themselves in such a

way that the energy flux from the incoming modes (asymptotically behaving like e^{-ikr}) exactly cancel that from the outgoing waves. Imposing Neumann boundary condition (NBC) on the wavefunctions, $\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial r}\Big|_{r=r_0} = 0$, we obtain:

$$u_n(r) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{1n} [J_n(kr) - \tan(t_n(kr_0)) N_n(kr)] \\ B_{3n} [J_{n+1}(kr) - \tan(t_{n+1}(kr_0)) N_{n+1}(kr)] \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.2)

where $tan[t_n(kr_0)] = \frac{J'_n(kr_0)}{N'_n(kr_0)}$. The terms proportional to Bessel (Neumann) functions describe the incident (scattered) waves. Comparing their asymptotic behavior with those for plane waves, giving us the phase-shift δ_n of the n-th partial wave that completely determines the fermionic scattering:

$$\delta_n^A = t_n(kr_0), \qquad \delta_n^B = t_{n+1}(kr_0).$$
 (2.3)

Now, if we consider a small concentration of point-like defects with concentration n_{def} angle-depedent scattering cross section, $\sigma(\theta)$, their contribution to resistivity, ρ , may be estimated as $\rho \simeq n_{\text{def}} \frac{h}{e^2 k k_F} (k r_0)^6$ [9]. This means that the scattering induced by small holes (with radius around a few angstroms; some lattice spacings) at low concentration are irrelevant for the electronic transport in graphene, giving a negligible contribution to the resistivity. For the case of a potential $V(r) = V_0$ at $r < R_0$ and V(r) = 0 at $r > R_0$, the estimation for this type of impurity contribution to the resistivity is [6] $\rho \simeq (h/4e^2)n_{\text{def}}R_0^2$, giving a negligible contribution to the resistivity when the radius of the potential R_0 is of the order of interatomic distances and at a low concentration as above.

3. Pentagonal and heptagonal defects in graphene lattice

Exploring the 2D character and flexibility of this material, our idea is to propose a system which one or more sectors are excised from a graphene and the remainder is joined seamlessly. Removing a wedge from the graphene and identifying the edges a cone results [9]. In fact, the missed link of each carbon atom resting at the two edges of the remaining graphene sheet can be, in principle, covalently bounded. Particularly, considering the symmetry of a graphitic sheet and the Euler theorem, it can be shown that only five types of cones (incorporating one to five pentagons) can be made of a continuous graphene sheet [11, 12]. The motion of the charge carriers in an ideal conical graphene is equivalent to that of a massless Dirac particle in a gravitational field of a static particle of mass M in a (2+1)D space-time.

In the case of a cone with $n_d > 0$, (the value n_d , $(n_d = 1, ..., 5)$ is related to the conical angle γ , $\sin \frac{\gamma}{2} = 1 - \frac{n_d}{6}$) the deficit-angle induced by the conical singularity is given by $2\pi(1 - n_d/6)$. The pentagonal defect can be presented as a pseudo-magnetic vortex at the apex of a graphitic cone, being the flux of the vortex related to the deficit angle of the cone (see Ref. [13]). Cones with a heptagon have negative curvature and are obtained by a insertion of a angular sector in the carbon sheet. Then if $n_d < 0$, $-n_d$ counts the number of such sectors inserted into the graphene sheet. Our aim is, therefore, to see the influences that such a special graphene structure could induce on quasiparticle wavefunctions (spinors); surely, these influences may create new perspectives in the electronic transport properties, which are determined by the quasiparticles constrained to move on the conical surface.

To study the scattering of the carriers in graphene by topological defects we employ the analogy between defects in condensed matter physics and in (2+1)-dimensional gravity [14] as far as possible. For example, the dynamics of charge carriers in an ideal conical graphene is equivalent to that of a massless Dirac particle in a gravitational field of a static point-like mass in a (2+1)D space-time[15, 16]. Specifically, we shall consider one of the simplest curved manifold, which is associated to the Schwarzschild solution in (2+1) dimensions: a space-time locally flat with global nontrivial properties. To describe this space-time we may use embedded coordinates r and θ in the three-dimensional Euclidian space which extend over the complete range, $0 \le r \le \infty$, $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$, and describe a cone with the constraint $z = \sqrt{(\alpha^{-2} - 1)(x^2 + y^2)}$, being the line element given by $ds^2 = dt^2 - \alpha^{-2}dr^2 - r^2d\theta^2$ where $\alpha = 1 - 4GM$ [16]. The attributes of the source are coded in the global properties of the locally flat variables. All the information lies in the non-trivial boundary conditions, which is important for the quantum scattering of graphene charge carriers by defects, like pentagons and heptagons.

In the case of a topological defect in graphene, it is useful to change the gravitational term 4GM by the symbol β , so that $2\pi\beta$ (for $0<\beta<1$) gives the deficit of angle measuring the magnitude of the removed sector whereas $-2\pi\beta$ (for $-\infty<\beta<0$) accounts for the angle in excess associated to the insertion of a sector. The parameter β takes only discrete values because of the lattice symmetry of the graphene.

Before analyzing the quantum mechanical scattering by conical defects (pentagons and heptagons) let us make a digression concerning scattering of the charge carriers in graphene as classical relativistic particles. The classical equation of motion, determined by relativistic geodesic equation for the particles in a cone reads $\ddot{x}^{\mu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^{\alpha} \dot{x}^{\beta} = 0$, where the overdot indicates differentiation with respect to any convenient affine variable τ that parametrizes the path $x^{\mu}(\tau)$ [16]. The angle of scattering $\pm \omega$ for the motion of the particles in a cone can be obtained by integration of the classical equations of motion and is given by [16] $\pm \omega = \pm \pi (\alpha^{-1} - 1) = \pm \pi \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$, where \pm refers to the side the charge carriers (current) trajectory pass around the defect. Note that the result above is valid for all values of β despite the sector was removed or inserted. The scattering angle above, presented in the embedded coordinate system measures the deflection of the asymptotic motion on cone projected onto x-y plane of the embedding three dimensional space. The result above suggests that a pentagon or heptagon may be used for deviating the planar current in graphene.

To obtain the correct current deviations in graphene we have to solve the Dirac equation (1.1) defined in a cone, say [16]: $i\hbar\gamma^{\mu}E_{a}{}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi=0$, where $D_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\omega_{\mu;ab}\sigma^{ab}$, $\sigma^{ab}=\frac{1}{4}[\gamma^{a},\gamma^{b}]$, and $E_{a}{}^{\mu}$ is the *dreibein* in coordinates (t,r,θ) . The spin connection $\omega_{\mu;ab}=-\omega_{\mu;ba}$ may be written in three dimensions as $\omega_{\mu;ab}=\varepsilon_{abc}\omega_{\mu}{}^{c}$ with ε_{abc} the Levi-Civita symbol as before [16]. The rotational invariance of the problem enables us to choose positive energy solutions that are simultaneously angular momentum eigenfunctions, with eigenvalue $(n+\frac{1}{2})\hbar$:

$$u_n(r)e^{-iEt/\hbar} = e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^3)\theta} \begin{pmatrix} u_n^A(r) \\ u_n^B(r) \end{pmatrix} e^{-iEt/\hbar}, \qquad (3.1)$$

where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ The solutions for E > 0 are [16] $u_n^A(r) = (\varepsilon_n)^n J_V(\kappa r)$, and $u_n^B(r) = (\varepsilon_n)^{n+1} J_V(\kappa r)$. Here, J_V is the Bessel function of order $V \equiv \varepsilon_n / \alpha (n + (1 \mp \alpha)/2), n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \kappa = E/\hbar v_F \alpha, E > 0, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1$ and the same sign has to be chosen for the upper and lower components of the spinor $u_n(r)$. For $0 < \alpha \le 1$ or $0 < \beta \le 1$ (remember that $\alpha = 1 - \beta$) we must choose

 $\varepsilon_n = \text{sign}(n + (1 - \alpha)/2) = \text{sign} n (\text{sign} 0 \equiv 1)$ to have both components regular at the origin. The asymptotic form of the Bessel functions determines the phase shifts (they are identical for the upper and lower components) and are given by [16]:

$$\delta_n = -\varepsilon_n \frac{\pi}{2\alpha} ((1-\alpha)n + (1-\alpha)/2) = -\frac{\varepsilon_n}{2} \pi \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\varepsilon_n = \operatorname{sign}(n + (1 - \alpha)/2) = \operatorname{sign}(n + \beta/2). \tag{3.3}$$

The phase-shifts depend only on the number of sectors removed or inserted in the graphene sheet, accounted by $\alpha = 1 - \beta$. If $-\infty < \beta < 0$, we need to be careful because $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$ depending upon the value of $(n+\beta/2)$ (but the phase-shifts remains as above) and the phase-shifts depends only on the number of sectors (heptagons) inserted in the flat graphene sheet. In the presence of heptagons the carriers dynamics is identical to the that movement of the electrons in the gravitational field of a negative mass (although not possible in gravitation, this is feasible in the present context).

Note that the phase shift (3.2) measures the deflection of the asymptotic motion on the cone projected onto x - y plane being qualitatively identical to the classical case discussed before. When there is a pentagon into the lattice and the fermionic current is constrained to pass around and sufficiently close to it such a current is scattered by the defect with an angle which depends only on the number of sectors removed in the graphene and on the side current passed. After passing by the pentagon the scattered current trajectories cross and yields an interference pattern. In the case of a heptagon, such a current is scattered but the trajectories diverge each other.

4. Conclusions

We have studied the scattering of graphene quasiparticles by topological defects like holes, pentagons and heptagons. We obtain the phase shift of the wave-function in all cases. For the case of holes, the main contribution concerns the *s* scattering and even in this case they do not change the resistivity of the sample, at least at low concentrations (like occurs to short range potential impurities). We realize that when the fermionic current is constrained to move near and around of pentagons and heptagons introduced in the lattice, it is scattered with an angle that depends only on the number of defects and on which side the current taken. Such a deviation may be determined by means of a Young-type experiment, through the interference pattern between the two currents scattered by the pentagon. In the case of a heptagon such a current is also scattered but it diverges from the defect. In addition, graphene would provide an appealing way to experimentally explore general relativity in two spatial dimensions since such effects are predicted by this theory [16, 17].

5. Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to C. Furtado and M.B. Silva-Neto for having drawn their attention to important references and for discussion. They also thank CNPq, FAPEMIG and CAPES (Brazilian agencies) for financial support.

References

- [1] K.S. Novoselov, A.K. Geim, S.V. Morosov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S.V. Dubonos, I.V. Grigorieva, and A.A. Firsov, Science **306**, 666 (2004).
- [2] A.K. Geim and K.S. Novoselov, Nature Mat. 6, 183 (2007).
- [3] A. Catro-Neto, F. Guinea, and N.M. Peres, Phys. World 19, 33 (2006).
- [4] A.K. Geim and P. Kim, Scient. Amer. (April) 90 (2008).
- [5] M.I. Katsnelson, K.S. Novoselov, and K. Geim, Nature Phys. 2, 620 (2006).
- [6] M.I. Katsnelson and K.S. Novoselov, Sol. Stat. Comm. 143, 3 (2007).
- [7] A. V. Shytov, M.I. Katsnelson, and L.S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99,236801 (2007).
- [8] A. V. Shytov, M.I. Katsnelson, and L.S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99,246802 (2007).
- [9] J.M. Fonseca, W.A. Moura-Melo and A.R. Pereira, Phys. Lett. A 374, 4359 (2010).
- [10] M.I. Katsnelson and A.K. Geim, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 366, 195 (2008).
- [11] M. Ge, K. Sattler, Chem. Phys. Lett. 220, 192 (1994).
- [12] A. Krishnan, E. Dujardin, M.M.J. Treacy, J. Hugdahl, S. Lynum, and T.W. Ebbesen, Nature **388**, 451 (1997).
- [13] Yu.A. Sitenko and M. N.D. Vlasii, Nucl. Phys. B 787, 241 (2007).
- [14] G.E. Volovik, The Universe in a Helium Droplet, Clarendon Press, Oxford (2003).
- [15] J.D. Brown, Lower Dimensional Gravity, World Scientific, New Jersey (1988).
- [16] P. de S. Gerbert and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. 124, 229 (1989).
- [17] C.J.C. Burges, Phys. Rev. D 32, 504 (1984).